



Exploring the Dimensions of Business Performance: A Conceptual Framework for Sustainable Success

Md Ariful Islam

School of Business, International American University, Los Angeles, United States of America.

Email: arifulislammba391@gmail.com

Received: 21st July 2024

Accepted: 12th September 2024

Published: 5th October 2024

ABSTRACT

In today's volatile and cutthroat business environment, companies must overcome a myriad of economic, financial, and social challenges in order to provide consumers with exceptional value. A company's ability to sustain service quality and the elements that contribute to its improvement is crucial, since businesses in this sector face higher levels of vulnerability and competition than other sectors. Looking at things from several aspects, this research shows how company performance and associated issues are viewed from a broad perspective, which has real-world consequences for everyone involved. The researchers drew on previous research that had already shown how many creative techniques and aspects affected company success. Both academics and practitioners can benefit from the study's recommendations and suggestions.

Keywords: Business Performance; Sustainable Success; Influential Dimensions

INTRODUCTION

Destination brands or reputations (Hanna et al., 2021), attractions (Buhalis et al., 2022), infrastructure (Isichei et al., 2020), and services (Othman et al., 2021) have typically been the focus of studies on organizational performance. However, a number of business researchers have urged for more study because they believe that entrepreneurship and innovation play a significant part in the formation of this global sector (Bărbulescu et al., 2021; Kraus et al., 2021). A number of studies have been conducted to investigate the relationship between entrepreneurial activity and development, competitive advantage, and superior performance. The field of current business literature implies that there is a lack of robust theoretical frameworks related to the essential entrepreneurial orientation (EO) despite the increasing interest in entrepreneurial activities (Albhirat et al., 2023; Lumpkin & Pidduck, 2021). This is despite the fact that interests in entrepreneurial activities are growing. Businesses' response has been to develop new products and services by embracing an entrepreneurial mindset (Aripin et al., 2024; Satriadi et al., 2024). More organic and decentralized organizational structures have also been the norm in recent years (Wang et al., 2020).

According to Traoré et al. (2024), businesses provide a wealth of opportunities for entrepreneurs and are a key component of the economies of many nations. They also provide a wide range of services, amenities, and attractions. It is necessary to have an entrepreneurial mind-set in order to seek out and seize the opportunities to provide travellers with one-of-a-kind experiences (new services, new vacation packages). On the other hand, research regarding the ways in which these companies make use of entrepreneurial talents and competences in order to participate in and profit from EO is still in its infancy (Backman & Drevell, 2022; Wulandari, 2023). For instance, according to Adro et al. (2021), most field research on EO is phenomenological and understudied. While many businesses take employee engagement (EO) for granted, few studies have really investigated EO in the workplace (Barra et al., 2024; Tanase & Sihara, 2023).

According to Bamel et al. (2021), the increased dynamic environment necessitates the formation of strategic alliances, partnerships, and cooperative endeavors. Collaborations with controlled overbooking are an example of the new trend toward building partnerships with the goals of fostering innovation and increasing financial return (Viglia et al., 2021). New possibilities are opening up, such as the pooling of resources to create value and the establishment of partnerships to encourage innovation (Linde et al., 2021). In order for

businesses to achieve long-term success and growth, it is necessary to establish a relationship of trust with their business partners and other stakeholders. According to Hadjielias et al. (2022), the connections that exist between participants in cooperative entrepreneurial businesses evolve over time, during which time they acquire mutual trust and commitment to one another. The corporate world is rife with little, geographically scattered, interconnected enterprises, and this phenomena is becoming more obvious, say Meyer and Brouthers (2023). As a result, these companies find themselves with limited resources and uncertainties. According to Tajeddini et al. (2020), these companies can be seen as a networked system due to their ability to enhance decision-making, overcome obstacles, foster stronger relationships among stakeholders, and enable the formation of both formal and informal partnerships and collaborations between different organizations. Businesses in the service sector must, according to Mishra et al. (2022), establish relationships with their clients and associates through cooperative networks if they want to provide trustworthy, expert services that can deliver maximum value regardless of where their clients are located in the world. According to Alkahtani et al. (2020), networks in the service industry are crucial for innovative companies to thrive and expand, and they also offer a substantial edge over the competition. They also make it possible for these organizations to expand their operations. According to Kraus et al. (2021), since new enterprises in the home services sector have emerged, the pressure on the tourism industry has been much higher. Rapid change is occurring in this industry. According to Pertheban et al. (2023), companies need to enhance their capabilities to be inventive, proactive, and brave enough to take risks in order to thrive in situations that are both dynamic and uncertain. More empirical research is needed to understand the connection between EO and networking in the present uncertain environment, although previous studies have all stressed the need for more of it (Wang et al., 2021). A more dynamic and competitive environment is expected to arise as a result of the continuous expansion of technology and communication, together with the continued appearance of new market participants, according to Karneli (2023). Through the use of technology and communication, organizations are becoming more worldwide.

