

Effect of Varying Levels of Dietary Protein and Energy on Performance of Commercial Broiler Chicken

Vikas R. Bhagat*, Ram Kailash Mishra, Atul B. Patel, Rais M. Rajpura, Nikesh J. Bhagora, Fulabhai P Savaliya

ABSTRACT

One hundred and sixty (160) straight run day-old commercial broiler chicks were randomly divided into 5 dietary treatments with four replicates having 8 chicks in each replicate. The five dietary treatments with varying levels of CP and ME were: T₁ diet with 21, 20 and 18% CP and 2800, 2900 and 3000 Kcal/kg ME in pre-starter, starter and finisher mash, respectively. T₂ diet with 22, 21 and 19% CP and 2900, 3000 and 3100 Kcal/kg ME in pre-starter, starter and finisher mash, respectively. T₃ diet was kept as basal diet as per BIS (23, 22 and 20% CP and 3000, 3100 and 3200 Kcal/kg ME in pre-starter, starter and finisher mash, respectively). T₄ diet with 24, 23 and 21% CP and 3100, 3200 and 3300 Kcal/kg ME in pre-starter, starter and finisher mash, respectively. T₅ diet with 25, 24 and 22% CP and 3200, 3300 and 3400 Kcal/kg ME in pre-starter, starter and finisher mash, respectively. Body weight and body weight gain of birds were significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher in group fed with T₅ ration than the birds fed with T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ rations. Feed consumption was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher in group fed with T₄ ration than the birds fed with other rations. Feed conversion ratio was improved significantly ($p < 0.05$) in birds fed with T₅ ration than the birds fed with T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ rations. However, T₁ ration resulted in higher economic return (Rs./bird) in terms of return over feed cost (Rs. 47.61), followed by T₅, T₂, T₃ and T₄ diet (Rs. 36.28, 30.96, 21.04 and 20.59, respectively).

Keywords: Broiler, Crude protein (CP), Growth performance, Metabolizable energy (ME), Return over feed cost (ROFC).

Ind J Vet Sci and Biotech (2020); 10.21887/ijvsbt.16.(2,3,&4).18

INTRODUCTION

Most determining factor for broiler producer is nutrition. Escalating feed cost in poultry production has been a matter of concern to poultry farmers and nutritionist. There are mainly two nutrients in the feed, *i.e.*, protein and energy, which cost about 90% of the total feed cost which should be utilized most efficiently for desired economy of production and formulation of poultry ration (Durunne *et al.*, 2015). Protein and energy are the most analytic dietary factors determining feed costs and performance of the birds in the broiler industry. The role of dietary protein and energy as an independent and mutually dependent variable in poultry ration has been well documented. Protein is an essential constituent of all tissues of animal body and energy is required for body functioning. Protein has major effect on the growth performance of the bird and it is the most expensive nutrient in broiler diets which accounts for 15-20% of feed cost (Kamran *et al.*, 2004). Dietary energy is also an expensive component of broiler diet, which accounts for 70-75 % of feed costs (Saleh *et al.*, 2004). High cost of dietary energy necessitates an optimization of dietary metabolizable energy, especially during finishing period, coincided with greater feed consumption (Pesti *et al.*, 2002). It is hoped that low dietary protein and energy would reduce the feed costs. If diets of low energy level are fed, the broiler will consume more feed. The objective of present study was to evaluate the effect of varying levels of dietary protein and energy on performance and economics of commercial broiler chicken.

Poultry Research Station, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Anand Agricultural University, Anand-388001, India

Corresponding Author: Vikas R. Bhagat, Poultry Research Station, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Anand Agricultural University, Anand-388001, India, e-mail: vikasbhagat2822@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Bhagat, V.R., Mishra, R.K., Patel, A.B., Rajpura, R.M., Bhagora, N.J., & Savaliya, F.P. (2020). Effect of Varying Levels of Dietary Protein and Energy on Performance of Commercial Broiler Chicken. *Ind J Vet Sci and Biotech*, 16(2,3,&4): 81-85.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None.

