International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering & Management (IJIREM)
ISSN: 2350-0557, Volume-9, Issue-1, February 2022
https://doi.org/10.55524/ijirem.2022.9.1.35
Article ID IJIR- IRP229121, Pages 190-194
www.ijirem.org

A Review of Strategic Planning and Strategic Management

Sh. Sachin Gupta

Chancellor, Department of Management, Sanskriti University, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh

Correspondence should be addressed to Sh. Sachin Gupta; chancellor@sanskriti.edu.in

ABSTRACT: According to longitudinal study in Australia from 1982 to 1993, strategic planning systems played a significant part in the strategic management efforts of large industrial companies. But strategic planning has changed dramatically since the early 1980s as companies have made their planning systems more flexible, decentralized strategic planning divisions or business units, moved planning responsibility from the employees to managers and changed the function of corporate planning departments. In addition, companies tried to build their corporate culture by focusing more on client orientation and quality management in general. They have increased their global activity while concentrating on core business activities via acquisitions and disposals. The future is expected to increase total quality management and benchmarking, with companies concentrating more on appropriate training and recruitment procedures. As new generations rise to power, leadership and corporate cultures become more and more essential. Digital technologies and artificial intelligence in all its forms will have a major impact on the area of strategy.

KEYWORDS: Companies, Corporate Planning, Environment, Strategic Management, Strategic Planning.

I. INTRODUCTION

Businesses across the world have been under increased strain in the last decade due to fundamental changes. The reorientation of resources, commodities and services has been driven by the upheaval of industry and globalization has changed the competitive world. As a result of major technological and consumer demand advancements, new markets and industries have evolved. As a consequence of deregulation, some industries have lost their safeguards, and companies are now under pressure to upgrade their products and services to meet global standards. These major changes have led to a considerable change in corporate competitiveness. Companies must now rethink their management methods and seek for new ideas and approaches to assist them navigate the unpredictable environment. In 1982, just 49% of Australia's top 100 manufacturers experienced turbulence and remained among the top 100 in 1993. The remaining 51% were either bought by other companies or went out of business [1].

The concept of strategic management has changed since it began in the mid-1950s to reflect these economic, technological and social changes. In the 1960s, it was initiated as a budgetary exercise and evolved into strategic planning in the 1970s, allowing for increased market response and competitiveness through scenario analysis and competitive evaluation, strategic alternative estimates and dynamic resource allocation. In the 1980s, all resources were coordinated for a competitive advantage during a time of strategic management. This included a cross-functional planning environment, a flexible and creative planning methodology and a company value system that reinforced management commitment. As a topic of study, the strategy experienced in the 1990s "the greatest times and the worst periods" for strategic scientists, especially "the hunt for new paradigms" [2]. In recent years, and especially in the last 10 years, more emphasis has been given to formalizing strategic planning processes. Several strategic management writers raised worry that strategic execution did not seem to take place despite advanced strategic planning procedures. Formalized planning processes seemed to be little more than bureaucratized and rigid financial management operations, rather than the creation of new strategic guidelines and innovative concepts. According to Mintzberg, formalized strategic procedures have frequently "ruined strategic thinking."

A. Policy Planning

The implementation of the strategy provides the company a competitive edge. This also addresses the achievement of a competitive advantage. These statistics demonstrate that a strategic plan must involve the whole company on an ongoing basis. This results in a highly dynamic natural environment to be monitored and adapted as necessary. This scanning aspect requires research and development throughout the business. The definition of the vision and purpose is also addressed in strategic planning, which determines the long-term direction of the business. In the framework of strategic planning, resources are allocated among various projects to achieve the intended result. There are some regarded as strategic managers in a company. They are responsible for scanning the environment by changing it as necessary. This shows that they should have a solid business assessment. Some think that strategic planning is a cycle. This cycle focuses on establishing company-wide objectives and techniques and ways to achieve them. The strategic plan also defines the techniques of measurement after the outcomes are visible. Finally, only modifications are made to the results noticed if required. This is thus called a cycle since it is an ongoing activity [3].

