International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering & Management (IJIREM)
ISSN: 2350-0557, Volume-9, Issue-2, April 2022
https://doi.org/10.55524/ijirem.2022.9.2.32
Article ID IJIR-2235, Pages 224-228
www.ijirem.org

Financial Behavior and Psychological Biases: A Comprehensive Study of the Core Factors

Mansi Gohil[1], and Dr. Nidhi Nalwaya[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, Parul University
[2]Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Parul University

Correspondence should be addressed to Mansi Gohil; mansi.gohil90721@paruluniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT: With regards to complex monetary relationships, Investment choices, uncertainty/risks, and the repeating financial emergencies, psychological variables have become progressively significant in Investment decision making. Exploration on the investment behavior of various scholars at various times and place, this generally acknowledged interdisciplinary financial field: Behavioral Finance. To understand and explain psychological decision making and investment behavior, it is necessary to study the behavioral factors that affect individual's mentality. Many researchers have researched the aspects which determine the financial behaviour and their effect on economic judgment, notably with cognitive bias. Traders are frequently not conscious of their biases & preconceptions. If traders are informed of the biases they may confront, they may behave more logically. This mentality can improve the quality of your decision-making. The work aims to help policymakers and investors understand psychological deviations so that people can make better judgments when buying and lower the likelihood of behavior aberrations, since the repercussions of individual mistakes are eventually mirrored on the macro scale, generating stability and Financial and economic crises.

KEYWORDS: Bias, Financial behavior, Investor, Information, Psychological biases

I. INTRODUCTION

"The investment's primary difficulty - and even his greatest adversary - is to be oneself." Franklin Templeton is a well entrepreneur and teacher of economics in the United States. [1]. Research and studies by many authors on financial behavior at dissimilar eras and chairs has created a widely accepted new financial field: behavioral finance. This fresh perspective on the ever-increasing importance of investment elements decisions consists mainly of examining why people have not made the decisions that they should have made and why the market has not reacted as they expected and should have responded. Behavioural economics is founded on the idea that marketplace participants' knowledge and attributes influence personal investing choices in a systematic manner and financial market performance of an individual[2].

It is vital to investigate behavioral elements in order to comprehend and understand a person's investing behaviour and judgment. that affects the investment decisions[3]. Researchers have investigated monetary behavioural elements and their effect on economic judgment, include cognitive errors, social demographics characteristics, investment character type, risk-taking, and cultural. Among the given factors, we emphasized that psychological biases on the basis of rigorous work done by behavioral finance researches, have verified its existence. 2 well-known Israeli philosophers, Halpern and Tversky, have played a very significant role in formulating theories and disclosing the psychological biases in the area, and a huge number of additional scholars have added to their conceptual and operational discoveries[4].

In numerous of the cases, investors are unaware of the prejudices and biases in their behavior. If at all the shareholders are cognizant flaws and biases they experience, they can come to proper financial decisions in a more rational way. As a result, the quality of individual's decisions will improve. If the investor understands himself better, he can make more money or protect his Investments. The research attempts to offer shareholders with mental bias, enabling them to make more rational judgments when buying, lowering their risks of "walking into the snare", since the erroneous effects of these micro-actors are eventually mirrored on the macro level, causing them to make more logical decisions. Unstable. And the economic and financial crisis. The aim of this paper is that first, we introduce psychological bias and its classification based on the literature and past studies, Then we list other equally important psychological biases in classification, and finally at the end theoretical summary of psychological research. Bias, implemented by numerous professors in the realm of financial conduct [5].

A. Cognitive Prejudices

The way investors think and react will affect their conduct while making financial selections. These consequences are termed cognitive or behavioural bias. They move all depositors and vary according to the nature and behavior of the investor. They may also be intellectual or emotional, according to Pompian. Self - confidence, applicability, anchor, framing, cognitive conflict, utility, conceptual bookkeeping, and other cognitive biases are examples. Loss aversion, optimism, and standing quo bias are examples of emotional biases [6].

According to Shefrin, she differentiates cognitive biases between biases based on heuristics theories and prejudices based on frame theory. Algorithmic bias comprises, cockiness, over-optimism, representativeness, grounding, and usefulness. Deviance from the paradigm include: regret aversion, psychological accounting, disposition effects, etc. Kahneman and Tversky were the first scholars to study biases involving heuristics such as representability, anchoring, and usability Kahneman and Tversky, have added two more biases to this component: junkie's fallacy and cockiness. The same researcher classify the three biases in the perspective hypothesis: loss avoidance, regrets abhorrence, and cognitive accounting. Given of its relevance, they researched grazing bias as a distinct element in economic behavior [7].

Buyers must take heed to the frequency of these discrepancies. Most of them are intrinsic elements of individual behaviour and have negative influence on the capacity to better financial conditions. Depending on the above categorization, I have classified psychological biases in the following categories: heuristics, perspective theory, and grazing.

B. Biases based on Heuristics

a. Representativeness

Representativeness means it’s a rigid judgment, as a "representation" of all individuals of an organization. When we judge the probability that A belongs to category B and see how similar A and B are, we will have a deviation[8]. When we do this, we disregard the knowledge regarding the overall chance of recurrence of B, its base rate. Let’s See the next instance: Question 6: Ben enjoys opera and likes to attend art galleries in his leisure time. As a child, he likes to play checkers with relatives and colleagues. What do you believe can be Ben's profession is as an adults?

b. Ben performs in a symphony ensemble.

c. Ben is a merchant.