Submitted:30/07/2020 **Accepted:**20/10/2020 **Published:**25/12/2020

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Design

A total of 160 straight-run commercial day-old broiler chicks of Vencobb strain were randomly distributed to five dietary treatments (T₁ to T₅) of varying levels of CP and ME. Each treatment consisted of four replicates containing eight chicks per replicate. The five treatments were: T₁ diet with 21, 20 and 18% CP and 2800, 2900 and 3000 Kcal/kg ME in pre-starter, starter and finisher mash, respectively. T₂ diet with 22, 21 and 19% CP and 2900, 3000 and 3100 Kcal/kg ME in pre-starter, starter and finisher mash, respectively. T₃ diet was kept as basal diet as per BIS (23, 22 and 20% CP and 3000, 3100 and 3200 Kcal/kg ME in pre-starter, starter and finisher mash,

respectively). T₄ diet with 24, 23 and 21% CP and 3100, 3200 and 3300 Kcal/kg ME in pre-starter, starter and finisher mash, respectively. T₅ diet with 25, 24 and 22% CP and 3200, 3300 and 3400 Kcal/kg ME in pre-starter, starter and finisher mash, respectively. Birds were reared in deep litter system using standard management and health care practices.

Parameters Studied

The body weight (BW) was recorded at day-old age (BW₀) and at weekly interval (BW₁, BW₂, BW₃, BW₄, BW₅ and BW₆). The body weight gain (BWG) was recorded during pre-starter phase (BWG₀₋₂), starter phase (BWG₃₋₄) finisher phase (BWG₅₋₆) and overall experimental period (BWG₀₋₆). Feed consumption (g/bird) was recorded during pre-starter phase (FC₀₋₂), starter phase (FC₃₋₄) finisher phase (FC₅₋₆) and overall experimental period (FC₀₋₆). Feed conversion ratio was recorded at weekly interval. Economics (Rs./bird) of rearing of broiler birds was calculated at the end of the experiment in terms of return over feed cost (ROFC). The ROFC was derived from subtracting the feed cost from income of selling of birds on live weight basis.

Statistical Analysis

The data were analysed using completely randomized design as per Snedecor and Cochran (1994). Means of replicates under each treatment was considered for analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Body Weight and Body Weight Gain

The data on mean body weight (BW) of broilers at day-old age and then at weekly interval is presented in Table 1. At the end of sixth week, mean body weight (BW₆) of broilers from group T₅ fed with high protein and energy diet was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher as compared to control group (T₁). Rahimi and Hassanzadeh *et al.* (2007), Mandal *et al.* (2010), Jafarnejad and Sadegh (2011), Marcu *et al.* (2012) and Perween *et al.* (2016) reported similar findings.

The BWG during pre-starter, starter, finisher phases and overall experimental period is given in Table 2. The mean body weight gains of birds fed with T₄ and T₅ rations were significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than birds fed with T₁, T₂ and T₃

rations during pre-starter (0-2 week of age) and starter phase (2-4 week of age). The mean body weight gain of birds fed with T₅ diet was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than the birds fed with other four rations during finisher phase (4-6 week of age) as well as during the whole experimental period (0-6 week of age). These findings were in agreement with the reports of Erwan *et al.* (2008), Kamran *et al.* (2008), and Majumdar *et al.* (2012). The present results however differed from the findings of Oyediji *et al.* (2005), Banday *et al.* (2013), Sarwar *et al.* (2015) and Srilatha *et al.* (2018). They reported non-significant difference in the body weight gains of higher levels of dietary protein and energy groups as compared to basal diet.

Feed Consumption

The feed consumed by the bird is one of the major economic traits which determine largely the profitability in poultry production. So, efforts are put to reduce feed consumption without affecting production performances. The data on feed intake (g/bird) recorded at a weekly interval as well as pre-starter, starter, finisher phase and overall experimental period is shown in Table 3. Mean total feed consumption (TFC₀₋₆) of birds fed with T₄ ration was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than birds fed with T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₅ rations. The increased feed consumption is probably due to higher energy requirements of the broiler birds to cope with the higher growth rate which is achieved by higher intake of low energy diets. Findings of present study were similar to the results reported by Rahimi and Hassanzadeh *et al.* (2007), Erwan *et al.* (2008), Kamran *et al.* (2008) and Dairo *et al.* (2010), who observed significantly higher feed consumption in birds fed with high crude protein and high energy diet. Oyediji *et al.* (2005), and Srilatha *et al.* (2018) however reported that the dietary treatments had no effect on the feed consumption during the overall experimental period.