Nowadays, in this digital age, entrepreneurial firms rely heavily on automated technological communication and information technologies. These systems have been put in place to facilitate the following goals: the reduction of security breaches (cyber-crimes), the open sharing of information (best practices), the enhancement of learning and skill development, and the elimination of inconvenient and time-consuming business travel (Perweij et al., 2021).

Recognizing the factors that enhance business performance is crucial, especially when studying how the ever-changing surroundings affect the outcomes of high-performance behavior prediction (Khan et al., 2024). Regarding the connection between entrepreneurial strategy development and business success, could you elaborate on the role that networking plays? It is necessary for businesses to have strong connections to other organizations and social networks. This study aims to examine the relationship between entrepreneurial strategy development, long-term growth, and short-term financial return, with a particular focus on the moderating effects of the dynamic environment and network linkages. The goal of this study is to synthesise various existing areas of research in order to better understand the interconnected nature of the component parts. The purpose is to emphasize the times when these elements have the greatest influence on the business industry. Since views on the connection between EO and financial success in businesses are still divided.

LITERATURE REVIEW

There have previously been investigations conducted from a variety of angles about the management of crises in the business industry. Firstly, the relevance of terror and violence in tourist areas was a significant factor that was taken into consideration (Krajňák, 2021; Ma et al., 2020). The financial crisis and crises caused by natural calamities were two further examples of crisis scenarios (Elmo et al., 2020; Patwary et al., 2024). A crisis circumstances were proposed by Mansfeld (1999). Some of these suggestions included asking the government for assistance, destroying infrastructure, and ramping up marketing to local consumers. Hu et al. (2022) was based on a predetermined list of 21 distinct techniques that businesses can implement in order to successfully overcome a crisis. In light of their findings, it became clear that the availability of a grace period for local payments was the single most essential aspect in ensuring survival during that particular crisis. During times of crisis, businesses have the ability to identify opportunities and charge higher prices to customers by providing additional value. Furthermore, several research have demonstrated that cost reductions play a significant part in the process of surviving a crisis (Alom et al., 2019; Kraus et al., 2020; Islam et al., 2024).

When taking into consideration the significance of devoted and local consumers in the process of recovering from a crisis, it is essential to take into account the fact that customers place a high value on the innovations that firms implement (Caballero-Morales, 2021; Leppäaho & Ritala, 2022). According to Breier et al. (2021), innovations in the tourist sector are anything

that deviates from the norm or marks a departure from prior practice for the innovative enterprise. These innovations might manifest as new products or services, new approaches to management or marketing, or even new ways of doing things at the institutional level. Businesses are cognizant of the fact that customers want constant innovation from them (Fleming et al., 2021). (AlTaweel & Al-Hawary, 2021). Therefore, there is a constant need for innovation as a means of market competitiveness (Skordoulis et al., 2020). Nevertheless, in the majority of instances, and as a result of frequently restricted financial possibilities and capabilities, they are mostly incremental improvements of products and services (in contrast to dramatic breakthroughs connected with rather technological developments such as the advent of smartphones) (Patwary et al., 2024). As a result of the fact that destinations are in competition with one another and are frequently viewed by visitors as a single product bundle, innovations frequently occur in collaboration with a large number of players (Corte & Aria, 2016).

