RESEARCH ARTICLE

Biometry and Storage Ability at 4°C of Slaughtered Buck Testis and Correlations of Various Epididymal Seminal Attributes

Rajesh Pratap Patel¹, Bhoopendra Singh²*, Rajesh Kumar², Sushant Srivastava²

Abstract

The present study was conducted on 48 pairs of fresh testicles obtained from mature bucks, irrespective of breed, slaughtered at local abattoir and AL-Nafees Proteins Pvt. Ltd., Mewat, Haryana. Various testicular and epididymal biometric parameters, epididymal seminal attributes and correlation coefficients between testicular volume and seminal epididymal attributes were studied. The testicles with epididymis were put randomly into six groups (plastic bags) each of eight pairs and were stored at 4°C for 96 hours in a refrigerator. One bag/group each was taken out at 6, 12, 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours of cold storage to study the effect of preservation at 4°C on the seminal epididymal attributes. The sperm concentration, total sperm output, progressive sperm motility, viability, HOS reactivity, and sperm with droplets decreased significantly (p < 0.05) and progressively increased sperm head and tail abnormalities after 12 hrs of storage until 96 h. The testicular volume was significantly and positively correlated with sperm concentration (r = 0.76), total sperm output (r = 0.95), initial motility (r = 0.65), live sperm (r = 0.63), HOS reactive sperm (r = 0.66) and sperm with abnormal droplets (r = 0.60), however, it was negatively correlated with abnormal sperm head (r = -0.64) and sperm tail (r = -0.69).

Keywords: Biometry, Buck, Epididymis, Slaughtered testis, Seminal attributes, Storage effect. *Ind J Vet Sci and Biotech* (2021): 10.21887/ijvsbt.17.2.14

INTRODUCTION

Age of onset of puberty and male fertility are important factors in caprine reproduction as single buck breeds to many does (Chaudhari et al., 2018^{a,b}). Scrotal/testicular biometry is a good indicator of the sperm-producing ability of a sire. It is one of the important aspects of breeding soundness of males. The biometric study of testes is also helpful in diagnosing, controlling, and treating sub-fertility or infertility in males (Baldaniya et al., 2020). Testicular traits suggest level of sexual activity and daily sperm production potential in buck (Leal et al., 2004). Postmortem recovery of epididymal semen offers a valuable option for preserving male gametes, particularly of high genetic merit sires of endangered species, thus preserving biodiversity and maintaining germplasm bank. Recovery of epididymal sperm in bull, rabbit, human, and dog has been well documented. Blash et al. (2000) reported successful recovery of epididymal sperm from dead buck and used for IVF. Kundu et al. (2001) and Martins et al. (2007) reported cryopreservation of epididymal sperm from dead bucks and bulls and its use in in vitro embryo production (IVEP). Yu and Leibo (2002) successfully recovered motile sperm and membrane intact sperm from canine epididymis stored at 4°C for 8 days. Very meager literature is available on the quality of goat epididymal sperm following cold storage of testes after animals' death (Mir et al., 2012; Turri et al., 2013). Hence, the present study was planned to evaluate testicular and epididymal biometry of slaughtered bucks, and the effect of refrigeration storage time on the

¹Veterinary Medical Officer, Department of Animal Husbandry, Uttar Pradesh, India.

²Department of Veterinary Gynaecology and Obstetrics, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Corresponding Author: Bhoopendra Singh, Department of Veterinary Gynaecology and Obstetrics, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India, e-mail: drbsvet@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Patel, R.P., Singh, B., Kumar, R., & Srivastava, S. (2021). Biometry and Storage Ability at 4°C of Slaughtered Buck Testis and Correlations of Various Epididymal Seminal Attributes. Ind J Vet Sci and Biotech, 17(2): 72-77.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None.

