International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering & Management (IJIREM)
ISSN: 2350-0557, Volume-8, Issue-6, November 2021
https://doi.org/10.55524/ijirem.2021.8.6.52
www.ijirem.org

An Exploration of Bioremediation and Its Implementation in Processing of Aquaculture Waste

Sh. Sachin Gupta
SOMC, Sanskriti University, Mathura,
Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- chancellor@sanskriti.edu.in

ABSTRACT
Environmental implications of waste generated by large-scale, intensive aquaculture are substantial, and they have the potential to cause dynamic habitat changes. Inorganic nitrogen will be captured from water by using both current and new technology, and organic nitrogen will be reduced in sediments by using both existing and creative technology. Environmental methods like as Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA) are growing in favor because to their ability to increase in situ nitrogen and other nutrient reduction at sea cage locations. There has been many research published on biological nitrogen removal via nitrification, denitrification, and anaerobic ammonium oxidation. This process includes a variety of bacterial species, which has resulted in a lot of studies being published. More efforts, however, must be undertaken to remediate wastewater and aquatic sediments generated by water farming operations. There are several drawbacks to traditional healthcare techniques, which are listed below. The development of more efficient reactor systems, as well as a complete, integrated waste management solution, will promote the adoption of more environmentally friendly aquaculture practices. This article discusses the use of bioremediation for the treatment of aquaculture effluent, and how it may be used.

Keywords
Aquaculture, Bioremediation, Denitrification, Microorganisms, Nitrification.

1. INTRODUCTION
Bioremediation is the process of removing poisons from the environment via the use of microbial metabolism. Bioremediation may occur naturally or can be accelerated by the addition of fertilizers to the medium in order to increase the bioavailability of the contaminants. Recent advancements have also been successful in increasing the ability of the resident microbe population to break down toxins by introducing matching microbe strains to the medium, which has been shown to be beneficial. In the field of bioremediation, Bioremediators are microorganisms that carry out the process of remediation. It is divided into two categories: in situ and ex situ. This technique may be employed on the polluted site itself or on pollution that has been removed from the original site. Tilling may be necessary in the case of contaminated soil, sediments, and sludge in order to ensure that microorganisms have access to nutrients and oxygen throughout the decomposition process. Plant remediation, bio-venture, bioleaching, soil agriculture, bioreactor, manure, bio-enhanced, rhizoprocessing, and bio-stimulation are only a few examples of bioremediation methods. Others include rhizoprocessing and bio-stimulation. Environmental remediation, also known as bioremediation, is a method of destroying or rendering harmless different contaminants by using natural biological activity. As a result, it makes use of low-cost technical techniques that are generally widely accepted by the general people and are often conducted on-site[1].

However, it is not always adequate due to the limited number of pollutants with which it is effective, the relatively lengthy time scales, and the fact that the quantity of residual contaminants that may be obtained may not always be suitable. Despite the fact that the methodology employed is not technically demanding, considerable skill and knowledge will be required in order to develop and implement an effective bioremediation programme. As a result, it is critical to carefully evaluate the suitability of a site and customize the conditions in order to achieve the best possible outcome. Ecology has traditionally relied on the removal of contaminated soil or the collection of dirty soil at a specific location and storage[2].

There are several drawbacks to using these methods. The first method simply relocates pollutants that may cause significant risks throughout the excavation, storage, and transportation of hazardous materials. The second approach eliminates contaminants entirely. Furthermore, the search for new dump sites for the ultimate disposal of garbage is getting increasingly difficult and expensive as time goes on. The cap and contain method is just a temporary solution since the residue remains on the site, requiring long-term maintenance and repair of the separation walls, with all of the associated expenses and potential responsibility that goes along with it. There is a more straightforward method of eliminating poisons, or at the very least converting them into safe molecules, than the traditional methods. High-temperature burning and other kinds of chemical breakdown were also used as alternative techniques. However, while they may be extremely effective at lowering levels of a variety of pollutants, they also have numerous drawbacks, including technical difficulty, the expense of small-scale use, and a lack of public support, particularly in the case of incinerating, which can result in increased contaminant exposure for employees at the site as well as for the general public nearby[3].