Feed Conversion Ratio

Feed conversion ratio (FCR) is an important tool to measure the feed conversion efficiency of birds. It can indicate magnitude of profitability in broiler production. It can also reflect feeding management practices followed in broiler farm. The data on average weekly FCR is depicted in Table 4. The mean feed conversion ratio was improved significantly

Table 1: Weekly body weight (g) of broilers (Mean \pm SE)

Traits	Treatments					SEm	P Value	CD Value	CV%
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅				
BW ₀	41.96 \pm 0.99	43.07 \pm 0.29	43.05 \pm 0.78	42.26 \pm 0.56	43.33 \pm 0.77	0.72	0.626	NS	3.34
BW ₁	142.32 ^b \pm 1.91	149.25 ^b \pm 3.92	146.13 ^b \pm 4.48	151.29 ^b \pm 2.09	162.03 ^a \pm 3.05	3.25	0.008	9.80	4.33
BW ₂	369.38 ^b \pm 7.50	361.66 ^b \pm 16.52	378.06 ^b \pm 9.28	419.00 ^a \pm 4.73	446.72 ^a \pm 8.19	8.18	0.000	30.29	5.09
BW ₃	773.22 ^b \pm 11.91	701.75 ^b \pm 35.47	711.91 ^b \pm 34.43	869.39 ^a \pm 21.27	916.54 ^a \pm 20.93	17.06	0.000	79.46	6.64
BW ₄	1281.32 ^b \pm 15.16	1201.35 ^{bc} \pm 35.07	1171.52 ^c \pm 48.52	1453.21 ^a \pm 26.63	1512.13 ^a \pm 28.98	32.75	0.000	98.69	4.95
BW ₅	1798.60 ^b \pm 26.15	1655.47 ^b \pm 43.86	1633.91 ^b \pm 82.94	2003.97 ^a \pm 53.17	2089.06 ^a \pm 63.12	57.09	0.000	172.06	6.22
BW ₆	2162.78 ^c \pm 38.99	1927.72 ^d \pm 50.25	2008.74 ^{cd} \pm 104.06	2352.43 ^b \pm 42.91	2563.97 ^a \pm 18.10	58.38	0.000	175.94	5.30



Table 2: Weekly body weight gain (g) of broilers (Mean ± SE)

Traits	Treatments					SEm	p Value	CD Value	CV%
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅				
BWG ₀₋₁	100.33 ^b ± 2.06	106.18 ^b ± 4.17	103.08 ^b ± 3.85	109.03 ^b ± 2.61	118.70 ^a ± 2.40	3.13	0.008	9.44	5.83
BWG ₁₋₂	227.07 ^b ± 7.01	212.41 ^b ± 13.55	231.94 ^b ± 8.43	267.72 ^a ± 4.82	284.69 ^a ± 5.99	8.52	0.000	25.68	6.97
BWG ₂₋₃	403.85 ^b ± 6.84	340.09 ^c ± 19.12	333.91 ^c ± 30.69	450.38 ^{ab} ± 16.85	469.81 ^a ± 15.39	19.36	0.000	58.35	9.69
BWG ₃₋₄	508.09 ^b ± 6.74	499.59 ^b ± 16.47	459.55 ^b ± 33.48	583.83 ^a ± 9.47	595.60 ^a ± 8.44	17.88	0.000	53.89	6.76
BWG ₄₋₅	517.28 ^{abc} ± 24.90	454.13 ^c ± 11.47	462.39 ^{bc} ± 36.45	550.76 ^{ab} ± 32.13	576.93 ^a ± 37.85	30.15	0.044	90.87	11.77
BWG ₅₋₆	364.19 ^b ± 13.69	272.25 ^c ± 18.57	374.83 ^b ± 24.73	348.49 ^{bc} ± 16.29	494.91 ^a ± 49.07	27.63	0.002	83.26	15.06
BWG ₀₋₂	327.40 ^b ± 6.86	318.59 ^b ± 16.65	335.02 ^b ± 9.33	376.74 ^a ± 4.71	403.39 ^a ± 7.65	9.92	0.000	29.90	5.63
BWG ₂₋₄	911.94 ^b ± 12.80	839.69 ^{bc} ± 23.04	793.46 ^c ± 39.92	1034.21 ^a ± 21.94	1065.41 ^a ± 23.44	25.77	0.000	77.65	5.55
BWG ₄₋₆	881.47 ^b ± 36.53	726.38 ^c ± 17.36	837.22 ^b ± 57.05	899.25 ^b ± 20.14	1051.84 ^a ± 14.42	33.16	0.000	99.95	7.54
BWG ₀₋₆	2120.80 ^c ± 38.42	1884.66 ^d ± 50.50	1965.69 ^{cd} ± 104.39	2310.20 ^b ± 42.78	2520.64 ^a ± 17.46	58.41	0.000	176.03	5.41

Table 3: Feed consumption (g/bird) of broiler (Mean ± SE)