Submitted: 12/12/2020 Accepted: 21/04/2021 Published: 25/06/2021

quality of epididymal sperm, and correlate testicular volume with seminal epididymal attributes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in 2014 at deep-frozen semen laboratory (DFS lab) of Department of Veterinary Gynaecology and Obstetrics, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, ANDUAT, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, UP. Forty-eight pairs of testis (total 96) were collected

© The Author(s). 2021 Open Access This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

immediately after slaughter of mature bucks, irrespective of breed, from local abattoir and AL-Nafees Proteins Pvt. Ltd., Mewat, Haryana. The testes were collected under strict hygienic conditions, stored in plastic bags in an icebox at 4°C, and immediately transferred to the DFS lab. After arrival at lab, testes were cleaned with physiological saline solution; fascia, blood vessel, and sheath were removed using BP blade and thumb forceps without damaging epididymis. Various biometric parameters, viz., testicular length (TL), testicular diameter at widest portion (TD) and epididymal length (EL) were measured using scrotal tape; weight of testis (TW) with and without epididymis were measured using digital weighing scale, and testicular volume (TV) was calculated using formula: $TV = TD^2 x TL x 0.5$. The collected epididymis were then randomly divided into six equal groups, each of 8 pairs, and stored at 4°C in refrigerator up to 96 h. Epididymal seminal attributes were studied at 6, 12, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hr of cold storage by thawing the organs of one group at each interval.

Semen was collected separately from right and left epididymis by slicing and squeezing technique in 15 ml plastic vials (Martins et al., 2007). Collected semen samples were analyzed for volume, sperm concentration, total sperm output, percent progressive motility, live sperm, abnormal sperm and HOS reactive spermatozoa. The volume of semen was measured by direct reading of graduated conical tubes; sperm concentration was estimated using the hemocytometer method. The progressive sperm motility was assessed at 37°C under high power (40X) magnification by putting a drop of epididymal semen on pre-warmed glass slide and covering it with a coverslip. Live sperm percent was estimated using differential staining technique with eosinnigrosin stain. To count percent abnormal sperm, semen suspended in formal saline solution (wet smear) was put on the slide and covered with coverslip. The percent abnormal sperm (head, tail, and droplets) were determined by counting total 200 spermatozoa under a phase contrast microscope (100 X magnification with oil immersion).

Data were presented as mean and standard error (mean \pm SE). Analysis of variance was used to assess differences among the buck testicles and storage treatments. Tukey's HSD test was used to compare treatment means by Graphpad InSat Version 5 Soft. Pearson's correlations were worked out between fresh testicular volume with epididymal seminal attributes.

RESULTS AND **D**ISCUSSION

Testicular and Epididymal Biometry

All testicular parameters, *viz.*, length (TL), circumference (TC), diameter (TD), volume (TV), and weight (TW) were significantly (p < 0.05) higher in left testicles as compared to right contemporaries overall and in testes of all the groups (Table 1). Like present findings, higher values for left TL, TC,

TD and/or TV were reported by different workers in musk deer (Choudhary *et al.*, 2008), rams (Bhattacharya *et al.*, 2010; Al-Mahmodi *et al.*, 2017), bucks (Yaseen *et al.*, 2010; Oyeyemi *et al.*, 2012ll; Al-Mahmodi *et al.*, 2017; Chaudhari *et al.*, 2018^b; Baldaniya *et al.*, 2020), camel (Abdullahi *et al.*, 2012) and Murrah bulls (Saurabh *et al.*, 2018).

Further, testicular weight with or without epididymis was significantly higher in left testicles as compared to right one (Table 1). A similar trend was reported for testicular weight in bucks (Yaseen *et al.*, 2010; Oyeyemi *et al.*, 2012; Baldaniya *et al.*, 2020), rams (Bhattacharya *et al.*, 2010), and Murrah bulls (Kumar and Srivastava, 2017; Saurabh *et al.*, 2018). Conversely, significantly higher testicular weight in the right testicle against the left testicle was reported in bucks and rams by Al-Mahmodi *et al.* (2017) and in camel by Abdullahi *et al.* (2012).

In the present study, the epididymal length (EL), width (EW), circumference (EC), and weight (EW) for caput, corpus, and cauda epididymis of left testicles were significantly higher than those of right ones (Table 1). Similar heavier left testes and epididymis were recorded by earlier workers in bucks (Yaseen *et al.*, 2010; Oyeyemi *et al.*, 2012; Baldaniya *et al.*, 2020), rams (Bhattacharya *et al.*, 2010) and Murrah bulls (Kumar and Srivastava, 2017; Saurabh *et al.*, 2018).

