# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Effect of Dietary Incorporation of *Moringa oleifera* Leaf Meal on Growth Performance and Nutrient Utilization of Japanese Quail

Manju Lata<sup>1</sup>, Bidhan C. Mondal<sup>1</sup>, Jyoti Palod<sup>2\*</sup>, Anshu Rahal<sup>1</sup>, P. Prabhakaran<sup>3</sup>

#### Abstract

A feeding trial was conducted to discern the influence of dietary incorporation of *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal on growth performance and nutrient utilization of Japanese quail for 6 weeks. A total 288 day-old Japanese quail chicks were randomly distributed into eight treatments of 36 Japanese quail chicks per treatment with three replicates of 12 quail in each. Japanese quail of treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control group) were fed a basal diet (starter and finisher), whereas in treatment group T<sub>2</sub> basal diet was incorporated with Vitamin C @200 mg/kg, T<sub>3</sub> basal diet was incorporated with Vitamin E @10 IU/kg, and in diets T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, and T<sub>8</sub>, the basal diet was incorporated with 1.0%, 3.0%, 5.0%, 7.0% and 9.0% *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal, respectively. Results showed that incorporation of 3.0% *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal improved the performance of Japanese quail in terms of body weight gain and performance index during the overall period. The maximum crude protein utilization was seen in 3.0% *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal incorporated group of Japanese quail followed by 1.0%. However, maximum ether extract utilization was seen with 1.0% *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal while ether extract and crude protein utilization was lower in 9.0% *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal incorporated group. Average feed cost per kg weight gain differed in Japanese quail offered various dietary treatment. It can be concluded that 3.0% *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal can be incorporated in feed for improvement in growth performance of Japanese quail.

**Key words:** Growth performance, Japanese quail, *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal and Nutrient utilization. *Ind J Vet Sci and Biotech* (2024): 10.48165/ijvsbt.20.4.09

#### INTRODUCTION

nimal protein is essential and indispensible for the ever growing population of India to make healthy human resources. India ranks 8<sup>th</sup> in the world in terms of total meat production. The meat production from poultry is 4.995 million tonnes, contributing about 51.14% of total meat production. The growth of poultry meat production has increased by 4.52% over previous year (BAHS, 2023). Commercial quail farming is becoming more popular and is being increasingly promoted in number of Asian and European countries and in Africa (RSPCA, 2011). Quail meat is renowned for their high-quality protein, and low caloric content (Agiang et al., 2011). Many meat consumers prefer quail meat because of its low fat content, primarily saturated fatty acid (Boni et al., 2010). According to Genchev et al. (2008), a daily intake of two quails provides the human body with 27-28 g protein, 11 g of essential amino acids, and covers 40% of the human protein requirement. Feed costs account about 65-70% of total cost in poultry production (Oladokun and Johnson, 2012). With the increasing population and growing demand, there will be acute shortage of major feed ingredients, maize and soybean in near future (Thirumalaisamy et al., 2016). As a result, it has become necessary to evaluate alternative plant sources especially for protein, among which are the leaf meals (Siddiqui et al., 2022).

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263145, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand, India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263145, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Livestock Product Technology, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263145, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand, India

**Corresponding Author:** Jyoti Palod, Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, G.B.P.U.A.&T., Pantnagar-263145,Uttarakhand, India, e-mail: palod\_jyoti17@rediffmail.com

How to cite this article: Lata, M., Mondal, B. C., Palod, J., Rahal, A., & Prabhakaran, P. (2024). Effect of Dietary Incorporation of *Moringa oleifera* Leaf Meal on Growth Performance and Nutrient Utilization of Japanese Quail. Ind J Vet Sci and Biotech. 20(3), 39-44.

#### Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

Submitted 08/04/2024 Accepted 28/04/2024 Published 10/07/2024

Moringa oleifera commonly called as "Miracle trees" or drumstick tree is well known in tropical and sub-tropical regions. Moringa leaves are rich in crude protein (24-29%) content and can be utilized as feed supplement for poultry

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s). 2024 Open Access This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International License.

(Su and Chen, 2020). Incorporation of *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal in the diet of poultry may improve the performance in terms of growth, nutrient utilization and enhance the health status of birds. So, the present study was planned to investigate the effect of dietary incorporation of *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal (MOLM) on growth performance, and nutrient utilization of Japanese quail.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted for 6 weeks at Instructional Poultry Farm, GBPUAT, Pantnagar during winter season of February 2022. A total number of 288-day-old chicks of Japanese quail, as approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC), were randomly distributed into eight treatment groups. Each treatment comprised of three replicates consisting of 12 Japanese quails per replicate. For the experiment, two types of basal diets were prepared to meet the nutrient requirement of Japanese quail, *i.e.*, starter (0-3 weeks) and finisher (3-6 weeks) as per ICAR (2013). The eight dietary treatments were T<sub>1</sub> (Basal diet), T<sub>2</sub> (Basal diet with vitamin C @ 200 mg/kg), T<sub>3</sub> (Basal diet with vitamin E @ 10 IU/kg),  $T_4$  (Basal diet with 1% MOLM),  $T_5$ (Basal diet with 3% MOLM), T<sub>6</sub> (Basal diet with 5% MOLM), T<sub>7</sub> (Basal diet with 7% MOLM) and T<sub>8</sub> (Basal diet with 9% MOLM). The feeding trial was carried out for a period of 6 weeks. A metabolism trial was conducted in the last week of the feeding trial for 7 days to assess nutrient utilization in which twelve Japanese quail were randomly selected from each treatment. Japanese quail were reared in a cage system using standard management and health care practices. The cost of feed was calculated considering the actual market prices of the feed ingredients and feed additives used in preparation of Japanese quail starter and finisher feeds.