1.1 Heavy Metal Sources
Both naturally occurring and human sources of heavy metals are responsible for their formation in the atmosphere, including pedogenetic weathering cycles of parent products. Mineral

weathering, floods, and seismic activity are the most significant natural causes, while human sources include logging, smelting, electroplating, chemical usage, and phosphate fertilizer discharge, as well as biosolids, atmospheric buildup, and other factors. Disturbing the natural distribution of man-made geochemical metals results in the accumulation of one or more heavy metals in the soil or rivers, which is sufficient to endanger human health, plants, animals, and aquatic biota beyond a certain threshold of accumulation level. Due to overproduction from natural and man-made processes, transfer from mining to other locations where human exposure is increased, discharge from industry of large quantities of metal waste, and increasing bio-availability, heavy metals are the most polluting contaminants in the soil and the water [4].

1.2 The Bioremediation Principle

The ability of microorganisms to enzymatically target and convert poisons into innocuous compounds in controlled settings or to concentrations below the limits set by regulators for bioremediation is required for bioremediation to be successful. It is necessary to identify biological waste as the process by which organic waste is omitted under safe circumstances and transformed into a safe state. The use of bio-remediation necessitates the manipulation of environmental factors in order to accelerate microbial growth and degradation. This is necessary since bio-remediation is only effective when environmental circumstances allow for microbial growth and activity. Generally speaking, bioremediation technologies are more cost-effective than conventional incineration procedures since some pollutants may be treated on-site, thus reducing health risks to cleanup personnel and the likelihood of additional exposure as a result of traffic accidents. In light of the fact that bioremediation is reliant on natural mitigation, the public considers it to be more suitable than other technologies. In aerobic circumstances, the vast majority of bioremediation systems operate; nevertheless, systems operating in anaerobic conditions have the potential to facilitate the breakdown of difficult-to-degrade compounds in microbial species[5].

1.3 Factors Affecting Bioremediation

The management and optimization of the bioremediation phase is a dynamic process involving a number of factors. This includes the existence of a microbial community capable of degrading pollutants; the availability of contaminants in the microbial population; and environmental considerations. Microorganisms may be separated from one another in almost any environmental condition. Microbes can adapt and flourish in a variety of settings, including subzero temperatures, extreme weather, wilderness, high levels of oxygen, and aerobic conditions, if there is a threat of harmful chemicals or waste in the environment. The main requirements are for an oil supply and a carbon source. These may be employed for the destruction or remediation of microorganisms and other biological systems that pose a hazard to the environment. Microorganisms may be classified into the following categories based on their characteristics: Aerobic. Aerobic. When there is enough oxygen. As an example, Pseudomonas, Acaligenes, Sphingomonas, Rhodococcus, and Mycobacterium have all been identified as aerobic bacteria due to their ability to degrade organic matter[6]. There is evidence to suggest that these bacteria breakdown pesticides and hydrocarbons, including both alkanes and molecules, among other things. Many of these bacteria are completely reliant on the pollutant for their carbon and oil requirements. "Anaerobic" refers to the absence of oxygen. In the presence of oxygen, of course. Anaerobic bacteria are less frequently utilized than aerobic microorganisms. They are also less effective. Anaerobic bacteria are becoming more prevalent in the bioremediation of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in river sediments, as well as the de-chlorination of solvents such as trichloroethylene (TCE) and chloroform, according to the Environmental Protection Agency. Fungal organisms that degrade lignin. The fungus Phanaerochaete chrysosporium, often known as white red fungus, is capable of decomposing a broad range of environmental pollutants that are either permanent or hazardous in nature. Stroke, scab powder, and maize cobs are some of the most often utilized substrates. A broad range of chemicals may be degraded by the original enzyme responsible for aerobic degradation, methane monoxygenase, which has a diverse range of substrates and is active against a diverse range of molecules, including trichloroethylene and 1,2-dichloroethane chlorinated aliphatic materials[7].

Factors relating to the environment Nutrients are essential for life. Carbon is the most basic element of all living things, and it is required in greater quantities than any other element for this reason. It accounts for about 95% of the total weight of hydrogen, oxygen, and nitrogen combined. The kind of bioremediation required is determined by the soil pollutant concentration, with phosphorus and Sulphur accounting for 70 percent of the remaining 30 percent. Dietary allowances for carbon and nitrogen are 10:1, whereas dietary needs for carbon and phosphorus are 30:1.

Bioremediation has a number of unique characteristics. It is a suitable waste disposal technique for hazardous materials such as soil, and it takes just a short period of time. As a contamination is present, microbes that can degrade a greater quantity of the pollutant are present; when the contaminant deteriorates, the biodegradable population declines. In most cases, the procedure leftovers are completely safe. Bioremediation is typically considerably less time-consuming and may be completed on-site without causing major disruption to the regular operations of the company. This also eliminates the need for trash to be transported off-site, as well as the potential hazards to human health and the environment from doing so[8].