Epididymal Seminal Attributes at Different Storage Intervals

The seminal epididymal attributes observed at different

| Table 1: Biometry, weight and volume (Mean \pm SE) of left and right | |
|---|--|
| testicle of slaughtered bucks | |

| Attribute | Left testis (n = 48) | Right testis (n = 48) |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Testicular length with epididymis (cm) | 9.72 ± 0.18** | 9.28 ± 0.20 |
| Testicular length without epididymis (cm) | 7.91 ± 0.17** | 7.65 ± 0.17 |
| Testicular circumference (cm) | $10.83 \pm 0.20^{**}$ | 10.41 ± 0.18 |
| Testicular diameter (cm) | $3.43 \pm 0.06^{**}$ | 3.31 ± 0.05 |
| Testicular volume (cm ³) | 59.89 ± 3.13** | 53.50 ± 2.84 |
| Caput length (cm) | $4.02 \pm 0.09^{**}$ | 3.78 ± 0.08 |
| Caput width (cm) | $3.33 \pm 0.09^{**}$ | 3.00 ± 0.07 |
| Corpus length (cm) | $6.29 \pm 0.14^{**}$ | 6.00 ± 0.14 |
| Corpus width (cm) | $0.50 \pm 0.01^{**}$ | 0.44 ± 0.01 |
| Cauda length (cm) | $2.57 \pm 0.06^{**}$ | 2.01 ± 0.05 |
| Cauda circumference (cm) | $4.36 \pm 0.07^{**}$ | 4.07 ± 0.07 |
| Weight of caput (gm) | $3.47 \pm 0.09^{**}$ | 3.17 ± 0.08 |
| Weight of corpus (gm) | $2.56 \pm 0.06^{**}$ | 2.29 ± 0.07 |
| Weight of cauda (gm) | $3.70 \pm 0.09^{**}$ | 3.39 ± 0.08 |
| Weight of testis (gm) | 59.51 ± 2.18* | 55.04 ± 1.01 |
| Weight of testis with epididymis (gm) | 68.66 ± 3.13* | 63.91 ± 1.11 |

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 between left and right testes.

refrigeration storage intervals of epididymes are presented in Table 2.

Among different storage groups of the epididymis, significantly (p < 0.05) higher semen volume was observed after 24 h (G3, 0.25 \pm 0.01 ml) and lowest after 48 hours $(G_4, 0.21 \pm 0.01 \text{ ml})$ of storage, whereas other groups were intermediary. Contrary to this, little higher initial semen volume was reported by Kabiraj et al. (2011). The sperm concentration per ml and total sperm output decreased significantly (p < 0.05), increasing cold storage time from 6 h till 96 h. The initial sperm concentration of the current study compared well with Kabiraj et al. (2011) and Chaudhari et al. (2018^b). In the present study, a grouping of gonads was done at random from the slaughtered bucks without ascertaining their actual age, scrotal biometry, breeding potential and testicular health etc, hence the variation in semen volume and sperm concentration observed in different groups and in different studies might be due to difference in age, sexual maturity, nutritional status, general health, endocrine balance, season and soundness of sex organs (Karagiannidis et al., 2000; Blash et al., 2000). Further, the decrease in sperm concentration and sperm output with increase in storage time at refrigeration temperature observed concurred with Blash et al. (2000), Mir et al. (2012) and Turri et al. (2013) in buck epididymal studies due to process of progressive decomposition of tissues of preserved cauda epididymis.

Initial motility, viability, and HOS reactivity of epididymal sperm did not differ significantly (p < 0.05) during the first 6 and 12 hours of storage (G₁ and G₂), but thereafter the values were reduced significantly (p < 0.05) and gradually with increasing cold storage interval, i.e., at 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours (Table 2). Martins et al. (2009) and Kumar et al. (2018) also observed a gradual reduction in sperm motility of bull epididymis stored at refrigerator temperature till 96 hours. Hoseinzadeh-Sani et al. (2013), however, recorded higher initial motility of buck epididymal sperm. The non-significant change in viability and HOS reactivity of epididymal sperm up to 12 hours of refrigeration storage (G1 and G2), and thereafter, significant reduction noted at 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours (G₃, G₄, G₅, and G₆) collaborated well with Kamal et al. (2005) and Kabiraj et al. (2011). Loss of energy during the postmortem period might compromise membrane integrity, thus increase permeability which in turns results in reduced viability and motility (Fernandez-Santos et al., 2011). Elongation of postmortem time to epididymal sperm retrieval alters the chemical composition and reduces pH of the epididymal lumen, which in turn deteriorates sperm quality (Kaabi et al., 2003). However, cold storage can protect epididymal spermatozoa to some extent by delaying postmortem epididymal changes compared to room temperature storage (Kaabi et al., 2003; Hori et al., 2009; Turri et al., 2013).