# Nutrient Composition of *Moringa oleifera* Leaf Meal and Experimental Diets

The required quantity of *Moringa oleifera* (Sahjan) leaves were air-dried in the shade, followed by drying in a hot air oven at 60°C for 3 to 4 days, and then finely ground to powder using a laboratory mill and stored in a closed and dry container and then mixed with basal feed at varying levels. *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal contained 92.40% organic matter, 22.47% crude protein, 9.67% ether extract, 12.14% crude fibre, 7.6% total ash, 48.13% nitrogen free extract, 1.13% acid insoluble ash and 60.26% total carbohydrate on dry matter basis. The dry matter, crude protein, ether extract, crude fiber, total ash, and nitrogen-free extract content for the Japanese quail's starter feed were 91.76, 25.49, 3.43, 5.76, 6.35, and 58.97%, respectively, and finisher feed were 92.38, 21.76, 3.78, 4.98, 5.13 and 64.35% respectively.

#### **Parameters Studied**

40

Feed offered was recorded daily, and body weight was recorded replicate-wise weekly for 6 weeks. Further, weekly feed intake, body weight gain, feed conversion ratio (FCR), and performance index for each treatment group were calculated. Nutrient utilization by the Japanese quail chicks was estimated from the results of proximate analysis of feed samples and samples of droppings collected in the metabolism trial.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

The data obtained were analyzed statistically by applying One way ANOVA using SPSS software version 21 (Snedecor and Cochran, 1994). The significant mean differences were calculated by Duncan's post-hoc analysis with a significance level of p<0.05.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Production Performance**

The average production performance of Japanese quails in terms of feed intake, body weight gain, feed conversion ratio, and performance index of different groups during the feeding trial are presented in Table 1.

During starter phase (0-21 days) a significantly (p<0.05) higher weight gain was obtained in  $T_5$  group as compared to control and lower in  $T_8$  group. Significantly (p<0.05) higher feed intake was noticed in  $T_2$  group as compared to control and lower in  $T_3$  group. Significantly (p<0.05) most efficient value of feed conversion ratio was seen in Japanese quail chicks of  $T_4$  (1% MOLM) group which was better than  $T_1$  (control) group. Significant (p<0.05) difference of performance index was found in between  $T_2$  and  $T_4$  group.

During the finisher phase (22-42 days) of the feeding trial, maximum and significantly (p<0.05) higher feed intake was recorded in  $T_5$  (3% MOLM) and lower in  $T_8$  (9% MOLM) treatment group. Non-significant (p>0.05) differences were observed in body weight gain among Japanese quail chicks of different treatment groups during this period. Statistically maximum body weight gain was observed in  $T_5$  and minimum in  $T_8$  group. Non-significant (p>0.05) differences quail chicks of different treatment groups during this period. Statistically maximum body weight gain was observed in  $T_5$  and minimum in  $T_8$  group. Non-significant (p>0.05) differences were observed in FCR among Japanese quail chicks of different treatment groups during this period. The performance index for the finisher phase was maximum and significantly (p<0.05) higher in  $T_5$  group and lower in  $T_8$  (9% MOLM) as compared to control.

During the whole feeding trial period (0-42 days) the cumulative average body weight gain of  $T_5$  group was significantly (p<0.05) higher followed by  $T_{4'}$  while significantly (p<0.05) lower weight gain was seen in  $T_8$  group. Significantly (p<0.05) higher feed intake was recorded in  $T_5$  group and lower in  $T_8$  group as compared to control group. It was noted that MOLM incorporation in treatment group improved the FCR values but the differences among the values were non-significant. Most efficient value of FCR was noted in  $T_4$  followed by  $T_{1'}$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_5$  groups, respectively.

