RESEARCH ARTICLE

Therapeutic Efficacy of Different Ear Cleanser in Management of Canine Otitis

Jignasha M Parmar¹, SK Raval², Mayank Parwari³, Neha Rao⁴, DM Patel⁵

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to compare the therapeutic efficacy of three different ear cleansers in 30 dogs of various breeds suffering from otitis. Based on history and clinical signs, ear exudates were collected by aseptic cotton swab for isolation and identification of organisms. The cerumen deposition in-ear canal was cleared using one of the ear preparations, *viz.*, ear cleanser-I (0.2 % salicylic acid and 0.3 % phenoxyethanol) ear cleanser - II (chlorhexidine, propylene glycol and tris–EDTA) or ear cleanser-III (2% para-dichlorobenzene, benzocaine 2.7%, chlorbutol 5% and turpentine oil 15%). Systemic/local antibiotics were used as per sensitivity testing. The treatment protocol found effective in the management of ear infections included cleaning the ear debris using ceruminolytic preparations/ear wax dissolvent, *viz*, ear drop. Ear cleanser-III showed excellent results (100%) in ceruminous as well as bacterial otitis with subsequent instillation of ear preparations containing antibacterial drug(s) and antifungal agents compared to cleanser-II (90%) and cleanser-I (70%).

Keywords: Canine otitis, Dogs, Ear cleanser, Therapeutic Management

Ind J of Vet Sci and Biotech (2019): 10.21887/ijvsbt.15.2.18

INTRODUCTION

anine otitis is an inflammation of the ear in dogs. Otitis has a multifactorial etiology. Bacteria like Staphylococcus, Pseudomonas, Proteus, Klebsiella as well as fungi like Malassezia and *Candida* are known to play a major role. Otitis externa is defined as an acute or chronic inflammation of the epithelium of the external ear canal which may also involve the pinna. Otitis externa is one of the most common and multifactorial disorders accounting for up to 10-20 % of consultations in canine practice (Scott et al., 2001). In otitis, the clinical signs are head shaking, pruritus, foul-smelling discharge, pain, and reddening of the ear mucosa (Cole, 2004). Diagnosis of otitis is based on otic examination and cytology of otic discharge. The in vitro antibiotic sensitivity patterns of the microorganisms isolated help in the selection of effective drugs for successful therapy (Batra et al., 2005; Hernandez et al., 2012). The prime target of therapy of otitis aims at the elimination of the underlying cause(s), cleaning the ear canal and middle ear, applying topical therapies, and administering systemic medication. Otic cleansers contain ceruminolytics, detergents, and lubricants. Some of the acid-containing products (e.g., salicylic acid, acetic acid and lactic acid) have anti-microbial activity against bacteria and *Malassezia* yeasts. This work was planned to evaluate the therapeutic efficacy of three different ear cleanser without and with different antimicrobials in the cases of canine otitis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Thirty cases of otitis infection in dogs that were presented at the Veterinary Clinical Complex of the College at Anand and at Vcare Lakdikui, Vadodara, were selected for the ¹⁻³Department of Veterinary Medicine, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, AAU, Anand-388001, Gujarat, India
⁴⁻⁵Veterinary Clinical Complex, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, AAU, Anand-388001, Gujarat, India

Corresponding Author: Jignasha M. Parmar, Department of Veterinary Medicine, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, AAU, Anand-388001, Gujarat, India, e-mail: jignasha parmar71@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Parmar, J.M., Raval, S.K., Parwari, Mayank, Rao, N. and Patel, D.M. (2019). Therapeutic Efficacy of Different Ear Cleanser in Management of Canine Otitis. Ind J Vet Sci and Biotech, 15(2): 69-71.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: All authors declare that they don't have a conflict of interest.

Submitted: 28/10/2019 Accepted: 30/10/2019 Published: 25/11/2019

present study. The affected dogs were divided into three equal groups, *viz.*, Group-I, Group-II, Group- III, each of 10 dogs. Ten healthy dogs were also used as a control for comparison. The diagnosis was based on an otic examination and cytological examination. The *in vitro* antibiotic sensitivity testing was performed for the selection of effective drugs for successful therapy. The treatment protocol which was used in treating the ear infections included ear cleaning with the particular cleanser on the day of initiation of therapy, prior to the application of medicament in all the affected ears (Table 1). Initially, ear debris was cleared by application of ceruminolytic agents, and base of the ear was massaged for 20–30 seconds to soften and release the debris. Then with cotton, the debris and excess fluid was wiped out. Afterward,