B. Clinical Planning Method

Since it doesn't evaluate any explicit structural model, the clinical approach to planning includes communications and the systems that holistically create them. To build a mutually agreeable formulation and assault strategy, clinical planners gather together a set of people involved in various elements of a problem. Convener as well as process consultant are the primary roles of the clinical planner in clinical procedures. They try to avoid introducing content into the process via non-directive action. Those who name themselves process consultants, agents for change, action researchers, conduct modifications, organizational developers, etc. frequently use this approach. Socio-psychological methods are used to motivate and inspire individuals to create and cooperate, such as brain storming and sensitivity training, since they have a strong link to the behavioral sciences.

The clinical method for developing offers a number of benefits, including the ability to deal with the qualitative, complicated, value-laden, and unstructured aspects of planning. Supporting and encouraging teamwork and a sense of ownership in the outcomes is a key benefit. The focus on involvement typically enhances the quality of work life and makes people feel better with themselves and their circumstances. Its shortcomings include a lack of structure and the inability to measure it objectively. It's not necessarily the most effective, productive, or even the moral thing for people to agree on and to feel good. Individuals are as likely to err as participatory entities and leadership is just as susceptible to misleading. Individuals and organizations are frequently unaware of what they do not know yet [4].

The social aspects of socio-technical systems are frequently the focus of doctors' participative approaches and overlook the technical aspects. Clinical discussions based on qualitative experience are seldom suitable for dealing with technical system components. Such discussions are more likely to suppress symptoms than alleviate the symptoms. Clinicians are more anxious to get rid of what they don't want than to acquire what they want. This isn't the same thing. Health does not just include the absence of illness.

C. Design for Planning

The design approach to planning combines clinical and scientific methods. It tries to utilize its strengths while avoiding its defects and adds some new components. It is based on the concept of five-stage planning. The first step is the mess. This is done so that the basic systemic features of mess are captured and illuminative, not by listing the risks and possibilities generated individually, in order to see whether it and its surroundings continue to behave in this way. Changing the trajectory of a network does not guarantee that it will end up in the same situation. It's time to wrap up the planning phase of things. Principles, goals, and objectives must be agreed upon in order for this method to be successful. As a result, stakeholders may use an idealized overhaul of the system in question today whether they have the ability to do so. Gaps in the remaining planning process are established by the disparity between the institution's most desired present and future outcomes. Thirdly, you need to do your homework. Filling in the blanks is the focus of this section. They are much more likely to be in need of innovation than to be discovered. They are often the result of deliberate action. This means that imagination plays a role in selecting techniques as much as analytical evaluation. The fourth issue is the allocation of resources. The amount of each and every resource needed by the chosen method is calculated and when these needs emerge. To determine whether and where these criteria may be accomplished, the next step is to look at the options. It must be amended if it is found to be infeasible, and the cycle must be repeated till it actions to be conducted and those available match. The fifth and last stage is the design of implementation and control. Who will do what, when and where, how their behaviour and penalties will be watched and modified as necessary, is determined [5].