The present findings are in accordance with the report of Baloch *et al.* (2021), who noticed maximum broiler chicken body weight in 2.5% MOLM supplemented group as compared to other treatment groups. Furthermore Banjo (2012) and Teteh



Parameters				Treatment	:/Groups			
	T <sub>1</sub> ( Basal diet)	T <sub>2</sub> (Basal diet with Vitamin C @ 200 mg/kg)	<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub> (Basal diet with Vitamin E @10 IU/kg)	<b>T</b> <sub>4</sub> (Basal diet with 1% MOLM)	<b>T</b> <sub>5</sub> (Basal diet with 3% MOLM)	<b>T<sub>6</sub></b> (Basal diet with 5% MOLM)	<b>T<sub>7</sub></b> (Basal diet with 7% MOLM)	<b>T<sub>8</sub></b> (Basal diet with 9% MOLM)
Starter Phase (0-21 days)								
Weight gain (g)	65.35±1.62 <sup>b</sup>	66.49±0.49 <sup>ab</sup>	66.14±1.12 <sup>ab</sup>	67.54±0.48 <sup>ab</sup>	$68.48\pm0.58^{a}$	66.07±0.49 <sup>ab</sup>	65.96±0.57 <sup>ab</sup>	64.88±0.47 <sup>b</sup>
Feed intake (g)*	173.82±6.11 <sup>bcd</sup>	186.48±3.53 <sup>a</sup>	167.99±2.31 <sup>d</sup>	174.68±0.18 <sup>bcd</sup>	180.73±1.24 <sup>ab</sup>	173.56±1.25 <sup>bcd</sup>	179.04±4.15 <sup>abc</sup>	169.72±3.05 <sup>cd</sup>
FCR*	2.66±0.03 <sup>bc</sup>	2.80±0.04ª	2.54±0.07 <sup>c</sup>	2.58±0.02 <sup>bc</sup>	2.63±0.2 <sup>bc</sup>	2.62±0.02 <sup>bc</sup>	2.71±0.04 <sup>ab</sup>	2.62±0.05 <sup>bc</sup>
Performance index	24.57±0.37 <sup>ab</sup>	23.71±0.13 <sup>b</sup>	$26.08\pm1.26^{a}$	$26.11\pm0.38^{a}$	25.95±0.33ª	25.16±0.44 <sup>ab</sup>	24.31±0.28 <sup>ab</sup>	24.83±0.67 <sup>ab</sup>
Finisher Phase (21-42 day	(s)							
Weight gain (g)*	164.39±1.39 <sup>a</sup>	$161.87 \pm 1.13^{a}$	$160.39 \pm 1.88^{a}$	$167.25\pm2.13^{a}$	169.06±2.04 <sup>a</sup>	158.02±3.77 <sup>a</sup>	156.37±1.84 <sup>a</sup>	141.54±10.08 <sup>b</sup>
Feed intake (g)*	520.53±4.95 <sup>cde</sup>	533.53±1.74 <sup>abc</sup>	517.17±1.73 <sup>de</sup>	535.74±2.40 <sup>ab</sup>	544.90±1.17 <sup>a</sup>	529.86±9.95 <sup>bcd</sup>	521.61±2.15 <sup>bcde</sup>	509.18±4.04 <sup>e</sup>
FCR	3.16±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	3.29±0.02 <sup>ab</sup>	3.22±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	3.20±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	3.22±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	3.36±0.12 <sup>ab</sup>	3.34±0.04 <sup>ab</sup>	3.64±0.27 <sup>a</sup>
Performance index*	51.93±1.06 <sup>a</sup>	49.12±0.65 <sup>a</sup>	49.76±1.32 <sup>a</sup>	52.21±1.09 <sup>a</sup>	52.47±1.38 <sup>a</sup>	47.24±2.65 <sup>a</sup>	46.89±1.21 <sup>a</sup>	39.74±5.44 <sup>b</sup>
Whole Feeding Trial (0-42	(days)							
Weight gain (g)*	229.73±1.26 <sup>ab</sup>	228.36±1.23 <sup>ab</sup>	226.52±0.89 <sup>ab</sup>	234.78±2.50 <sup>ab</sup>	237.54±2.50 <sup>a</sup>	224.09±3.32 <sup>b</sup>	222.32±1.32 <sup>b</sup>	206.42±9.61 <sup>c</sup>
Feed intake (g)*	749.64±8.38 <sup>bcd</sup>	765.95±2.93 <sup>ab</sup>	731.50±2.51 <sup>de</sup>	756.19±4.68 <sup>abc</sup>	770.66±1.32 <sup>a</sup>	744.84±11.97 <sup>cd</sup>	732.88±2.92 <sup>de</sup>	716.51±4.04 <sup>e</sup>
FCR	3.26±0.03 <sup>ab</sup>	3.35±0.01 <sup>ab</sup>	3.22±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	3.22±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	3.24±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	3.32±0.08 <sup>ab</sup>	3.29±0.03 <sup>ab</sup>	3.48±0.16 <sup>a</sup>
Performance index*	$70.41 \pm 0.75^{a}$	68.08±0.51 <sup>a</sup>	70.15±0.79 <sup>a</sup>	72.90±1.29 <sup>a</sup>	73.23±1.50 <sup>a</sup>	67.49±2.40 <sup>a</sup>	67.45±1.05 <sup>a</sup>	59.72±5.42 <sup>b</sup>