Bhatti et al. (2021) states that open innovation is rising in importance as a kind of innovation. Open innovation differs from traditional in-house innovation in that it is driven by stakeholders outside the company. The informal flow of ideas is often the catalyst for creativity, and preliminary research suggests that visitors play a significant role in this process (Asyraff et al., 2024; Massari et al., 2024). When it comes to the business world, this kind of innovation is very much in its early phases. According to Lam et al. (2021), businesses need to establish procedures and a culture of open innovation before they can consistently implement the approach. On the other hand, what guests see can already be a goldmine of ideas. By facilitating the discovery of new opportunities and the adaptation to rapidly shifting environmental conditions, open innovation has shown to be a practical substitute in crisis situations.

According to Kraus et al. (2022), and Mohamed et al. (2022), business management innovation is defined by the invention of at least one fundamental dimension, which may later lead to changes in other parts of the business model. The study picked Parvez et al. (2024) as our business management innovation scale of choice because they differentiate between the productions of value from inside an organization which comes from outside the organization. To go further, we made the decision to concentrate on the external value generation dimension of business management innovations (business management innovation) for a variety of reasons. The first thing that the authors describe is that both effectuation and causation were shown to be successful on the external value generation dimension. In light of the fact that we recognize the complexity of causality in the interface between causation, effectuation, and

business management innovation, we proceed to do more research on this fundamental aspect of business management innovation. Second, according to Clauss et al. (2022), the emphasis placed on business management innovation external may make it possible for companies to overcome resource limits by incorporating additions of value creation from the outside. Building on the scale developed by Siegrist and Hartmann (2020), we accept that there are variations in the way that the items on the scale are seen and the importance they have in various industries.

METHODOLOGY

Together, a comprehensive keyword search and snowballing approaches were utilized in an examination of the scholarly literature on the adoption of technology. These methods have been utilized and suggested in the past for the purpose of gathering essential information about a literature meta-analysis (Paul & Barari, 2022; Xu et al., 2023). For the purpose of this investigation, EBSCO and Google Scholar were utilized rather than other databases. The widespread, varied, and user-friendly literature on the topic of technology adoption in the service industry likely has a role, but the accessibility and usability of the tools also play a role. In addition, this study does not intend to, and does not pretend to, investigate an exhaustive sample of literature in this area, nor does it intend to highlight the breadth of research being carried out within this time frame. As a result, these databases provided a substantial amount of material that could be searched for evidence of a gap in the existing literature on the subject of technology adoption. According to Fischer et al. (2022), the review compiles the most recent ideas in this area and provides an understanding of how knowledge has developed over the course of time.

During the phases of original literature recognition, the list of suitable keywords has been developed, polished, and expanded significantly. Through the use of keywords and databases, a number of full-length, scientific, peer-reviewed papers in the English language that were initially published on the adoption of technology were discovered. Following the completion of many rounds of snowballing and citation monitoring, further publications that fulfilled the requirements were constructed. All of these articles have been reviewed to make certain that the key dependent variable that is being investigated in each of the selected pieces of literature relates to the use of a certain technology. The selected values, emotional reactions, and personal and non-locational drivers of technology adoption that have been shown to be repeating in a number of research have been identified and grouped.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Arzubiaga et al. (2019) cites two pieces of research that suggest business management innovation might help family businesses become more innovative. These two pieces of data are consistent with this overall conclusion, according to new research from a cross-industry context in several European nations (Kraus et al., 2020). We show that innovation in firm management is important, but it becomes even more crucial in a crisis, and we admit that innovation is important under normal circumstances. We find that crisis-driven innovations in business management, even though organizations often make short-term adjustments to their BMs to maintain liquidity, can have long-term effects. To phrase it another way, a crisis may bring about fresh views and possible profit opportunities for businesses who are willing to grab the chance for change. Within this context, we also investigated what prompted the emergence of novel approaches to corporate management that proved useful throughout the crisis. Since guests are seen as a source of innovation in the corporate sector, the invention was given particular attention in the literature. Our findings do not support the claims made in the literature that they are key players in open innovation (Boons & Freund, 2013; Patwary et al., 2024), but neither does our research go in that direction. On the contrary, they were typically seen as someone who could help with innovative company management. The features of the inventive context can provide an explanation for this. In most cases, consumers who are able to provide constructive criticism also have a strong desire to better their own situation, which leads them to become innovators. The strict lockdown changed this environment as the measures for social isolation also create distance for the exchange of ideas. It should be noted, nevertheless, that open innovation is still mostly unknown in the corporate world, and that laying the groundwork and procedures for it takes time (Mohamed et al., 2020). It seems that the lack of institutions and the lockdown scenario, which limited communication between outside parties and those making decisions, contributed to an absence of innovation. What this means is that there might be serious consequences when there are no foundations in place during a crisis. However, a distinct and maybe more important function of the invention during the crisis is shown by our research. This position serves as a psychological support system for them, especially during the launch and ongoing operation of a new innovation in company management. With this in mind, the businesses were able to get over the first shock and inspired the decision-makers to be innovative. First and foremost, environmental changes are significant (Colombari et al., 2024), and perceived dangers were a crucial precursor to innovation in company management (Patwary et al., 2023). These two things have an impact on how