The sperm head and tail abnormalities during cold storage of first 6 to 24 h did not differ significantly, but

| | | Table 2: Epididyma | l seminal attributes (mea | in ± SE) from slaugh | ntered buck after c | lifferent cold storaç | ge (4°C) intervals | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Group/ Storaae | Volume | Sperm conc/ml | Total sperm output | Sperm motility | Live sperm | HOS | Sperm abnorm | ality (%) | |
| time | (<i>m</i> l) | (million) | (million) | (%) | (%) | (%) | Head | Tail | Droplet |
| G ₁ (6 h) | 0.23 ± 0.01 ^{ab} | 3656 ± 41.6^{a} | 872.5 ± 75.61^{a} | 76.25 ± 0.56^{a} | 82.38 ± 0.49^{a} | 34.88 ± 0.74^{a} | 0.62 ± 0.82^{a} | 10.25 ± 0.49^{a} | 46.13 ± 0.89^{a} |
| G ₂ (12 h) | 0.24 ± 0.01^{ab} | 3313 ± 29.5 ^b | 857.3 ± 66.16^{a} | 74.63 ± 0.32^{a} | 80.25 ± 0.40^{a} | 33.00 ± 0.73^{a} | 1.00 ± 0.18^{a} | 10.75 ± 0.62^{a} | 43.75 ± 1.38^{a} |
| G ₃ (24 h) | 0.25 ± 0.01^{a} | $3169 \pm 23.02^{\circ}$ | 828.1 ± 63.07^{a} | 66.63 ± 0.60 ^b | 73.63 ± 0.90^{b} | $25.75 \pm 0.80^{\rm b}$ | 1.00 ± 0.32^{a} | 15.37 ± 0.44 ^b | $37.88 \pm 0.77^{\rm b}$ |
| G ₄ (48 h) | 0.21 ± 0.01 ^b | $3169 \pm 23.02^{\circ}$ | 672.5 ± 37.02 ^b | $59.88 \pm 0.44^{\circ}$ | $69.00 \pm 0.76^{\circ}$ | $17.63 \pm 0.80^{\circ}$ | $2.38 \pm 0.18^{\rm b}$ | $21.13 \pm 0.64^{\circ}$ | $29.63 \pm 0.86^{\circ}$ |
| G ₅ (72 h) | 0.22 ± 0.05^{ab} | 2906 ± 31.96 ^d | 656.3 ± 53.46 ^b | 49.13 ± 1.02 ^d | 57.75 ± 0.86^{d} | 11.88 ± 0.35^{d} | $3.12 \pm 0.22^{\circ}$ | $21.13 \pm 0.61^{\circ}$ | 21.63 ± 0.53 ^d |
| G ₆ (96 h) | 0.23 ± 0.05^{ab} | 2550 ± 26.73^{e} | 603.8 ± 43.21 ^b | 36.63 ± 0.63^{e} | 44.75 ± 0.70^{e} | 8.12 ± 0.64^{e} | 3.50 ± 0.18^{d} | 25.5 ± 0.53^{d} | 19.13 ± 0.44^{e} |
| Means bearing co | ommon superscrip | ots within a column d | o not differ significantly | (p > 0.05). | | | | | |