Parameters $T_1$ (Basal diet) $T_2$ (Basal diet with Vitamin C @ 200 mg/kg)Dry matter $66.78\pm 1.84^{ab}$ $66.35\pm 1.74^{ab}$ Dry matter $66.38\pm 1.77$ $68.13\pm 1.92$ Organic matter $68.03\pm 1.77$ $68.13\pm 1.92$ Organic matter $68.03\pm 1.77$ $68.13\pm 1.92$ Organic matter $66.38\pm 1.58^{ab}$ $70.23\pm 2.34^{ab}$ Ether extract* $70.86\pm 1.58^{ab}$ $70.23\pm 2.34^{ab}$ Ether extract* $70.70.23\pm 2.34^{ab}$ $66.16\pm 1.91^{a}$ Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly at p-Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly at p-Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly at p-Table 3: Economics of Japanese quail chicks fed diets incorpolParameters $T_1$ (Basal diet)Vitamin C @ 200Moerage BW gain (g/b)* $229.73\pm 1.26^{ab}$ $228.36\pm 1.22^{ab}$	T <sub>a</sub> (Basal diet with Vitamin E@10 IU/kg)     kg)     67.03±3.32ªb     67.03±3.32ªb     69.75±2.33ªb     77.71±2.57ª     60.67±2.01ªb     at p<0.05.	T <sub>4</sub> (Basal diet vith 1% MOLM) v 65.96±1.69 <sup>ab</sup> 67.94±1.59 71.28±0.45 <sup>ab</sup> 79.07±1.03 <sup>a</sup> 64.09±1.43 <sup>a</sup>	T <sub>5</sub> (Basal diet vith 3% MOLM) 67.39±1.41 <sup>a</sup> 69.42±1.31 74.32±0.70 <sup>a</sup> 78.66±0.92 <sup>a</sup> 64.69±1.47 <sup>a</sup>	T <sub>6</sub> (Basal diet with 5% MOLM) 64.92±1.58 <sup>ab</sup> 66.61±1.56 64.88±4.32 <sup>bc</sup> 76.05±1.82 <sup>a</sup> 62.38±1.54 <sup>ab</sup>	<b>T<sub>7</sub></b> (Basal diet with 7% MOLM) 67.90±0.93 <sup>a</sup> 69.41±1.04 63.81±3.49 <sup>bc</sup> 76.83±0.75 <sup>a</sup> 62.35±2.38 <sup>ab</sup>	T <sub>8</sub> (Basal diet with 9% MOLM) 61.26±0.58 <sup>b</sup> 63.53±0.54 58.44±3.16 <sup>c</sup> 69.91±0.45 <sup>b</sup> 58.46±0.98 <sup>b</sup>
Dry matter $66.3\pm 1.84^{ab}$ $66.35\pm 1.74^{ab}$ Organic matter $68.03\pm 1.77$ $68.13\pm 1.92$ Orude protein* $70.86\pm 1.58^{ab}$ $70.23\pm 2.34^{ab}$ Ether extract* $76.73\pm 1.29^{a}$ $76.64\pm 1.43^{a}$ Total carbohydrate $61.65\pm 0.72^{ab}$ $66.16\pm 1.91^{a}$ Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly at p-Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly at p-Table 3: Economics of Japanese quail chicks fed diets incorpoiParameters $T_1$ (Basal diet)Vitamin ( $@ 20$ Average BW gain (g/b)* $229.73\pm 1.26^{ab}$ $228.36\pm 1.22^{ab}$	67.03±3.32ªb 69.03±3.11 69.75±2.39ªb 77.71±2.57ª 60.67±2.01ªb at p<0.05. at p<0.05.	65.96±1.69 <sup>ab</sup> 67.94±1.59 71.28±0.45 <sup>ab</sup> 79.07±1.03 <sup>a</sup> 64.09±1.43 <sup>a</sup>	67.39±1.41 <sup>a</sup> 69.42±1.31 74.32±0.70 <sup>a</sup> 78.66±0.92 <sup>a</sup> 64.69±1.47 <sup>a</sup>	64.92±1.58 <sup>ab</sup> 66.61±1.56 64.88±4.32 <sup>bc</sup> 76.05±1.82 <sup>a</sup> 62.38±1.54 <sup>ab</sup>	67.90±0.93 <sup>a</sup> 69.41±1.04 63.81±3.49 <sup>bc</sup> 76.83±0.75 <sup>a</sup> 62.35±2.38 <sup>ab</sup>	61.26±0.58 <sup>b</sup> 63.53±0.54 58.44±3.16 <sup>c</sup> 69.91±0.45 <sup>b</sup> 58.46±0.98 <sup>b</sup>
Organic matter $68.03\pm1.77$ $68.13\pm1.92$ Crude protein* $70.86\pm1.58^{ab}$ $70.23\pm2.34^{ab}$ Ether extract* $76.73\pm1.29^{a}$ $76.64\pm1.43^{a}$ Total carbohydrate $61.65\pm0.72^{ab}$ $66.16\pm1.91^{a}$ Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly at p-Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly at p-Table 3: Economics of Japanese quail chicks fed diets incorpoingParameters $T_1(Basal diet with the table 3)$ Average BW gain (g/b)* $229.73\pm1.26^{ab}$ $228.36\pm1.22^{ab}$	69.03±3.11 69.75±2.39ªb 77.71±2.57ª 60.67±2.01ªb at p<0.05. at p<0.05.	67.94±1.59 71.28±0.45ª <sup>b</sup> 79.07±1.03 <sup>ª</sup> 64.09±1.43 <sup>ª</sup>	69.42±1.31 74.32±0.70ª 78.66±0.92ª 64.69±1.47 <sup>a</sup>	66.61±1.56 64.88±4.32 <sup>bc</sup> 76.05±1.82 <sup>a</sup> 62.38±1.54 <sup>ab</sup>	69.41±1.04 63.81±3.49 <sup>bc</sup> 76.83±0.75 <sup>a</sup> 62.35±2.38 <sup>ab</sup>	63.53±0.54 58.44±3.16 <sup>c</sup> 69.91±0.45 <sup>b</sup> 58.46±0.98 <sup>b</sup>