innovative company management is. Our analysis supports these sector-specific conclusions since the COVID-19 pandemic is a marker of an unstable environment that presents a significant risk to the organization. Overall, the crisis does help spur innovation in company management, but it isn't enough on its own. In the end, several factors influence the decision to move forward with implementing an innovation in company management. Financial resources are a key motivator for innovation in the tourism business, according to the research (Elmo et al., 2020). Companies in this study's particular context, however, have access to substantial financial resources that allow them to weather the storm and keep operating normally. The fact that the crisis's dangerous effects will be lessened may account for this finding (Richter & Wilson, 2020). This will lead to a dramatic drop in the need to replace the BM. Since worldwide crises in particular cause changes in both business and society, the future of this enduring strategy is uncertain (Edwards, 2021). Businesses can weather the storm with this tactic, but where it goes from here is anyone's guess (Yusof et al., 2021). Conversely, innovative management practices are fostered by a number of enabling characteristics. Bello et al. (2022) also found that when operational utilization is lower, more time and resources may be devoted to strategic issues. This may be especially helpful for small and medium-sized businesses (SMEs) without dedicated strategy-creation teams, say Patwary et al. (2023). Financial pressure may motivate a corporation to innovate in business management, in contrast to substantial financial aid, which inhibits innovation. During times of crisis, small and medium-sized firms (SMEs) bear an even heavier burden, as they often have less financial resources at their disposal (Chao et al., 2024). But it is precisely this financial pressure that forces these businesses to reconsider the current BM and come up with a new one.

Implications for practice

Based on the results, companies may get some early recommendations on the function of innovation in business management in the business sector, in times of crisis, and in the aftermath of such events. Socially isolating policies, including those that limit free innovation, can have disastrous consequences for businesses. New approaches to management may help businesses turn a profit even in the midst of a crisis, and they can also help with future planning. This is why it's crucial for company owners to continuously work on improving and expanding their core capabilities. The digitalization of the BM also opens the door to new service possibilities. In the event of an emergency, these services may be depended upon to assist overcome the geographical barriers caused by the COVID-19 shutdown (Carlo et al., 2021). Companies should use the opportunity given by digitalization as we go into the next phase so

that we can tackle any challenges that may come our way. An excellent strategy for overcoming a crisis and being future-ready is to make interim alterations to BMs, as previously shown by Ahsan (2024). Businesses must actively work to reduce the effects of variables that limit innovation and support characteristics that boost creativity, in addition to digitalization. The establishment of dedicated periods for strategic planning and the integration of new ideas into existing discourse are two such elements. This kind of planning paves the way for open innovation as well as effective management innovations (Trzeciak et al., 2022). Also, this study shows that open innovation might let us connect with customers on a more personal level and actively include them in our products and services, which could be really helpful in any situation, but especially during emergencies. The results of the research can also be used to suggest areas for improvement. Whether the economy is booming, it is the duty of destination managers to connect and inspire firms to innovate. Business models are just as much a part of innovation as goods and services. Through the provision of training and collaboration with professionals who specialize in innovation and creative training, firms may provide the groundwork for innovation in business management. Meetings like this also have a major impact on networking inside the industry, which boosts the flow of new ideas and developments (Morioka & Carvalho, 2016). Improved resilience and the ability to recover from future crises can be achieved with this. Because of this, these gatherings are productive.