| Parameters | Testicular Vol. | Semen Vol. | Sperm conc./ml | Sperm Output | Sperm Motility | Live Sperm | HOS positive | Abn. Head | Abn. Tail |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| Semen Vol. | 0.76** | | | | | | | | |
| Sp. conc./ml | 0.68** | 0.09 | | | | | | | |
| Total sperm output | 0.95** | 0.85** | 0.58* | | | | | | |
| Sperm Motility | 0.65** | 0.08 | 0.97** | 0.56* | | | | | |
| Live Sperm | 0.63** | 0.07 | 0.97** | 0.55* | .099** | | | | |
| HOS positive | 0.66** | 0.10 | 0.94** | 0.57* | 0.97** | 0.95** | | | |
| Abn. Head | -0.64** | -0.17 | -0.86** | -0.59* | -0.88** | -0.87** | -0.90** | | |
| Abn. Tail | -0.69** | -0.15 | -0.92** | -0.59* | -0.94** | -0.92** | -0.97** | 0.87** | |
| Abn. Droplets | 0.60** | 0.03 | 0.90** | 0.47* | 0.93** | 0.90** | 0.94** | -0.79** | -0.89** |
| *Significant at 5%; **Sic | gnificant at 1%. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3: Correlation coefficient (r = value) of different cytomorphological and seminal attributes in fresh epididymal semen of bucks

afterward increased significantly as cold storage time increased, i.e., at 48, 72 and 96 h of storage, whereas sperm with droplets during cold storage of first 6 and 12 h did not differ significantly, but afterward reduced significantly as cold storage time increased. There was a progressive and significant rise in sperm head and tail abnormalities whereas decline in droplets with advancing storage time due to postmortem changes in the organ tissues and maturation of sperm with extrusion of cytoplasmic droplets in the preserved epididymis, respectively. These changes in cytomorphology of sperm observed could also be due a battery of etiopathogenesis suggested for sperm abnormalities by earlier workers (Pant et al., 2002; Cooper, 2011). The present findings suggest that if semen cannot be collected immediately after death/slaughter of bucks, their epididymis can be stored at 4°C for 12 hours without compromising semen quality for use from valuable sires.

Correlation Coefficient of Testicular Volume with Epididymal Seminal Attributes

Testicular volume was significantly (p < 0.01) and positively correlated with semen volume (r = 0.76), sperm concentration per ml (r = 0.68), total sperm output (r = 0.95), initial motility (r = 0.65), live sperm (r = 0.63), HOS reactive sperm (r = 0.66)and sperm with abnormal droplets (r = 0.60). However it was negatively (p < 0.01) correlated with percent abnormal sperm head (r = -0.64) and tail (r = -0.69) (Table 3). Similar trends were reported earlier in Murrah bulls (Kumar and Srivastava, 2017). The volume of epididymal semen was significantly and positively correlated only with total sperm output (r = 0.85). Similarly, Kabiraj et al. (2011) recorded significant positive correlation between semen volume, testicular weight and testicular length. Sperm concentration per ml was significantly (p < 0.01) correlated with the total sperm output (r = 0.58), initial motility and live sperm (r = 0.97 each), HOS reactive sperm (r = 0.94) and sperm with droplets (r =0.90), and it was negatively correlated with abnormal sperm head (r = -0.86) and tail (r = -0.92). The total sperm output was significantly (p<0.05) correlated with initial motility, live sperm, HOS reactive sperm, sperm with droplets (r = 0.47 to 0.57), and negatively correlated with abnormal sperm head and tail (r = -0.59). Initial motility was significantly (p < 0.01) correlated with live sperm (r = 0.99), HOS reactive sperm (r= 0.97) and sperm with droplets (r = 0.93), and negatively with abnormal sperm head (r = -0.88) and tail (r = -0.94). The live sperm was significantly (p < 0.01) correlated with HOS reactive sperm (r = 0.95) and abnormal droplets (r =0.90), and negatively with abnormal sperm head (r = -0.87) and tail (r = -0.92). The HOS reactive sperm was significantly (p < 0.01) correlated with sperm with droplets (r = 0.94), and negatively with abnormal sperm head (r = -0.90) and tail (r = -0.97). The abnormal sperm head and tail were positively (p < 0.01) correlated (r = 0.87), and had negative correlations with abnormal droplets (r = -0.79; -0.89) (Table 3). Similar correlations were also reported earlier in Surti bucks by Chaudhari *et al.* (2018^a). The correlations observed signified the quality of various seminal attributes and their association in the epididymal reserve.