Bioremediation is a cost-effective method since it requires less energy than other conventional techniques for hazardous waste treatment, making it a more environmentally friendly option. This method also helps with full removal of toxins by converting the majority of hazardous chemicals into innocuous materials, thus avoiding the possibility of being held liable for the treatment and disposal of contaminated content.There are no potentially hazardous chemicals present. Fertilizers, which are commonly utilized in lawns and gardens, are nutrients that aid in the growth of microorganisms. As a result of bioremediation, dangerous chemicals are converted into harmless water and harmless gases, and harmful compounds are completely removed.

1.4 Bioremediation Limitations

- Bioremediation is limited to the removal of biodegradable contaminants. There are certain compounds that are incapable of undergoing full and rapid degradation.
- The possibility that biodegradation materials are more harmful or permanent than their compound parent has been raised on several occasions. Biological pathways that are very

ISSN: 2350-0557, Volume-8, Issue-6, November 2021
https://doi.org/10.55524/ijirem.2021.8.6.52
www.ijirem.org

complicated are also included. It is essential for the success of the study that metabolic microbial populations exist on the site, that suitable environmental growth conditions exist, and that enough amounts of nutrients and contaminants are present.

- Extrapolating results from bench and pilot studies to full-scale field operations is a difficult undertaking.
- The need for research and development of bioremediation methods that are suitable for sites with diverse contaminant mixtures that are not widely distributed in the environment is urgently needed. Toxic substances include solids, oils, and gases.
- When compared to most alternative disposal techniques, such as soil extraction and disposal or incineration, bioremediation is often more time-consuming.
- Also problematic is the lack of regulatory certainty about the proper success criteria for bioremediation projects. The notion of 'safety' is not universally accepted, and determining the effectiveness of bioremediation is difficult.

1.5 Phytoremediation

Botanical remediation is the use of plants and associated microbes to partially or completely remove selected contaminants from soil, sludge, sediments, drainage and ground water with the goal of restoring the environment. It has the ability to remove radionuclides, chemical compounds, and heavy metals from the environment. Phytoremediation is a technique that makes use of a variety of plant technologies as well as physical characteristics of plants to aid in the cleanup of contaminated regions. Over the last several years, there has been an increased emphasis placed on phytoremediation, which is a property that may be utilized to treat heavy metal polluted soils. An efficient, cost-effective, and environmentally friendly solar-driven in situ remediation system has been developed.

Plant remediation technology makes use of a number of various techniques, including phytoextraction, phytofiltration, psytostation stabilization, plant volatilization, and phytodegradation, among others. Metallic metal transfer into shoots is an essential biochemical technique, and a high-efficiency phytoextraction method is desired. Rhizofiltration (the utilization of plant roots), blastofiltration, and caulophiltration are all required for phytofiltration. The phytoremediation phase is the following step that must be taken (use of excised plant shoots). This has the effect of absorbing or adsorbing metals, thereby reducing their mobility in subterranean water. In addition to this process, phytostabilizations or phytoimmobilization are carried out in order to reduce the mobility and biological access to metals and, as a result, to prevent their migration into ground water or the food chain from occurring[9].

Heavy metals in soils are immobilized by plants through precipitation, complex formation, or reduction of the metal rhizosphere valence. There is no reliance on rhizospheric bacteria for the metabolism of organic pollutants produced by plant enzymes such as dehalogenases and oxygenases. Some heavy metals absorbed by plants, on the other hand, are transformed to volatile forms, which are subsequently released into the atmosphere via a process known as phytovolatilization. This technique has been used to remove some hazardous heavy metals from polluted soils, such as mercury and strontium, and it is still being utilized today. However, it is limited in that it does not completely remove the metals, but rather simply transfers them from one medium (soil or water) to another (atmosphere), from which they may then be reintroduced into the soil and water.

1.6 Wastes Treatment

The bioremediation of contaminated water and sediments caused by sea cage aquaculture, as well as effluents produced by land-based aquaculture operations, is being carried out by a diverse range of organisms, including bacteria, microalgae, and macroalgae On-site bioremediation techniques for marine cage operations are anticipated to be more feasible than land-based treatments in terms of cost and time. In certain cases, however, a combination of traditional waste management approaches and additional bioremediation techniques may be required for aquaculture operations. Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA) methods, as well as multi-trophic aquaculture, have been recognized as important advancements in the pursuit of long-term sustainability in aquaculture. IMTA combines various complementing species at a plant in order to optimize the utilization of nutrients while minimizing solid waste that flows through sediments. Waste from one creature serves as an energy source for another, bringing the ecosystems closer to a state of greater balance as a result.