REFERENCES

- Adro, F., Fernandes, C. I., Veiga, P. M., & Kraus, S. (2021). Social entrepreneurship orientation and performance in non-profit organizations. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 17(4), 1591-1618.
- Ahsan, M. J. (2024). Unlocking sustainable success: exploring the impact of transformational leadership, organizational culture, and CSR performance on financial performance in the Italian manufacturing sector. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 20(4), 783-803.
- Albhirat, M. M., Zulkiffli, S. N. A., Salleh, H. S., & Zaki, N. A. M. (2023). A Study on Strategic Entrepreneurship Orientations: Indicators, Differential Pathways, and Multiple Business Sustainability Outcomes. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & Planning*, 18(5).
- Alkahtani, A., Nordin, N., & Khan, R. U. (2020). Does government support enhance the relation between networking structure and sustainable competitive performance among SMEs?. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 9, 1-16.
- Alom, S., Patwary, A. K., & Khan, M. M. H. (2019). Factors affecting the turnover intention of Bangladeshi migrants in the United Arab Emirates: An empirical study on the hotel industry. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 8(3), 344-360.
- AlTaweel, I. R., & Al-Hawary, S. I. (2021). The mediating role of innovation capability on the relationship between strategic agility and organizational performance. *Sustainability*, 13(14), 7564.
- Aripin, Z., Sikki, N., & Fatmasari, R. R. (2024, January). An in-depth exploration of empirical research on entrepreneurial mindfulness: a systematic literature review to explore nuances, findings, and challenges. In *journal of jabar economic society networking forum* (Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 1-15).
- Arzubiaga, U., Maseda, A., & Iturralde, T. (2019). Exploratory and exploitative innovation in family businesses: the moderating role of the family firm image and family involvement in top management. *Review of managerial science*, 13, 1-31.
- Asyraff, M. A., Hanafiah, M. H., Md Zain, N. A., & Patwary, A. K. (2024). Understanding Malaysia's perceived destination image formation through online user-generated content information qualities. *Global Knowledge, Memory and Communication*.
- Backman, J., & Drevell, L. (2022). Leadership influence on EO: An interview-based study on leadership influence on Entrepreneurial Orientation in KIBS-firms from the eyes of the consultants.
- Bamel, N., Pereira, V., Bamel, U., & Cappiello, G. (2021). Knowledge management within a strategic alliances context: past, present and future. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 25(7), 1782-1810.
- Bărbulescu, O., Nicolau, C., & Munteanu, D. (2021). Within the entrepreneurship ecosystem: Is innovation clusters' strategic approach boosting businesses' sustainable development?. *Sustainability*, 13(21), 11762.
- Barra, C., Grimaldi, M., Muazzam, A., Troisi, O., & Visvizi, A. (2024). Digital divide, gender gap, and entrepreneurial orientation: How to foster technology adoption among Pakistani higher education students?. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 93, 101904.