Crude protein*   70.36±1.58 <sup>ab</sup> 70.23±2.34 <sup>ab</sup> Ether extract*   76.73±1.29 <sup>a</sup> 76.64±1.43 <sup>a</sup> Total carbohydrate   61.65±0.72 <sup>ab</sup> 66.16±1.91 <sup>a</sup> Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly at parameters   76.64±1.61 <sup>a</sup> Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly at parameters   76.73±1.29 <sup>a</sup> 66.16±1.91 <sup>a</sup> Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly at parameters   72.83ad diets incorpoint   72.83ad diets incorpoint     Parameters   T <sub>1</sub> (Basal diet)   Vitamin C @ 20 mg/kg)   72.836±1.22 <sup>ab</sup>	69.75±2.39ªb 77.71±2.57ª 60.67±2.01ªb at p<0.05. olif	71.28±0.45 <sup>ab</sup> 79.07±1.03 <sup>a</sup> 64.09±1.43 <sup>a</sup>	74.32±0.70ª 78.66±0.92ª 64.69±1.47ª	64.88±4.32 <sup>bc</sup> 76.05±1.82 <sup>a</sup> 62.38±1.54 <sup>ab</sup>	63.81±3.49 <sup>bc</sup> 76.83±0.75 <sup>a</sup> 62.35±2.38 <sup>ab</sup>	58.44±3.16° 69.91±0.45 <sup>b</sup> 58.46±0.98 <sup>b</sup>
Ether extract* 76.73±1.29 <sup>a</sup> 76.64±1.43 <sup>a</sup> Total carbohydrate 61.65±0.72 <sup>ab</sup> 66.16±1.91 <sup>a</sup> Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly at p- 66.16±1.91 <sup>a</sup> Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly at p- 75.5±0.72 <sup>ab</sup> 66.16±1.91 <sup>a</sup> Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly at p- 75.883 different superscript in a row differ significantly at p-   Table 3: Economics of Japanese quail chicks fed diets incorpoid 72.883 diet with the superscript in a row differ significantly at p-   Parameters T <sub>1</sub> (Basal diet) Vitamin C @ 20 mg/kg)   Average BW gain (g/b)* 229.73±1.26 <sup>ab</sup> 228.36±1.22 <sup>ab</sup>	77.71±2.57ª 60.67±2.01ªb at p<0.05. olifi	79.07±1.03ª 64.09±1.43ª	78.66±0.92ª 64.69±1.47ª	76.05±1.82ª 62.38±1.54ªb	76.83±0.75ª 62.35±2.38ªb	69.91±0.45 <sup>b</sup> 58.46±0.98 <sup>b</sup>
Total carbohydrate 61.65±0.72 <sup>ab</sup> 66.16±1.91 <sup>a</sup> Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly at p- 66.16±1.91 <sup>a</sup> Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly at p- 72 (Basal diets incorpoind)   Table 3: Economics of Japanese quail chicks fed diets incorpoind 72 (Basal diet wind)   Parameters T <sub>1</sub> (Basal diet) 71 (Basal diet)   Average BW gain (g/b)* 229.73±1.26 <sup>ab</sup> 228.36±1.22 <sup>ab</sup>	60.67±2.01 <sup>ab</sup> at p<0.05. offi	64.09±1.43ª	64.69±1.47ª	62.38±1.54ªb	62.35±2.38ªb	58.46±0.98 <sup>b</sup>
Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly at p- Table 3: Economics of Japanese quail chicks fed diets incorpou Parameters T <sub>1</sub> (Basal diet) Vitamin C @ 20 mg/kg) Average BW gain (g/b)* 229.73±1.26 <sup>ab</sup> 228.36±1.22 <sup>at</sup>	at p<0.05. or <i>Moringa olif</i>					
Average BW gain (g/b)* 229.73±1.26 <sup>ab</sup> 228.36±1.22 <sup>at</sup>	et with T <sub>3</sub> (Basal diet wi @ 200 Vitamin E @10 IU a) ka)	th <b>T<sub>4</sub></b> (Basal diet <i>J/</i> 1% MOLN	with <b>T</b> <sub>5</sub> (Basal ) with 3% N	diet <b>T<sub>6</sub></b> (Basal o IOLM) with 5% MC	et <b>T</b> <sub>7</sub> (Basal diet LM) with 7% MOLN	<b>T</b> <sub>8</sub> (Basal diet ) with 9% MOLM)
	.22 <sup>ab</sup> 226.52±0.89 <sup>ab</sup>	234.78±2.50	J <sup>ab</sup> 237.54±.	2.50 <sup>a</sup> 224.09±3.	2 <sup>b</sup> 222.32±1.32 <sup>b</sup>	206.42±9.61 <sup>c</sup>
Average feed intake/ 749.64±8.36 <sup>bcd</sup> 765.95±2.93 <sup>a</sup> t bird (g)*	93 <sup>ab</sup> 731.51±2.51 <sup>de</sup>	756.18±4.68	} <sup>abc</sup> 770.66±	1.32ª 744.84±11.	)7 <sup>cd</sup> 732.88±2.92 <sup>d</sup>	716.51±4.04 <sup>e</sup>
Feed cost/kg (Rs.)* 23.22±0.01 <sup>a</sup> 23.22±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	.01 <sup>a</sup> 23.22±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	22.33±0.01	<b>ь</b> с 21.46±0	.01 <sup>c</sup> 20.78±0.0	1 <sup>d</sup> 19.78±0.01 <sup>e</sup>	18.56±0.01 <sup>f</sup>
Total feed cost (Rs./bird)* 17.40±0.19ª 17.78±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	.06 <sup>a</sup> 16.98±0.05 <sup>b</sup>	16.89±0.10	р <b>с 16.54</b> ±0	.02 <sup>c</sup> 15.48±0.2	t <sup>d</sup> 14.49±0.05 <sup>e</sup>	13.30±0.07 <sup>f</sup>
Feed cost/kg weight gain   101.08±0.55 <sup>a</sup> 101.68±0.54 <sup>a</sup> (Rs.)*	0.54 <sup>a</sup> 102.51±0.40 <sup>a</sup>	95.15±1.02	2 <sup>b</sup> 90.39±0.	95 <sup>bc</sup> 92.80±1.3	њс 88.97±0.53 <sup>с</sup>	90.33±4.33 <sup>bc</sup>