CONCLUSION

From the study, it can be concluded that the bucks have significantly larger left testicles and epididymes than the right ones, and the sperm quality harvested from epididymis up to 12 h of refrigeration storage is as good as ejaculated semen. Hence fresh epididymis of slaughtered high merit bucks can be conserved for future use of sperm by a suitable technique. Further, the desirable traits that have high correlations should be considered as assisting tools while selecting bucks for breeding purposes and reducing wastage of valuable resources.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank the Dean, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, and authorities of ANDUAT, Ayodhya for fund and facilities provided to conduct this work.

REFERENCES

- Abdullahi, I.A., Musa, H.A.H., & Jibril, A. (2012). Scrotal circumference and testicular morphometric characteristics of the camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) in the semi-arid environment of Northern Nigeria. *International Journal of Morphology*, 30(4), 1369-1372.
- AL-Mahmodi, A.M.M., AL-Kelaby, W.J., & AL-Ramahy, A.A.J. (2017). Testis morpho-biometrical studies of the adult ram and buck in AL-Najaf AL-Ashraf Province. *Mirror of Research in Veterinary Sciences and Animals*, **6**(3), 32-39.
- Baldaniya, R.V., Patel, C.M., Chaudhary, N.F., Modi, L.C., Chaudhary, L.M., Dangar, N.S., & Pandya, G.M. (2020). Study of testicular biometry and its correlation with cauda epididymal buck seminal attributes. *The Haryana Veterinarian*, 59(1), 61-64.
- Bhattacharyya, H.K., Makhdoomi, D.M., & Akand, A.H. (2010). Testicular biometry and epididymal sperm reserve in local sheep of Kashmir valley. *Kenya Veterinary*, *34*(1), 25-28.
- Blash, S., Melican, D., & Gavin. W. (2000). cryopreservation of epididymal sperm obtained at necropsy from goats. *Theriogenology*, *54*, 899-905.
- Chaudhari⁻ D.V., Dhami, A.J., Patel, J.A., Parmar, C.P., Hadiya, K.K., & Belsare, V.P. (2018^b). Testicular biometry, sexual behavior and semen quality during the period of growth and adolescence in Surti goats. *Journal of Animal Research*, 8(6), 1109-1115.
- Chaudhary, D.V., Dhami, A.J., Parmar, C.P., Patel, J.A., & Pathan, M.M. (2018^a). Study on blood biochemical profile in relation to age and scrotal biometry in adolescent Surti bucks. *The Indian Journal of Veterinary Sciences & Biotechnology*, 14(2), 9-13.
- Choudhary, A.R., Baba, M.A., Khan, M., & Khatun, A. (2008). Morphology and biometry of the testis and epididymis of Musk deer (*Moschus moschiferus*). *Indian Journal of Veterinary Anatomy*, 20, 22-25.
- Cooper, T.G. (2011). The epididymis, cytoplasmic droplets and male fertility. *Asian Journal of Andrology, 13*, 130-138.