Traits	Treatments					SEm	p Value	CD Value	CV%
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅				
FC ₁	164.09 ± 7.30	157.97 ± 5.12	162.37 ± 5.01	158.02 ± 9.04	166.00 ± 5.48	6.58	0.873	NS	8.14
FC ₂	381.84 ± 3.85	368.69 ± 12.84	378.38 ± 20.91	406.60 ± 11.35	391.66 ± 0.54	12.21	0.285	NS	6.34
FC ₃	739.63 ± 8.65	729.59 ± 3.69	764.35 ± 57.43	773.88 ± 23.68	727.97 ± 6.42	28.25	0.705	NS	7.56
FC ₄	955.75 ± 18.60	849.13 ± 20.31	815.84 ± 61.84	925.29 ± 82.45	875.91 ± 21.25	48.65	0.298	NS	11.00
FC ₅	1003.91 ^b ± 35.44	923.13 ^b ± 23.16	968.44 ^b ± 13.10	1182.01 ^a ± 96.33	1035.69 ^{ab} ± 45.85	51.67	0.029	155.70	10.10
FC ₆	1025.97 ^{bc} ± 37.54	922.19 ^c ± 30.07	1021.39 ^{bc} ± 26.81	1137.54 ^a ± 47.15	1086.94 ^{ab} ± 26.02	34.44	0.006	103.80	6.63
TFC ₀₋₂	546.03 ± 11.14	526.66 ± 17.42	540.75 ± 22.80	564.62 ± 19.71	557.66 ± 5.24	16.51	0.541	NS	6.04
TFC ₃₋₄	1695.38 ± 26.40	1578.72 ± 13.09	1580.20 ± 92.45	1699.16 ± 104.76	1603.88 ± 19.31	65.00	0.500	NS	7.97
TFC ₅₋₆	2029.88 ^b ± 49.93	1845.32 ^c ± 48.66	1989.83 ^{bc} ± 29.14	2319.55 ^a ± 52.12	2122.63 ^b ± 63.89	50.02	0.000	150.74	4.85
TFC ₀₋₆	4271.28 ^{ab} ± 24.30	3950.69 ^c ± 46.17	4110.77 ^{bc} ± 141.34	4583.33 ^a ± 166.21	4284.16 ^{ab} ± 73.12	105.52	0.009	318.00	4.98

Table 4: Feed conversion ratio of broilers (Mean ± SE)

Traits	Treatments					SEm	p Value	CD Value	CV%
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅				
FCR ₀₋₁	1.15 ± 0.04	1.06 ± 0.01	1.11 ± 0.05	1.04 ± 0.06	1.03 ± 0.05	0.05	0.309	NS	8.32
FCR ₀₋₂	1.48 ^a ± 0.04	1.46 ^a ± 0.06	1.43 ^a ± 0.06	1.35 ^{ab} ± 0.05	1.25 ^b ± 0.03	0.05	0.038	0.157	7.49
FCR ₀₋₃	1.66 ^{ab} ± 0.03	1.80 ^a ± 0.07	1.84 ^a ± 0.11	1.54 ^{bc} ± 0.07	1.41 ^c ± 0.04	0.07	0.003	0.211	8.47
FCR ₀₋₄	1.75 ^a ± 0.02	1.75 ^a ± 0.02	1.81 ^a ± 0.08	1.56 ^b ± 0.09	1.43 ^b ± 0.02	0.06	0.001	0.175	6.97
FCR ₀₋₅	1.81 ^a ± 0.03	1.83 ^a ± 0.02	1.90 ^a ± 0.09	1.72 ^{ab} ± 0.12	1.53 ^b ± 0.03	0.07	0.019	0.211	7.96
FCR ₀₋₆	1.98 ^a ± 0.03	2.05 ^a ± 0.04	2.06 ^a ± 0.09	1.95 ^a ± 0.08	1.68 ^b ± 0.03	0.06	0.002	0.179	6.10

($p < 0.05$) in birds fed with T₅ ration than the birds fed with T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ rations.

These results were in accordance with the observations of Dehury *et al.* (2008), who reported improved feed conversion ratio during finisher phase in the groups fed with high dietary protein and energy levels than the group fed with basal diet. Yunana *et al.* (2019) also reported significantly ($p < 0.05$) improved feed conversion ratio (1.50) in birds fed diet with 24% CP and 3100 Kcal/kg ME in starter phase (0-4 weeks) and (2.09) in birds fed diet with 21 % CP and 3100 Kcal/kg ME in finisher phase (5-8 weeks).