*et al.* (2013) mentioned that the inclusion of 1% MOLM in the diet of the broilers significantly (p<0.05) enhanced their weight gain than the control. Similarly Talukdar *et al.* (2020) noticed the higher body weight in 0.25% and 0.50% MOLM incorporated diet of Japanese quails. Furthermore, Hamad *et al.* (2018) noticed the higher body weight in MOLM at level of 6 g/kg diet of Japanese quail as compared to control group. The improved weight gain of quail could be attributed to high digestibility of *Moringa oleifera* leaves which could improve absorption of nutrients (Backer, 1995).

In the present study, a significant (p<0.05) decline in weight gain and feed intake was noted in Japanese quail that received 7.0% and 9.0% MOLM in diet during overall growth period. The adverse effect on growth rate in birds can be attributed to the presence of higher crude fibre content which may impair nutrient digestion and absorption (Onu and Aniebo, 2011). Another reason for reduction in feed intake at higher level of inclusion of MOLM in diet could be due to reduced palatability of the diet and presence of anti-nutritional factor like tannin (Kakengi *et al.*, 2003).

In the present study, significant (p<0.05) improvement in FCR was found in Japanese guail, received 1.0% MOLM in diet during overall growth period. The present result is in line with the finding of Karthivashan et al. (2015) who observed that 1.5% of Moringa oleifera leaf meal extract gave the best FCR as compared to other treatments in broiler diets. In contrast, El-Tazi (2014) noticed that broilers fed on 5% MOLM diet showed best and significantly (p<0.05) higher FCR in birds. This improvement in FCR may be attributed to rich content of nutrients in M. oleifera (Kakengi et al., 2003). The present study showed a significant (p<0.05) improvement in performance index of guail that received lower doses of Moringa oleifera leaf meal (1.0 and 3.0%) in diet during overall growth period. These findings were in accordance with the report of Hamad et al. (2018), who reported that guail fed diets supplemented with 6 g/kg MOLM showed higher performance index as compared to control.

The present study revealed significant (p<0.05) improvement in average weight gain, feed intake, feed conversion ratio in Japanese quail that received vitamin C (200 mg/kg) and vitamin E (10 IU/kg) in diet as compared to control during overall growth period. Similarly Abudabos *et al.* (2018) reported significantly (p<0.05) increased performance in broilers in terms of body weight gain and feed intake with supplementation of vitamin C @ 200 mg/L in the drinking water. In contrast, Bushwereb *et al.* (2023) observed that the addition of vitamins C and E had no impact on the growth efficacy in broilers.