These five design-driven planning phases are carried out as cooperatively as possible in order to enable all stakeholders to participate. Efforts are coordinated and integrated by dividing stakeholders into small planning teams. The planning also facilitates the creation of the goal, and also the education, adaptability, and growth of both the company and its employees. The design approach includes both clinical and scientific planning methods. At each stage in the process, clinical methods are widely used while professional planners continuously input information, expertise and comprehension acquired through participatory research. In addition, if an issue arises, In the event that it is feasible to dissolve it, a research is employed. As part of the design process, models are used to investigate and produce new ideas that may arise. Rather than being used to assess present methods, they are employed as guidelines or tools for creating new ones. Design-oriented planners discover potential applications of research, design and carry out research to provide useful input into the process of planning, and, most important, recognize limitations to research and demonstrate where judgements are required in order to supplement it. In addition, they should demonstrate how judgement may be examined and controlled in order to develop it quickly and efficiently. Each of the five stages of design-oriented planning has its own set of requirements for the engagement of stakeholders. In addition, experts instruct participants in planning techniques, research design and execution, and training and administration of production teams. As a result, design-oriented planners are responsible for both the participants' continuing progress and the development process' form and substance. In addition, individuals are accountable for eliminating the most crucial future hurdle they desire to remove: self-imposed constraints. They should persuade stakeholders that the majority of restraints they perceive have been brought from outside are in fact self-inflicted." Planners will only be able to do this if they have realized that the majority of the constraints they face are purely psychological. The bulk of business plans are like ceremonial rain dance: they have little influence on the following weather, but they offer those participating a feeling of power. Most discussions about using models in planning concentrate on how dancing is improved instead of the weather [6].

D. Planning Research Method

This kind of preparation begins with a comprehensive review of a riot to identify its links. It seeks to build the

disassembled components in a manner that limits their linkages so that they may be handled relatively independently. While stakeholders may have contributed to the development of the mess, they rarely resolve problems, since the resolution of the problem demands a higher degree of technical knowledge than most stakeholders. In this approach, the social dimensions of socio-technical systems are either ignored or allowed to be handled as desired since the research procedures applied are more mechanical than purposeful. They usually do this in a clinical way. The research method to mess management is seriously flawed since it depends almost exclusively happening investigation. A mess is a collection of problems and a system can't be divided into separate components. From this it can be concluded that a system always has qualities that no part of the system has and that these are basic characteristics of the system. For example, no part of a person includes reading, writing, creating art, developing and playing games or creating tools that are all essentially human skills. In addition, if a system component is separated from the system from which it belongs, it loses its basic capabilities and characteristics. The hand, the eye and the brain that is separated are all incapable of writing, reading or thinking. Consequently, the fundamental qualities of that and its pieces are lost when a study designer investigates and models this jumble. To put it another way, what he calls "difficult" issues are really "soft fictions", abstractions that are only indirectly linked to reality. Researchers identified five main criticisms of the study technique, of which only one was addressed here, the breakdown of masses. Although it is difficult to delve into the other here in detail, it may be helpful to convey them briefly [7].

First, both problems and their solutions have a shorter life expectancy due to the growing rate of environmental changes and their unpredictability. As a consequence, decisions that can be learned and adapted rapidly are more required than optimization algorithms. Second, ancient Greek philosophers have differentiated between four types of human values: truth, plenty, kindness and beauty. Science, economics, ethics, and aesthetics all play a role in the pursuit of these lofty ideals. To a lesser extent, scientists and economists are developing models that represent ethical principles as well as scientific ones. Scholars have a hard time defining what aesthetic values are, despite the commonly recognized decline of our quality of life. Thirdly, "predict and prepare" is the planning concept which supports the bulk of model use in planning. It is simple to show that we cannot foresee what is affected by our and other decisions, but the bulk of the projections are influenced by what companies choose to accomplish in organization. It is not a surprise that most of projects fail, as at minimum some of the key projections they are based on have shown to be incorrect at some point. A new planning paradigm is urgently need beyond "predicting and preparing." Finally, disciplines are not components but frames of view or methods of looking at reality. Effective treatment of issues needs cooperation across a wide variety of disciplines, which operational research and management science do not comprehend but achieve. Universities educate the research and administration of operations, which are professionally organized as an area. There is a great deal of confusion in the world right now because of the division of people into many disciplinary issues. All of the arts and humanities must be used to effective mess-resolution, not only science with a 'S' capital. There is no similar approach in combinatorial optimization or management science. Because it's not an actuality for them, it's only an impression [8].