[©] The Author(s). 2019 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and non-commercial reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

include Lineacy of Different Lat Cleanser in Management of Carmie Out	Thera	peutic Efficacy	of Different Ear	Cleanser in	Management	t of Canine O	titis
---	-------	-----------------	------------------	-------------	------------	---------------	-------

Group	No. of otitic dogs	Ear cleanser used	Frequency of administration of ear cleanser
I	10	Salicyclic acid (0.2%) and Phenoxyethanol (0.3%)	Once a day for a week or until recovery
II	10	Chlorhexidine, Propylene glycol and Tris-EDTA	Once a day for a week or until recovery
III	10	Para dichlorobenzene (2%), Benzocaine (2.7%), Chlorbutol (5%), Turpentine oil (15 %)	Once a day for a week or until recovery

Table 1: Groups of offic dods used in a therabeutic t	Table 1:	Groups of	of otitic d	oas used	in a the	apeutic tria
---	----------	-----------	-------------	----------	----------	--------------

ears were cleaned with sterile normal saline using a cut down (Little, 1991). Owners were advised to use ceruminolytics for the first three days prior to the use of ear drops and wipe the discharge with cotton.

Based on the results of *in vitro* drug sensitivity testing, preparations containing effective antibacterial/ antifungal drugs were used either for instillation in the affected ear or by the oral route. If needed, both the routes of drug administration were used in some cases. Therapy, which included cleaning, topical, and systemic therapy, was aimed at resolving or removing the primary factors, reducing inflammation, and resolving secondary infections. All the cases under study were monitored at 7, 14, and 21 days after the last topical and mycological culture examination. The results of the time taken (days) of clinical recovery were presented as mean ± standard error (SE) (Snedeor and Cochran, 1994).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Dogs suffering from otitis were divided into three groups based on the therapeutic protocol. In Group-I, infected ears of 10 otitic dogs were instilled with ear cleanser-I alone once daily (Table 1). Complete recovery was noticed in seven cases of otitis caused by *Staphylococcus aureus*, *S. pseudintermedius*, *Streptococcus* spp., *Escherichia coli*, Klebsiella spp., Pseudomonas spp., Candida spp., and M. pachydermatis. Recurrence was observed in three cases of otitis caused by Pseudomonas spp. Klebsiella spp., Candida spp. in association with M. pachydermatis, which were treated with oral administration of moxifloxacin @ 5–10 mg/kg b.wt. and ketoconazole @ 10 mg/kg b.wt. twice daily. Complete recovery of lesions was noticed in 70 % cases of otitis in dogs with instillation of ear cleanser-I drops with systemic antibiotics (Table 2). The clinical recovery was recorded with the treatment days of 14–30 with the mean of 21.40 ± 1.69 days (Table 3). Cole *et al.* (2003) evaluated the *in vivo* efficacy of an ear cleanser containing 2.5% lactic acid and 0.1% salicylic acid for the treatment of infectious otitis externa and reported resolution of infection in 67.7 % of the ears.

In Group II, infected ears of 10 otitic dogs were instilled with ear cleanser-II, once daily, along with systemic antimicrobial drugs (Table 1). This treatment regimen was found to be effective in cases of otitis caused by *Staphylococcus aureus, S. pseudintermedius, Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas* spp., *M. pachydermatis* and *Aspergillus* spp. resulting in complete resolution of lesions in 90 % cases of otitis in dogs (Table 2). After recurrence in one case, it was treated with oral administration of enrofloxacin @ 10 mg/kg b.wt. twice daily and topical antibacterial drop (ciprofloxacin) @ 4-6 drops applied two times after cleaning the ear canal. The clinical recovery was recorded in different dogs with

Group/Ear cleanser			No. of cases recovered (%)	No. of cases with recurrence (%)
Group-I (n = 10) Ear Cleanser-I + systemic/local antibiotics			7 (70.00)	3 (30.00)
Group- II (n = 10) Ear Clear	nser-II + systemic/local antibiotics		9 (90.00)	1 (10.00)
Group-III (n=10) Ear Cleanser-III + systemic/local antibiotics & antifungals			10 (100.00)	0
	Table 3: Clinical recovery time	e (days) in different treatmer	nt groups of otitic dogs	
	Clinical recovery time (days)			
	Group-I	Group-II	Group-III	
Sr.No. / Otitic dogs	(Ear cleanser-I)	(Ear cleanser-II)	(Ear cleanser	-111)
1	14	21	14	
2	30	14	14	
3	21	14	21	
4	30	21	14	
5	21	14	14	
6	21	21	14	
7	21	21	14	
8	21	14	14	
9	21	14	7	
10	14	21	7	
Mean + SE (days)	21 40 + 1 69	17.50 ± 1.17	13.30 + 1.26	

Table 2: Number of recovered cases in different treatment groups



the treatment days of 14–21 with a mean of 17.50 \pm 1.17 days (Table 3). Sharma et al. (2016) used ear cleanser solution (chlorhexidine, propylene glycol, and Tris-EDTA) for cleaning of the ear canal of 12 dogs affected with chronic otitis and complete clinical improvement was recorded after 21 days of treatment.