- Fernández-Santos, M. R., Soler, A. J., Ramón, M., Ros-Santaella, J. L., Maroto-Morales, A., García-Álvarez, O., Bisbal, A., Garde, J. J., Coloma, M. A., & Santiago-Moreno, J. (2011). Effect of post-mortem time on post-thaw characteristics of Spanish ibex (Capra pyrenaica) spermatozoa. *Animal reproduction science*, 129(1-2), 56–66.
- Hori, T., Uehara, Y., Kawakami, E., & Tsutsui, T. (2009). Influence of the time between removal and cooling of the canine epididymis on post-thaw caudal epididymal sperm quality. *The Journal of Veterinary Medical Science, 71*, 811-815.
- Hoseinzadeh-Sani, S. K., Barati, F., & Khaksary Mahabady, M. (2013). The effects of ex vivo cold-storage on cryopreservation of the goat (*Caprus hircus*) epididymal sperm. *Iranian Journal of Reproductive Medicine*, 11(9), 747–752.
- Kaabi, M., Paz, P., Alvarez, M., Anel, E., Boixo, J.C., Rouissi, H., *et al.* (2003). Effect of epididymis handling conditions on the quality of ram spermatozoa recovered postmortem. *Theriogenology*, *60*, 1249-1259.
- Kabiraj, S.K., Masusul Hoque, S.A., Yahia Khandoker, M.A.M., & Husain, S.S. (2011). Testicular biometry and its relationship with body weight and semen output of black Bengal bucks in Bangladesh. *Journal of Cell and Animal Biology, 5,* 27-32.
- Kamal, A., Gubartallah, A., Ahmed, A., Bakhiet, O., & Babiker, A. (2005). Comparative studies on reproductive performance of Nubian and Sannen bucks under the climatic conditions of Khartoum. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 4(11), 942-944.
- Karagiannidis, A., Varsakeli, S.. & Karatzas, G. (2000). Characteristics and seasonal variations in the semen of Alpine, Saanen and Damascus goat bucks born and raised in Greece. *Theriogenology*, *53*, 1285-1293.
- Kumar, H., Srivastava, S., Kumar, R., Kumar, R., & Singh, K.D. (2018). Effect of ascorbic acid on storage capacity of Murrah bull epididymal spermatozoa at refrigerator temperature. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 7, 4380-4386.
- Kumar, S., & Srivastava, S. (2017). Testicular biometry and its correlation with body weight semen output in Murrah bull. *Buffalo Bulletin,36*(1): 105-113.
- Kundu, C.N., Das, K., & Majumder, G.C. (2001). Effect of amino acids on goat cauda epididymal sperm cryopreservation using a chemically defined model system. *Cryobiology*, *41*, 21-27.
- Leal, M.C., Becker-Silva, S.C., Chiarini-Garcia, H., & Franca, L.R. (2004). Sertoli cell efficiency and daily sperm production in goats (*Capra hircus*). *Animal Reproduction*, 1(1), 122-128.
- Martins, C. F., Driessen, K., Costa, P. M., Carvalho-Neto, J. O., de Sousa, R. V., Rumpf, R., & Dode, M. N. (2009). Recovery, cryopreservation and fertilization potential of bovine spermatozoa obtained from epididymides stored at 5 degrees C by different periods of time. *Animal reproduction science*, 116(1-2), 50–57.
- Martins, C.F., Rumpf, R., Pereira, D.C., & Dode, M.N. (2007). Cryopreservation of epididymal bovine spermatozoa from dead animals and its uses *in vitro* embryo production. *Animal. Reproduction Science*, *101*, 326-331.
- Mir, S.S., Lone, F.A., Khan, M.Z., Malik, A.A., Islam, R. & Sofi, K.A. (2012). Effect of cold storage period on the quality of ram cauda epididymal spermatozoa recovered postmortem. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Science, 36*(6), 683-687.
- Oyeyemi, M.O., Fayomi, A.P., Adeniji, D., Adejoke, & Ojo K. Mary (2012). Testicular and epididymal parameters of Sahel buck in



the humid zone of Nigeria. *International Journal of Morphology*, 30(2), 489-492,

- Pant, H.C., Mittal, A.K., Kasiraj, R., Prabhakar, J.H., & Misra, A.K. (2002). Abnormal detached heads: A characteristic morphological abnormality in spermatozoa of Holstein Friesian x Sahiwal crossbred bulls. *Indian Journal of Animal Science*, 72, 316-318.
- Saurabh, Srivastava, S., Kumar, A., Verma, S., Sharma, P., & Gautam, V. (2018). Study of testicular biometry and its correlation with seminal attributes in Murrah bull. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 7(6), 251-256.
- Turri, F., Madeddu, M., Gliozzi, T. M., Gandini, G., & Pizzi, F. (2013). Effect of testicle postmortem storage on goat frozen-thawed epididymal sperm quality as a tool to improve gene banking in local breeds. *Animal*, p. 1-8, doi:10.1017/ S1751731113002279
- Yaseen, M.S., Joshi, S., Mathur, R., & Gajbe, R.U. (2010). Biometric Study on the testes of Marwari Goats in the Semi-arid Region. *The Haryana Veterinarian, 49*, 72.
- Yu, I., & Leibo, S.P. (2002). Recovery of motile, membrane-intact spermatozoa from canine epididymides stored for 8 days at 4°C. *Theriogenology*, 57, 1179-1190.