#### **Nutrient Utilization**

The average values of nutrient utilization of Japanese quail in terms of dry matter, crude protein, ether extract, organic matter, and total carbohydrate in different treatment groups during the metabolism trial are presented in Table 2.

There were insignificant variations in the utilization of dry matter, organic matter and total carbohydrate in the

Japanese quail fed diet incorporated with varying levels of Moringa oleifera leaf meal. The significant (p<0.05) value of crude protein utilization was seen in Japanese quail of group T<sub>5</sub> (3% MOLM) followed by T<sub>4</sub> (1% MOLM) and T<sub>1</sub> treatment group. However significantly (p<0.05) maximum values of ether extract utilization was seen in T<sub>4</sub> (1% MOLM) group followed by T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> treatment group and lower values of ether extract and crude protein utilization were seen in T<sub>8</sub> treatment group. The results of the study are in accordance with Fouad and Raye (2019), who noted that birds provided 7 g MOL/kg in diet had the best digestibility of nutrients compared with other dietary treatments. Likewise Harshini et al. (2022) observed inclusion of MOL powder (5%, 10%, and 15%) to the basal diet in birds had no significant (p>0.05) variation in the apparent digestibility of nutrients and the reduction in feed intake of supplemented groups did not affect their retention of nutrients. Furthermore Prakash et al. (2022) noticed supplementation of neem leaf powder (0.25%, 0.50%, and 1.00%) to the basal diet had no significant (p>0.05) variation in the utilization of dry matter, crude protein, ether extract, and organic matter in the Japanese quail.

The present study showed a significant (p<0.05) influence in nutrient utilization of Japanese quail received vitamin C and E in diet. These results agreed with Sahin *et al.* (2001), who reported the digestibility of dry matter, organic matter, crude protein, ether extract as greater with higher dietary vitamin C (p<0.05) and also with higher vitamin E. Furthermore Sahin *et al.* (2002) reported that heat exposure decreased digestibility of dry matter, organic matter, crude protein and ether extract, which were elevated by supplemental vitamin E.

#### **Economics over Feed Cost**

The results of economic analysis (Table 3) indicated significant (p<0.05) differences in average feed cost per kg weight gain in Japanese quail offered varying level of *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal in diet. These findings were in agreement with the Zanu *et al.* (2012), who indicated that cost benefit analysis in broiler chickens with incorporation of MOLM (5, 10, and 15%) resulted in reduced feed cost. Similarly Onibi *et al.* (2008) reported a reduction in the cost of feed consumed at higher inclusion of MOLM.

# CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of this study, it can be concluded that 3% *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal can be incorporated in feed for improvement of growth performance of the Japanese quail.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the authorities of Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar for providing the necessary facilities for this study.

#### REFERENCES

- Abudabos, A.M., Al-Owaimer, A,N., Hussein, E.O.S., & Ali, M.H. (2018). Effect of natural vitamin C on performance and certain haemato-biochemical values in broiler chickens exposed to heat stress. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, *50*(3), 951-955.
- Agiang, E.A., Oko, O.O.K., & Essien, G.E. (2011). Quails response to aqueous extract of bush marigold (*Aspilia africana*) leaf. *American Journal of Animal and Veterinary Science*, 6(4), 130-134.
- Backer, K. (1995). Studies on utilization of *Moringa oleifera* leaves as animal feed. Institute for animal production in the tropics and subtropics, vol. 480. University of Hohenheim Stuttgart, p.15
- BAHS (2023). *Basic Animal Husbandry Statistics*, Government of India, Ministry of Fisheries, Animal husbandry and Dairying, Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairying, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi, India.
- Baloch, F.N., Baloch, H.N., & Mughal, G.A. (2021). Replacement of sunflower meal with *Moringa oleifera* leaves on growth performance of broiler. *Approaches in Poultry Dairy & Veterinary Sciences*, 8(2), 756-762.
- Banjo, O.S. (2012). Growth and performance as affected by inclusion of *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal in broiler chicks diet. *Growth*, 2(9), 35-38.
- Boni, I., Nurul, H., & Noryati, I. (2010). Comparison of meat quality characteristics between young and spent quails. *International Food Research Journal*, *17*, 661-666.
- Bushwereb, M., Bentaher, F., Elraghig, M., & Mansur, M. (2023). Performance, lymphoid organ, and carcass characteristics of broiler chicken as affected by feed supplementation with vitamin C, and vitamin E. *Global Journal of Animal Scientific Research*, *11*(1), 89-103.
- El-Tazi, S.M. (2014). Effect of feeding different levels of *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal on the performance and carcass quality of broiler chicks. *International Journal of Scientific Research*, *3*, 147-151.
- Fouad, W., & El-Rayes, T.K. (2019). Effect of using *Moringa oleifera* leaves on productive performance and some physiological parameters of Japanese quail. *Egyptian Poultry Science Journal*, 39(1), 193-205.
- Genchev, A., Mihaylova, G., Ribarski, S., Pavlov, A., & Kabakchiev, M. (2008). Meat quality and composition in Japanese quails. *Trakia Journal of Science*, *6*, 72-82.
- Hamad, O.K., Gendi, G.M. El., El-Garhy, H., & Aljumaili, T.K. (2018). Effect of supplementing *Moringa oleifera* leaves meal to quail diets on productive performance. *Annals of Agricultural Science*, *Moshtohor*, *56*(4), 997-1004.
- Harshini, A., Tomar, S., Yadav, A.S., & Awasthi, M.G. (2022). Effect of *Moringa oleifera* leaf powder feeding on the growth performance and meat quality of Kadaknath chicken. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition, 39*(4), 486-501.
- ICAR (2013). Nutrient Requirement of Japanese Quail. Central Avian Research Institute (CARI), Izatnagar, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, India.
- Kakengi, A., Shem, M., Sarwatt, S., & Fujihara, T. (2003). Can Moringa oleifera be used as a protein supplement to ruminants? Australian-Asian Journal of Animal Sciences, 18, 42-47.
- Karthivashan, G., Arulselvan, P., Alimon, A., Ismail, I.S., & Fakurazi, S.S. (2015). Competing role of bioactive constituents in *Moringa oleifera* extract and conventional nutrition feed on