At the same time as they remove ammonium, nitrate, and phosphate from the water columns of fish, precious marine algae also supply nutrients for the development of aquaculture pollutants in the water column. Composting with huge amounts of particulate matter from uneaten fish and faeces in cozy and other filter feeders may result in harvestable body biomass being produced. Lower feeders may also be included into the machine to assist with the function of sediments, organics extraction, and bio-irrigation. While IMTA cannot completely remove both inorganic and organic waste from aquaculture farms, it should be investigated at an industrial pilot size in combination with biotechnological applications, such as integrated anaerobic-aerobic reactors, to see whether it can reduce or eliminate them. Nitrification by microorganisms and denitrification by sediment Nitrification in the natural environment. Under aerobic circumstances, two bacteria convert ammonium to nitrite and nitrate, respectively.

As a result, this process consumes a significant quantity of oxygen and has the potential to decrease the amount of dissolved oxygen in the atmosphere. Aerobic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) oxidize ammonia to nitrite via the action of hydroxylamine, which is subsequently oxidized to nitrate by nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB). An additional type of bacteria, the ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA), is often joined with the ammonia-oxidizing bacteria to create the ammonia-oxidizing microorganisms (AOM). Calvin–Benson cycle: Autotrophic bacteria in these groups utilize the reducing power of nitrogenous substrates as a source of carbon, which they get via the Calvin–Benson cycle.

Ammonia oxidizers are represented by the b- and g-subclasses of the Proteobacteria; by contrast, nitrite oxidizers are represented by the a-, b-, and g-subclasses of the Proteobacteria and Nitrospirates, respectively. The emergence of some bacteria as the main nitrogen suppliers is not surprising given the presence of nitrate and ammonia in the environment. Organisms that denitrify their environment: Die denitrification of nitrogen in the atmosphere is the main process by which fixed nitrogen is converted to N2 steam. As a consequence of low oxygen circumstances and energy-generating processes in which nitrogen oxides, such as nitrate, nitrite, nitric, and nitrous oxides, are employed as electron acceptors instead of oxygen, N2 gas is produced as a byproduct, which is then reduced to nitrogen dioxide gas.

Various microorganisms, including bacteria, archaea are capable of participating in denitrification processes in varying degrees. Denitrifying bacteria and archaea have been shown to have many clusters of genes involved in the process of denitrification. These genes encode four metalloenzymes that successively convert nitrate to N 2: nitrate reductase, nitrite reductase, nitric oxide reductase, and nitrous oxide reductase. Nitrate reductase is one of the four metalloenzymes that reduce nitrate to N 2. Anammox: Anaerobic ammonium oxidation is a technique of denitrification that is distinct from the other methods. Initial reports of this phenomenon came from anoxic bioreactors in wastewater treatment facilities, where researchers found that new species linked to the Planctomycetales may be utilized as an electron acceptor to oxidize ammonium. Initially, an anomaly was discovered, which was subsequently shown to be the source of anammox losing between 24-67 percent of the nitrogen in marine sediments. The presence of Anammox is currently thought to be responsible for somewhere between 20% and 40% of total nitrogen loss from the seas worldwide. It may also be found in marine and estuarine sediments, anoxic basins, oxygen-depleted zones, mangroves, sea ice, and colder lakes, among other places.

The behaviour of anammox seems to indicate that it is likely to contribute substantially to denitrification of the soil in anoxic aquaculture operations. Applications in the technical field Land and biological filters are important. Environmental effects of aquaculture are minimized when aquaculture operations are conducted on land, where waste products may be more readily accessed. The increase is minimal with flow-through systems since large amounts of waste water are already being drained into a water box before the technology is implemented. The quantity of waste streams becomes manageable, however, when recirculation systems are used, and a variety of treatment solutions may be considered. Protein is the most important component of fish feed since it is required for the development and production of energy by the fish[10].It is the end consequence of protein synthesis that ammonium, which is toxic to fishes, becomes available. The use of biological filters in recirculating systems helps to maintain excellent water quality. Heterotrophic bacteria breakdown organic wastes, while aerobic nitrifying bacteria convert ammonium to nitrate, which is subsequently discharged into the wastewater stream. Because the bacteria responsible for ammonium oxidation are very sensitive to operating conditions, particularly pH, it is necessary to exercise care while maintaining biological filters.