Present results however differ with findings of Oyediji *et al.* (2005), who did not observe significant difference in

feed conversion ratio among different treatments. Majumdar *et al.* (2012) also failed to see difference in feed conversion ratio during starting period (0-3 weeks) and similar were the observations of Sarwar *et al.* (2015), who reported no significant difference in feed conversion ratio of birds fed with higher or lower levels of dietary protein and energy than the basal diet.

Economics

The return over feed cost (ROFC) can be derived by subtracting the feed cost from income of selling of birds on live weight basis. It clearly expresses the profitability of broiler production. The return over feed cost (Rs./ bird) for

Table 5: Return Over Feed Cost (Rs./bird) of broilers

Treat- ment	Price of feed (Rs./kg)					Feed consumption (g/bird)					Cost of feed consumed (Rs./bird)					Final body wt. (g/bird)	Selling price (Rs./kg live body wt.)	Income from selling of bird (Rs./bird)	ROFC (Rs./ bird)
	0-2 week	3-4 week	5-6 week	0-2 week	3-4 week	5-6 week	0-2 week	3-4 week	5-6 week	0-2 week	3-4 week	5-6 week	Total						
--																			
T ₁	30.90	31.77	30.14	546.03	1695.38	2029.88	16.86	53.86	61.18	131.90	131.90	131.90	131.90	2.16	83.00	179.51	47.61		
T ₂	31.78	33.88	31.87	526.66	1578.72	1845.32	16.73	53.48	58.83	129.04	129.04	129.04	129.04	1.92	83.00	160.00	30.96		
T ₃	33.83	35.10	35.59	540.75	1580.20	1989.83	18.27	55.46	70.84	144.57	144.57	144.57	144.57	2.00	83.00	165.61	21.04		
T ₄	36.96	38.46	38.13	564.62	1699.16	2319.55	20.86	65.35	88.44	174.65	174.65	174.65	174.65	2.35	83.00	195.24	20.59		
T ₅	40.44	41.34	41.31	557.66	1603.88	2122.63	22.53	66.32	87.69	176.54	176.54	176.54	176.54	2.56	83.00	212.82	36.28		

birds fed with T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄ and T₅ rations has been presented in Table 5. ROFC (Rs./bird) for birds fed with T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄ and T₅ rations was found to be 47.61, 30.96, 21.04, 20.59 and 36.28, respectively. The highest ROFC was found in the birds fed with T₁ ration followed by T₅, T₂, T₃ and T₄. Result indicated that the diet T₁ with 21, 20 and 18% CP and 2800, 2900 and 3000 Kcal/kg ME in pre-starter, starter and finisher mash, respectively, resulted in highest economic return in terms of return over feed cost (ROFC).

The present findings were in accordance with the results found by Dehury *et al.* (2008), Kamran *et al.* (2011) and Banday *et al.* (2013). They also observed highest ROFC in birds fed with lowest dietary protein and energy. The present findings were however in contrast to the results reported by Oyediji *et al.* (2005), Wolde *et al.* (2011) and Majumdar *et al.* (2012), who did not observe significant difference in cost of production in birds fed with different levels of metabolizable energy.

CONCLUSIONS

Broiler birds fed with high CP and ME (ration T₅) had significantly (p<0.05) higher body weight and body weight gain with improved FCR as compared to those fed lower CP and ME (ration T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄). Overall, it can be concluded that to get higher economic return, commercial broiler chicken can be fed with broiler ration with 2% reduction in crude protein and 200 Kcal/kg reduction in metabolizable energy as compared to BIS (2007) standard.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Authors are hthankful to the authorities of Anand Agricultural University, Anand for providing funds and facilities for the research.

REFERENCES

- Banday, M.T., Baba, I.A., Adil, S., & Sheikh, I.U. (2013). Performance and carcass characteristics of broilers fed graded levels of protein. *Indian Journal of Poultry Science*, 48(3), 400-402.
- Dairo, F.A.S., Adesehinwa, A.O.K., Oluwasola, T.A., & Oluyemi, J.A. (2010). High and low dietary energy and protein levels for broiler chickens. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 5(15), 2030-2038.
- Dehury, P.K., Panda, N., Das, N., Mishra, S.C., Mishra, P.K., & Das, S.K. (2008). Evaluation of energy and protein requirement of broiler finisher in summer under hot humid condition. *Indian Journal of Poultry Science*, 43(1), 55-58.
- Durunne, C.S., Udedibie, A.B.I., & Uchegbu, M.C. (2015). Effect of dietary inclusion of Aanthoata macrophyla meal on the performance of starter chicks. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 32, 268-273.
- Erwan, E., Alimon, A.R., Sazili, A.Q., & Yaakub, H. (2008). Effect of varying levels of leucine and energy on performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens. *International Journal of Poultry Science* 7, 696-699.
- Jafarnejad, S., & Sadegh, M. (2011). The effects of different levels of dietary protein, energy and using fat on the performance of