- Bello, C., Urman, R. D., Andereggen, L., Doll, D., & Luedi, M. M. (2022). Operational and strategic decision making in the perioperative setting: meeting budgetary challenges and quality of care goals. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Anaesthesiology*, 36(2), 265-273.
- Bhatti, S. H., Santoro, G., Khan, J., & Rizzato, F. (2021). Antecedents and consequences of business model innovation in the IT industry. *Journal of Business Research*, 123, 389-400.
- Boons, F., & Freund, F. (2013). Business models for sustainable innovation: state-of-the-art and steps towards a research agenda. *Journal of Cleaner production*, 45, 9-19.
- Breier, M., Kallmuenzer, A., Clauss, T., Gast, J., Kraus, S., & Tiberius, V. (2021). The role of business model innovation in the hospitality industry during the COVID-19 crisis. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 92, 102723.
- Buhalis, D., O'Connor, P., & Leung, R. (2022). Smart hospitality: from smart cities and smart tourism towards agile business ecosystems in networked destinations. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 35(1), 369-393.
- Caballero-Morales, S. O. (2021). Innovation as recovery strategy for SMEs in emerging economies during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Research in international business and finance*, 57, 101396.
- Carlo, F., Sociali, A., Picutti, E., Pettorruso, M., Vellante, F., Verrastro, V., ... & di Giannantonio, M. (2021). Telepsychiatry and other cutting-edge technologies in COVID-19 pandemic: Bridging the distance in mental health assistance. *International journal of clinical practice*, 75(1).
- Chao, H., Fanbo, M., & Patwary, A. K. (2024). Examining Organizational Commitment to Environmental Performances Among Hotel Employees: The Role of Ethical Leadership, Psychological Ownership and Psychological Empowerment. *SAGE Open*, 14(2), 21582440241255226.
- Clauss, T., Breier, M., Kraus, S., Durst, S., & Mahto, R. V. (2022). Temporary business model innovation—SMEs' innovation response to the Covid-19 crisis. *R&d Management*, 52(2), 294-312.
- Colombari, R., Neirotti, P., & Berbegal-Mirabent, J. (2024). Disentangling the socio-technical impacts of digitalization: What changes for shop-floor decision-makers?. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 276, 109377.
- Corte, V., & Aria, M. (2016). Coopetition and sustainable competitive advantage. The case of tourist destinations. *Tourism Management*, 54, 524-540.
- Edwards, M. G. (2021). The growth paradox, sustainable development, and business strategy. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(7), 3079-3094.
- Elmo, G. C., Arcese, G., Valeri, M., Poponi, S., & Pacchera, F. (2020). Sustainability in tourism as an innovation driver: An analysis of family business reality. *Sustainability*, 12(15), 6149.
- Fischer, D., King, J., Rieckmann, M., Barth, M., Büssing, A., Hemmer, I., & Lindau-Bank, D. (2022). Teacher education for sustainable development: A review of an emerging research field. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 73(5), 509-524.

- Fleming, A., Jakku, E., Fielke, S., Taylor, B. M., Lacey, J., Terhorst, A., & Stitzlein, C. (2021). Foresighting Australian digital agricultural futures: Applying responsible innovation thinking to anticipate research and development impact under different scenarios. *Agricultural Systems*, *190*, 103120.
- Hadjielias, E., Christofi, M., Vrontis, D., & Khan, H. (2022). Social impact through family firms' interorganizational relationships within a community and a cooperative: An embedded view of stewardship. *Journal of Business Research*, *139*, 584-601.
- Hanna, S., Rowley, J., & Keegan, B. (2021). Place and destination branding: A review and conceptual mapping of the domain. *European Management Review*, *18*(2), 105-117.
- Hu, C., Yun, K. H., Su, Z., & Xi, C. (2022). Effective crisis management during adversity: organizing resilience capabilities of firms and sustainable performance during COVID-19. *Sustainability*, *14*(20), 13664.
- Isichei, E. E., Emmanuel Agbaeze, K., & Odiba, M. O. (2020). Entrepreneurial orientation and performance in SMEs: The mediating role of structural infrastructure capability. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, *15*(6), 1219-1241.
- Islam, G. M. N., Khir, M. F. A., & Patwary, A. K. (2024). Environmental pollution and value of ecotourism resources in the "no-take" marine protected areas: A case of Perhentian marine park, Malaysia. *Science Talks*, *12*, 100399.
- Karneli, O. (2023). The role of adhocratic leadership in facing the changing business environment. *Journal of Contemporary Administration and Management (ADMAN)*, *1*(2), 77-83.
- Khan, K., Khan, Q., Jamil, S. H., & Akbar, S. (2024). A study on high performance organization framework and organization performance: lens of dynamic capability theory. *Cogent Business & Management*, *11*(1), 2285415.
- Krajňák, T. (2021). The effects of terrorism on tourism demand: A systematic review. *Tourism Economics*, *27*(8), 1736-1758.
- Kraus, S., Breier, M., & Dasí-Rodríguez, S. (2020). The art of crafting a systematic literature review in entrepreneurship research. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, *16*, 1023-1042.
- Kraus, S., Kanbach, D. K., Krysta, P. M., Steinhoff, M. M., & Tomini, N. (2022). Facebook and the creation of the metaverse: radical business model innovation or incremental transformation?. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, *28*(9), 52-77.
- Kraus, S., McDowell, W., Ribeiro-Soriano, D. E., & Rodríguez-García, M. (2021). The role of innovation and knowledge for entrepreneurship and regional development. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, *33*(3-4), 175-184.
- Lam, L., Nguyen, P., Le, N., & Tran, K. (2021). The relation among organizational culture, knowledge management, and innovation capability: Its implication for open innovation. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *7*(1), 66.
- Leppäaho, T., & Ritala, P. (2022). Surviving the coronavirus pandemic and beyond: Unlocking family firms' innovation potential across crises. *Journal of Family Business Strategy*, *13*(1), 100440.