E. Approach to Strategic Planning

In 1982, the planning systems of strategic planning companies had a high degree of formalization and regularity. According to the 1993 interviews, these companies have tried to make their planning procedures more flexible and less dependent on norms and laws during the last decade. Some respondents highlighted that their organized planning systems' main objective was to provide a forum for debating strategies and corporate objectives and that the planning process itself was more important than the actual outcomes. One of Sydney's main businesses, which had a very sophisticated codified planning system in 1982, shows this trend to increase the flexibility of the planning system. One reporter said that this 20-year planning approach has often become a "bureaucratic nightmare." It had "formed its own life" and was not focused on strategic issues but rather on numbers. The planning system was discontinued in 1988 because of its shortcomings. The company did not use any formal strategic planning framework for four years, but rather developed project-based plans. In 1992, a number of faults were found in this approach and a structured planning system was re-established. According to our reply, that method, which does not have a department of corporate planning, is considerably more flexible, "fosters financial plan objectives" and has a 5-year horizon. The company center manages a "strategic issue generation and resolution process," and business unit leaders submit new problems. These issues are then addressed and prioritized by the center and management. "We don't have the same knowledge base," one reporter remarked, "but intuition is of more importance because we are dealing with real strategic issues." The growing significance of informal planning reflects this tendency to make planning systems more flexible. In 1993, most respondents saw informal planning as a useful tool for launching and advancing planning activities and supporting final strategic plans. Informal planning discussions were also considered essential to improve the quality of the company's strategic thinking. In addition, enough informal discussions were expected to take place before the official planning sessions, allowing these meetings to take place in a pleasant atmosphere and focus on strategic issues. On the other hand, the attempt to improve the flexibility of the planning system in general had minimal effect on the planning horizon of strategic planning companies. The bulk of planning activities were carried out for 1-3 years and for 5-10 years, followed by 10-20 years. Short-term, already negligible urgent planning in 1982 was considerably less so [9].

The effect on the corporate planning process of different groups followed a similar pattern in 1982 and 1993, with the CEO having a big influence on the final approval of the corporate plan and the development of corporate objectives and strategies. The corporate planning department had a lot to say on the corporate plan structure

and assumptions while outside the Board of Directors had a lot less to say, save for final corporate plan approval. Top second-level managers have had the most impact on second-level missions. But there has been a significant change in the development of second-level troop missions. The substantial impact of CEOs in the industry has diminished over the past 11 years and suggests that second-level management inside their respective divisions or key business units is more autonomous [10].

II. DISCUSSION

International operations played an important role in Australia's strategic management initiatives by large manufacturers. Every strategic planning enterprise declared global operations in 1993, while just 80% of strategic planning companies did in 1982. The share of revenue produced outside Australia increased significantly from 14% in 1982 to 31% in 1993. This development is mostly due to a strategic shift in government policy in Australia. The cornerstone of Australian industry policy has traditionally been high levels of protection, in particular in the form of tariffs. The major effects of this protectionism were a poorly internationally competitive inward-looking industry.

When the Labor government came into power in 1983, it realized that in a world of global integration a highly regulated economy was no longer appropriate. This resulted in a succession of economic adjustments. Australian currency was devalued, financial markets liberalized and foreign banks allowed to function in the country. A major aspect in economic reforms was the progressive removal of tariff protection and import restrictions. The idea underlying these reforms was that "economic Darwinism" would develop through exposing the Australian market to competition, with strong companies surviving and flourishing in global markets. As a consequence, the average effective production assistance rate fell from 25% in 1981-92 to 15% in 1990-91 and is projected to decline further to 5% in 1996-97 after full implementation of the Australian Government's reforms in 1991. In addition to the requirement to be more competitive in international markets, the relatively restricted domestic market and the large number of companies operating in established industries. Companies have to expand their activities beyond their national boundaries and seek for new markets across the world to develop. According to the findings of our research, Australia's 100 largest manufacturing enterprises obviously focused on the foreign markets. The increase in foreign activity is nevertheless mostly due to the implementation in the last decade of more export-oriented policies. Offshore manufacturing tactics for the Australian market had a little effect both in 1982 and 1993. In the next five years, respondents expect an increase in external activities, particularly in Asian markets. Southeast Asia and other East Asian countries are projected to become 48 percent of the world's largest market, while 56 percent will be the second largest market. This clearly indicates a shift away from traditional markets such as the USA and New Zealand to Asia. Most companies have searched for markets outside the country to offer their existing products and technologies. Companies have not made major modifications to their goods and technology to overseas markets and have not particularly created new items and technologies for these nations. In addition, foreign markets have seldom been used to temporarily sell surplus products and technology. These strategies remained mostly similar over the last decade with the difference that companies seemed to seek fewer foreign products and technology licensing agreements in 1993. At this stage of their growth, the majority of strategic planning companies are export focused, but their products are not suited for foreign markets.