In Group III, dogs were treated with instillation of ear cleanser - III (Table 1) once daily, either alone or with topical/ systemic antimicrobial drugs. Complete recovery was noticed in all 10 (100%) cases of otitis caused by Staphylococcus aureus, S. pseudintermedius, Streptococcus spp., Escherichia coli, Aspergillus spp. and Malassezia pachydermatis. No recurrence was observed in any case. In this group, mostly for fungal organisms oral administration of ketoconazole @ 5-10 mg/ kg b.wt. and for mixed infection topical ear drop (Gentamicin sulphate 0.3% w/v, Beclomethazone dipropionate 0.025 %, Clotrimazole 1%, Lignocaine hydrochloride 2% w/v) was given @ 2-4 drop after cleaning the ear canal. Systemic antibacterial drugs like azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, amoxicilline-clavulanic acid, linezolid, clindamycin were used. The clinical recovery was recorded in treatment days of 7-21 with a mean of 13.30 ± 1.26 days. In earlier work on canine otitis externa, ear preparation containing dioctylsodium sulphosuccinate or para-dichlorobenzene have been reported to be very effective ear cleansers (Nair, 2004; Kalorey et al., 2004; Mhatre, 2005). Some cases of otitis with inflammatory lesions and intense pruritus were treated besides antibiotics with oral administration of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs meloxicam and nimesulide.

CONCLUSION

Treatment regimen comprising of topical compounds (ear cleanser) and systemic drugs (antibacterial and antifungal) were found to be more efficacious along with supportive treatments in resolving canine otitis. Based on the results, it can be concluded that the canine ear infections can be managed with ear cleaners followed by the antibacterial and antifungal drugs with supportive treatments. However, extensive studies on the same line involving more number of observations are required to draw valid conclusions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We gratefully thank the Dean of the College and the authorities of the University for the facilities provided for this work.

REFERENCES

- Batra, R., Boekhout, T., Guého, E., Cabaies, F.J., Dawson Jr, T. . and Gupta, A.K. (2005). Malassezia Baillon, Emerging clinical yeasts. FEMS Yeast Research, 5(12): 1101-1113.
- Cole, L.K. (2003). Diagnostic tests and techniques for otitis. AVMA Convention Notes, AVMA, Denver, Colo.
- Cole, L.K. (2004). Otoscopic evaluation of the ear canal. Vet. Clin. of North America. Small Anim. Practice, 34(2): 397-410.
- Hernandez-Escarentilde, J.J., Salinas-Melendez, J.A., Riojas-Valdez, V.M., Picon-Rubio, F., Davalos-Ar, G. and Sanchez-Yantilde, J.M. (2012). Malassezia pachydermatis in dogs with external otitis from Monterrey, Nuevo Len, Mxico. African J. Microbiol. Res., 6(10): 2443-2448.
- Kalorey, D.R., Dakshinkar, N.P., Kurkure, N.V., Warke, S. and Sakhare, P.S. (2004). Antibacterial activity of a herbal ear drops for pets. The Veterinarian, 28: 18.
- Little, C.J., Lane, J.G., and Pearson, G.R. (1991). Inflammatory middle ear disease of the dog: the pathology of otitis media. Vet. Record, 128(13): 293-296.
- Mhatre, M.D. (2005). Studies on etiopathology of bacterial and mycological infections of skin and ear in canines and their clinical management. MVSc Thesis. Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India.
- Nair, S. (2004). Studies on clinico-etiopathology and therapeutic management of various canine dermatoses. MVSc Thesis. Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India.
- Scott, D.W., Miller, W.H., and Griffin, C.E. (2001). Diseases of eyelids, claws, anal sacs and ears. In: Muller & Kirk's (Ed). Small Animal Dermatology, WB Saunders Co., Philadelphia, pp, 1203-1232.
- Sharma, A., Kachhawa, J. P., Singh, A. P., & Ahuja, A. (2016). Therapeutic Management of Chronic Otitis in 12 Dogs. Intas Polivet, 17(2), 283-285.
- Snedecor, G.W., and Cochran, W.G. (1994). Statistical Methods. Oxford and IBM Publications, New Delhi, India, p 265.