2. DISCUSSION

Nitrogen removal from wastewater will result in a range of physical, chemical, and biological processes in biological treatment systems when the nitrogen is removed. A large number of prototypes are being deployed in detox facilities and nuclear reactors. Incorporating various components of the normal biogeochemical nitrogen cycling into the environment may be accomplished using any technique, but the method must be utilized in order to maximize the kinetics of activities throughout the period of remediation. Absolute microbial nitrification (to remove nitrites) in conjunction with anammox is more cost efficient than other approaches. It is determined by waste parameters such as organic content and ammonium concentration that the optimum reactor design is found. When it comes to both circumstances, partial nitrification is the most common process. Processes that utilize partial nitrification or combine partial nitrification with anammox have been developed in suspended biomass or biofilm reactors, including a novel technique based on anaerobic ammonia oxidation combined with nitrate-to-nitrite conversion driven by sulphide.

The control of nitrogen removal in immobilized systems, such as biofilter or biological films, as well as in waste bioremediation wetland systems, requires further research. Additional research is required. The use of wetlands in aquaculture systems is sometimes recommended as a last treatment step before the system is fully released into the surrounding environment. In one use of such a humidity system, a small-scale treatment of effluents from a trout farm was carried out utilizing a built-in wetland with subsurface flow. It was found that the wetland treatment of dissolved nutrients was only successful for ammonium and nitrites when high load rates were used in conjunction with large amounts of water and therefore short retention periods, while nitrates and phosphate had no or even unfavorable treatment effects during the wetland transit. It is possible to expect more efficient nitrogen removal with longer retention periods. There have been documented instances of nitrogen reduction in aquaculture systems via the use of biological reactors.

3. CONCLUSION

The aquaculture sector, particularly extensive salmon farming in near-shore seas, is confronted with a significant challenge in terms of guaranteeing social, economic, and environmental sustainability. The closure of hundreds of industrial facilities in Chile was caused by a single outbreak that resulted in the loss of millions of dollars in revenue as well as thousands of employment opportunities. In this instance, it is not clear what role waste control played, if any, played; nevertheless, it shows the enormity of the expenses involved with outbreaks produced by viruses housed in waste sediments. There are a variety of methods and treatment technologies available for improving aquaculture waste management and in situ repair procedures, among them. The aquaculture industry needs to pay close attention to these as an investment that will allow it to maintain, enhance, and protect the environment while incorporating improved waste management practices into its operations.

REFERENCES

[1]. Azubuike CC, Chikere CB, Okpokwasili GC. Bioremediation techniques–classification based on site of application: principles, advantages, limitations and prospects. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology. 2016.

[2]. Dixit R, Wasiullah, Malaviya D, Pandiyan K, Singh UB, Sahu A, et al. Bioremediation of heavy metals from soil and aquatic environment: An overview of principles and criteria of fundamental processes. Sustainability (Switzerland). 2015.

[3]. Sharma B, Dangi AK, Shukla P. Contemporary enzyme based technologies for bioremediation: A review. Journal of Environmental Management. 2018.

[4]. Mary Kensa V. Bioremediation - An overview. Journal of Industrial Pollution Control. 2011.
[5]. Deshmukh R, Khardenavis AA, Purohit HJ. Diverse Metabolic Capacities of Fungi for Bioremediation. Indian Journal of Microbiology. 2016.
[6]. Dzionek A, Wojcieszyńska D, Guzik U. Natural carriers in bioremediation: A review. Electronic Journal of Biotechnology. 2016.
[7]. Kaushal J, Mehandia S, Singh G, Raina A, Arya SK. Catalase enzyme: Application in bioremediation and food industry. Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology. 2018.
[8]. Ojewumi ME, Okeniyi JO, Ikotun JO, Okeniyi ET, Ejemen VA, Popoola API. Bioremediation: Data on Pseudomonas aeruginosa effects on the bioremediation of crude oil polluted soil. Data Br. 2018;
[9]. Abatenh E, Gizaw B, Tsegaye Z, Wassie M. The Role of Microorganisms in Bioremediation- A Review. Open J Environ Biol. 2017;
[10]. Vural A, Demir S, Boyno G. Bioremediation and using of fungi in bioremediation. Yuzuncu Yil University Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2018.