III. CONCLUSION

Strategic Plan Companies realized the need to incorporate formal strategic planning procedures throughout the organization to succeed. The intentional effort to build the culture of its business demonstrates that "soft" variables such as values and beliefs in addition to "hard" ones such as established procedures and accurate analysis are considered as key components in strategic management. In particular, the role of culture in strategy implementation has received considerable emphasis. To ensure the successful implementation of the strategy, companies realize that they need to change their attitudes and behaviors. Strategic Plan in addition to internal changes, companies have adopted a more external approach. The changing needs and expectations of consumers have had a major impact on the strategic management plan of a business. Companies have to abandon their inner thinking and become more sensitive to their customers and markets. Companies link strategic planning and business decision-making and combine various strategic management components into a consistent whole as part of their strategic planning process. As the economy gets more complex and competition intensifies, it may be the greatest survival and prosperity approach. Despite the advances achieved over the last ten years by strategic planning firms, respondents saw only their firms as strategically managed (3.4 on a five-point scale). One of the special changes that respondents anticipate in the next five years is the need to review or simplify their planning processes and further improve the flexibility of their planning systems. Companies want to concentrate more continuously on the strategic thinking of senior management and to improve the link between planning and performance evaluation. They also wish to concentrate more planning efforts on the division or strategic unit level. Companies also expect an increase in overseas operations and a stronger pushing into Asian markets, as has already been stated. Acquisitions in the next five years will play an important role in the company strategy, with respondents predicting divestitures to be a minor one.

REFERENCES

[1] Bonn I, Christodoulou C. From Strategic Planning to Strategic Management. Long Range Plann. 1996;

[2] Bagheri J. Overlaps between Human Resources' Strategic Planning and Strategic Management Tools in Public Organizations. Procedia - Soc Behav Sci. 2016;

[3] Athapaththu HKSH. An Overview of Strategic Management: An Analysis of the Concepts and the Importance of Strategic Management. Int J Sci Res Publ. 2016;

[4] Martinet AC. Strategic planning, strategic management, strategic foresight: The seminal work of H. Igor Ansoff. Technol Forecast Soc Change. 2010;
[5] Papke-Shields KE, Boyer-Wright KM. Strategic planning characteristics applied to project management. Int J Proj Manag. 2017;
[6] Ugboro IO, Obeng K, Spann O. Strategic Planning As an Effective Tool of Strategic Management in Public Sector Organizations: Evidence From Public Transit Organizations. Adm Soc. 2011;
[7] Poister TH. The future of strategic planning in the public sector: Linking strategic management and performance. Public Adm Rev. 2010;
[8] Kirchhoff BA. From Strategic Planning to Strategic Management. Acad Manag Rev. 1979;
[9] Jami Pour M, Kouchak Zadeh Z, Ahmad Zadeh N. Designing an integrated methodology for knowledge management strategic planning: The roadmap toward strategic alignment. VINE J Inf Knowl Manag Syst. 2018;
[10] Nickols F. Strategy, Strategic Management, Strategic Planning, Strategic Thinking. Nickols. 2016;