- Linde, L., Sjödin, D., Parida, V., & Wincent, J. (2021). Dynamic capabilities for ecosystem orchestration: A capability-based framework for smart city innovation initiatives. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *166*, 120614.
- Lumpkin, G. T., & Pidduck, R. J. (2021). Global entrepreneurial orientation (GEO): An updated, multidimensional view of EO. In *Entrepreneurial orientation: Epistemological, theoretical, and empirical perspectives* (pp. 17-68). Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Ma, H., Chiu, Y. H., Tian, X., Zhang, J., & Guo, Q. (2020). Safety or travel: Which is more important? The impact of disaster events on tourism. *Sustainability*, *12*(7), 3038.
- Mansfeld, Y. (1999). Cycles of war, terror, and peace: Determinants and management of crisis and recovery of the Israeli tourism industry. *Journal of Travel Research*, *38*(1), 30-36.
- Massari, F. S., Del Vecchio, P., & Degl'Innocenti, E. (2024). Past for Future—museums as a digitalized “interaction platform” for value co-creation in tourism destinations. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, *27*(5), 1453-1474.
- Meyer, K. E., Li, J., & Brouthers, K. D. (2023). International business in the digital age: Global strategies in a world of national institutions. *Journal of International Business Studies*, *54*(4), 577.
- Mishra, P., Thakur, P., & Singh, G. (2022). Sustainable smart city to society 5.0: State-of-the-art and research challenges. *SAIEE Africa Research Journal*, *113*(4), 152-164.
- Mohamed, A. E., Patwary, A. K., Hassan, M. R., & Sadekin, M. N. (2022). Assessing entrepreneurial intention among tourism and hospitality graduates: the mediating role of attitude and self-efficacy. *International Journal of Trade and Global Markets*, *16*(1-3), 73-95.
- Mohamed, M., Patwary, A. K., & Mohamed, A. E. (2020). Future of Tourism Industry And Post Covid-19 Recovery: An Overview of Coping Strategies. *South Asian Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, *1*(2), 110-115.
- Morioka, S. N., & de Carvalho, M. M. (2016). A systematic literature review towards a conceptual framework for integrating sustainability performance into business. *Journal of cleaner production*, *136*, 134-146.
- Othman, B. A., Harun, A., De Almeida, N. M., & Sadq, Z. M. (2021). The effects on customer satisfaction and customer loyalty by integrating marketing communication and after sale service into the traditional marketing mix model of Umrah travel services in Malaysia. *Journal of islamic marketing*, *12*(2), 363-388.
- Parvez, M. O., Hossain, M. S., Patwary, A. K., Elkhwesky, Z., Ur Rehman, S., & Ali, F. (2024). Meeting the needs of physically disabled tourists: use of service robots toward the hotel attachment. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*, *15*(4), 574-591.
- Patwary, A. K., Aziz, R. C., & Hashim, N. A. A. N. (2023). Investigating tourists' intention toward green hotels in Malaysia: a direction on tourist sustainable consumption. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *30*(13), 38500-38511.
- Patwary, A. K., Rasoolimanesh, S. M., Hanafiah, M. H., Aziz, R. C., Mohamed, A. E., Ashraf, M. U., & Azam, N. R. A. N. (2024). Empowering pro-environmental potential among hotel employees: insights from self-determination theory. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Insights*, *7*(2), 1070-1090.