- broiler chicks at the end of the third weeks. *Poultry Science* 5, 35-40.
- Kamran, Z., Ahmad Nadeem, M., Sarwar, M., Shakil Amjid, S., Hussain Pasha, R., & Shahid Nazir, M. (2011). Effect of low crude protein diets with constant metabolizable energy on performance of broiler chickens from one to thirty-five days of age. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 81(11), 1165.
- Kamran, Z., Mirza, M.A., & Mahmood, S. (2004). Effect of decreasing dietary protein levels with optimum amino acids profile on the performance of broilers. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, 24 (4), 165-168.
- Kamran, Z., Sarwar, M., Nisa, M., Nadeem, M.A., Ahmad, S., Mushtaq, T., & Shahzad, M.A. (2008). Effect of lowering dietary protein with constant energy to protein ratio on growth, body composition and nutrient utilization of broiler chicks. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 21(11), 1629-1634.
- Majumdar, S., Mandal, A.B., Tyagi, P.K., Sahoo, A., Dash, B.B., Meena, H.R., & Sharma, A.K. (2012). Optimizing energy requirements of broiler chickens reared at high altitude during autumn. *Indian Journal of Poultry Science*, 47(2), 244-247.
- Mandal, A.B., Panda, A.K., Elangovan, A.V., Deo, C., & Shrivastav, H.P. (2010). Effect of different dietary protein levels supplemented with limiting amino acids on the performance of broilers in extreme summer. *Indian Journal of Poultry Science*, 45(3), 283-286.
- Marcu, A., Vacaru-Opriş, I., Marcu, A., Nicula, M., Dronca, D., & Kelciiov, B. (2012). Effect of different levels of dietary protein and energy on the growth and slaughter performance at "Hybro PN+" broiler chickens. *Scientific Papers Animal Science and Biotechnologies*, 45(2), 424-431.
- Oyedeji, J.O., Umaigba, J.O., Okugbo, O.T., & Ekunwe, P.A. (2005). Response of broiler chickens to different dietary crude protein and feeding regimens. *Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science*, 7(3), 165-168.
- Perween, S., Kumar, K., Chandramoni, S.K., Singh, P.K., Kumar, M., & Dey, A. (2016). Effect of feeding different dietary levels of energy and protein on growth performance and immune status of Vanaraja chicken in the tropic. *Veterinary World*, 9(8), 893.
- Pesti, G.M., Bakalli, R.I., Qiao, M., & Sterling, K.G. (2002). A comparison of eight grades of fat as broiler feed ingredients. *Poultry Science*, 81(3), 382-390.
- Rahimi, G., & Hassanzadeh, M. (2007). Effects of different protein and energy contents of the diet on growth performance and hormonal parameters in two commercial broiler strains. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 6(3), 195-200.
- Saleh, E.A., Watkins, S.E., Waldroup, A.L., & Waldroup, P.W. (2004). Effects of dietary nutrient density on performance and carcass quality of male broilers grown for further processing. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 3(1), 11-16.
- Sarwar, G., Akhter, S., Khan, S.H., Anjum, M.A., & Nadeem, M.A. (2015). Effect of different dietary protein and energy levels on the growth performance, meat and body fat composition in broiler chicks. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 52(4), 1125-1129.
- Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1994). *Statistical Methods*, 8th edition. Affiliated East-West Press Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- Srilatha, T., Reddy, V.R., Preetam, V.C., Rao, S.V., & Reddy, Y.R. (2018). Effect of different levels of dietary crude protein on the growth performance and carcass characteristics of commercial broilers at different phases of growth. *Indian Journal of Animal Research*, 52(4), 559-563.
- Wolde, S., Negesse, T., & Melesse, A. (2011). Effect of dietary protein concentration on feed intake, body mass gain and carcass traits of Rhode Island Red chicken. *Journal of Science and Development*, 1(1), 53-64.
- Yunana, Y.L., Kabir, M., & Mallam, I. (2019). Effect of varying levels of energy and protein on performance of Ross breed broiler chickens. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Science*, 21(2), 253-259.