the performance of Cobb 500 broilers. *Bio Medical Research International*, *1*, 1-13.

- Oladokun, V.O., & Johnson, A. (2012). Feed formulation problem in Nigerian poultry farms: a mathematical programming approach. *American Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research*, 3(1), 14-20.
- Onibi, G. E., Folorunso, O. R.C., & Elumelu, C. (2008). Assessment of partial equi-protein replacement of soyabean meal with cassava and leucaena leaf meals in the diets of broiler chicken finishers. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 7(4), 408-413.
- Onu, P.N., & Aniebo, A.O. (2011). Influence of *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal on the performance and blood chemistry of starter broilers, *Nigeria International Journal of Food Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 1(1), 38-44.
- Prakash, B., Mondal, B.C., Palod, J., Kumar, A., Lata, M., & Kumar, R. (2022). Effect of dietary inclusion of neem leaf powder on growth performance, nutrient utilization, and haematobiochemical parameters of Japanese Quail. *Indian Journal of Veterinary Sciences and Biotechnology*, 18(4), 109-113.
- RSPCA (2011). Royal Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals: Good practice for housing and care. Published by West Sussex.
- Sahin, K., Sahin, N., Onderci, M., Yaralioglu, S., & Kucuk, O. (2001). Protective role of supplemental vitamin E on lipid peroxidation, vitamins E, A and some mineral concentrations of broilers reared under heat stress. *Veterinární Medicína –Czech*, 46(5), 140-144.
- Sahin, K., Kucuk, O., Sahin, N., & Sari, M. (2002). Effects of vitamin C and vitamin E on lipid peroxidation status, serum hormone, metabolite, and mineral concentrations of Japanese quails reared under heat stress (34°C). *International Journal of Vitamin and Nutrition Research*, *72*, 91-100.
- Siddiqui, R., Mondal, B.C., Kumar, R., Kaur, M., Kumar, A., Palod, J., & Lata, M. (2022). Effect of replacing rice polish with mulberry (*Morus alba*) leaf powder in diet containing phytase enzyme on performance and haemato-biochemical parameters of Commercial laying hens. *Indian Journal of Veterinary Sciences and Biotechnology*, 18(1), 17-22.
- Snedecor, G. W., & Cochran, W. G. (1994). *Statistical Methods*. 8<sup>th</sup> edn, Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Su, B., & Chen, X. (2020). Current status and potential of *Moringa* oleifera leaf as an alternative protein source for animal feeds. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, *7*, 53-55.
- Talukdar, A.D., Choudhury, K.P., Kalita, & Saikia, R. (2020). Effect of using *Moringa oleifera* (Drumstick) leaf meal on performance of Japanese quail. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 8(2), 1485-1490.
- Teteh, A., Lawson, E., Tona, K., Decuypere, E., & Gbeassor, M. (2013). *Moringa oleifera* leave: Hydro-alcoholic extract and effects on growth performance of broilers. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 12(7), 401-405.
- Thirumalaisamy, G., Muralidharan, J., Senthilkumar, S., Sayee, R.H., & Priyadharsini, M. (2016). Cost-effective feeding of poultry. International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology, 5(6), 3997-4005.
- Zanu, H.K., Asiedu, P., Tampuori, M., Asada, M., & Asante, I. (2012). Possibilities of using *Moringa (Moringa oleifera)* leaf meal as a partial substitute for fishmeal in broiler chickens diet. *Online Journal of Animal Feed Resources, 2,* 115-120.