- Patwary, A. K., Tosun, C., Sharif, A., Ismail, N. A., & Abuelhassan, A. E. (2024). Measuring sustainable business performance in Malaysian hotels: The roles of green information, green innovation strategic orientation, and digital technology implementation. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, *123*, 103935.
- Paul, J., & Barari, M. (2022). Meta-analysis and traditional systematic literature reviews—What, why, when, where, and how?. *Psychology & Marketing*, *39*(6), 1099-1115.
- Pertheban, T. R., Thurasamy, R., Marimuthu, A., Venkatachalam, K. R., Annamalah, S., Paraman, P., & Hoo, W. C. (2023). The impact of proactive resilience strategies on organizational performance: Role of ambidextrous and dynamic capabilities of SMEs in manufacturing sector. *Sustainability*, *15*(16), 12665.
- Perwej, Y., Abbas, S. Q., Dixit, J. P., Akhtar, N., & Jaiswal, A. K. (2021). A systematic literature review on the cyber security. *International Journal of scientific research and management*, *9*(12), 669-710.
- Richter, A., & Wilson, T. C. (2020). Covid-19: implications for insurer risk management and the insurability of pandemic risk. *The Geneva risk and insurance review*, *45*(2), 171.
- Satriadi, S., Almaududi Ausat, A. M., Heryadi, D. Y., Widjaja, W., & Sari, A. R. (2022). Determinants of Entrepreneurial Intention: A Study on Indonesian Students. *BISNIS & BIROKRASI: Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi dan Organisasi*, *29*(3), 3.
- Siegrist, M., & Hartmann, C. (2020). Perceived naturalness, disgust, trust and food neophobia as predictors of cultured meat acceptance in ten countries. *Appetite*, *155*, 104814.
- Skordoulis, M., Ntanos, S., Kyriakopoulos, G. L., Arabatzis, G., Galatsidas, S., & Chalikias, M. (2020). Environmental innovation, open innovation dynamics and competitive advantage of medium and large-sized firms. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *6*(4), 195.
- Tajeddini, K., Martin, E., & Ali, A. (2020). Enhancing hospitality business performance: The role of entrepreneurial orientation and networking ties in a dynamic environment. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, *90*, 102605.
- Tanase, A., & Sihara, M. B. T. (2023). Intellectual Capital Driving SMEs Entrepreneurial Orientation towards Sustainable Development.
- Traoré, M., Hilson, G., & Hilson, A. (2024). Reimagining entrepreneurship in the artisanal and small-scale mining sector: Fresh insights from sub-Saharan Africa. *Africa journal of management*, *10*(2), 176-207.
- Trzeciak, M., Kopec, T. P., & Kwilinski, A. (2022). Constructs of project programme management supporting open innovation at the strategic level of the organisation. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *8*(1), 58.
- Viglia, G., De Canio, F., Stoppani, A., Invernizzi, A. C., & Cerutti, S. (2021). Adopting revenue management strategies and data sharing to cope with crises. *Journal of Business Research*, *137*, 336-344.
- Wang, M. C., Chen, P. C., & Fang, S. C. (2021). How environmental turbulence influences firms' entrepreneurial orientation: the moderating role of network relationships and organizational inertia. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, *36*(1), 48-59.

- Wang, X., Chen, Y., & Yang, B. (2020). The Choice of Organizational Structure in the Internet Era: Flattening and Decentralization—Based on Perspective of Dynamic Capabilities. *American Journal of Management Science and Engineering*, 5(4), 42-50.
- Wulandari, R. (2023). Entrepreneurial Orientation in Faith-Based Nonprofit Organizations: The Crucial Role of Collaboration, and Interpersonal and Managerial Skills. *The International Journal of Religion and Spirituality in Society*, 13(2), 57.
- Xu, E., Wang, W., & Wang, Q. (2023). The effectiveness of collaborative problem solving in promoting students' critical thinking: A meta-analysis based on empirical literature. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1), 1-11.
- Yusof, M. F. M., Kamarudin, L. M., Patwary, A. K., & Mohamed, A. E. (2021). Measuring revisit intention of domestic tourists in Langkawi UNESCO Global Geopark, Malaysia: a road to sustainable tourism. *Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism*, 12(4), 1052-1063.