Numerous folks pick Beacause Ben’s description matches fits our stereotypical image as concert pianist concert pianist instead of entrepreneurs. In fact, the probability of B being true is significantly greater since traders take up a bigger share for given number [9]. Similarity-based assessment is usually a short-term cognitive method, generally secondhand in all parts of life. For example, if the packaging design of a product is similar to a well-known brand, customers may think that the product is of relatively higher quality. In financial and investment terms, representativeness is a tendency to be more positive about business plans that have worked well in previous periods and to be increasingly negative about ventures that have performed poorly in the previous years. Therefore, investors are more willing to buy stocks with abnormally high returns recently as they are indicators of a good investment. When investors rate investments as good or bad based on their recent experience, there is a bias. Therefore, they buy shares after the price rises, expecting this growth to continue, and ignore the purchases of shares when the price is below basic value[10].

d. Accessibility Paradox

This bias is characterized as the decision-predilection maker's towards incredibly simple data or occurrences. Obtainability deviation mentions to the deviation from the probability or frequency of human judgment events, from which facilities can be recovered. This happens when we overstate the chance of something happening, because an identical incident has occurred before, or if we are highly thrilled regarding a past identical event.

Due to availability bias, our view of risk may be incorrect, distorting understanding of real risk, which can have a fatal impact. An easier memory shows that the easier something is to remember, the more likely it is to happen. When we make a decision, we are often affected by what we remember. Our memory is affected by several factors, such as beliefs, expectancies, emotions and sensations, and the regularity of interaction. The press may also alter our memory. Rare events are very eye-catching to us when they happen, because they receive a lot of press attention, which improves the probability of recalling them, particularly after the occurrence. But recalling an event and estimating its likelihood are two distinct things. For illustration, if you are in a car catastrophe, you are most prone to estimate that the risk of future automotive accident is substantially bigger than the true possibility. A research by Karlsson, Goodstein, and Ariely reveals that, relative to catastrophic catastrophes that have recently happene, individuals are more inclined to acquire coverage to safeguard oneself after a catastrophic catastrophe, than than getting insurance before the event. Other illustration is that in the case of an aircraft catastrophe, airline stock values will decline dramatically until the first month following the tragedy. When the event began to be recalled, the shareprice started to soar anew.

e. Anchoring

Anchoring arises when a person enables certain facts to dominate their intellectual dynamic connection. At the moment when people need to evaluate an obscure quantity, they refer to a particular value and their evaluation is around the value to that they refer. On the off chance that you need to consider the amount you need to pay to purchase a home, you will be influenced by the merchant's cost. Any numbers that you need to consider as potential answers for the issue to be assessed will be influenced by the mooring marvel. The anchor impact is not difficult to apply to barters, and the underlying bid has an amazing impact. In the speculation climate, one outcome is that market members showing a mooring predisposition will in general hold lower-esteem ventures since they "anchor" the reasonable worth gauge to the underlying price tag, as opposed to its inner worth.

Therefore, market participants hold investments and hope that asset prices will rise to the purchase price level again, thereby gaining higher risks. Anchoring may be the reason why investors refuse to make the right decision (buy undervalued assets/sell overvalued assets) or accept wrong decisions (ignore undervalued assets or buy or hold overvalued assets). Historical values such as purchase prices are usually used as what we call a specific rate of return or net income to achieve a specific goal "anchor". When trading, investors frequently make judgments depending on the first piece of data they encounter, and it is tough to modify their opinions based on fresh data. To prevent anchor, buyers would evaluate a broad variety of investments options when making financial decisions, rather than focusing on specific information benchmarks.

f. Gambler's fallacy

Knowledge of likelihood may lead to incorrect judgments and forecasts regarding the frequency of occurrences. Because of this prejudice, people mistakenly believe that random events are unlikely to occur after a series of events. This kind of thinking is erroneous, since previous experiences will not influence the potential of specific upcoming occurrences. For illustrate, if we toss a penny 20 time and it throw "heads", then anybody may predict that it is most like to toss "faces" next time. This style of thinking gives the erroneous understanding of probability, since the chance of a coin head is usually ½. Every iteration is a separate occurrence, which implies that the preceding one does not effect the latest one. We may discover more instances, such as the link between humans and gambling machines. Some individuals have "stuck themself in" the device for hours. Most of these individuals feel that each setback would bring them back to triump. They hardly know that due to the programming of the machine, the chance of winning the first prize of the slot machine equals the payment, so it doesn't matter whether you are playing the slot machine you just won. , Or with other people who have not won In some cases, investors can easily fall into this prejudice. For instance, many investors think that they needed to terminate their position since the company has been over-traded and expensive for a long time, and they feel that the situation would not develop anymore. On the other hand, other investors can continue to hold stocks that have depreciated many times because they believe that further price reductions are "impossible." If the events are independent, then the probability of a particular future outcome remains the same regardless of the previous outcome. It is unreasonable to sell stocks just because you think your long-term direction may change at any time.

g. Optimism Blind

Cockiness is a cognitive or behavioral bias in which individuals underestimate their competence or the chance of a result. People frequently have full of self regarding their talents and expertise to effectively execute specific jobs. There is a true bias that an individual personal opinions about his judgements are greater than the objective accuracy of these judgments. There are three forms of overestimating yourself:

- Overstating your current skills;
- Overestimating the recital of others;
- Overestimating the accuracy of the information provided to you.

This bias may affect the financial choices of investors and management. Excessive management confidence may understand the high rate of failing of begin. In a study of 300 experienced money managers, 74 people said their performance was above average, while 26 people said their performance was average. Nearly 100 percent of participants say that their work performance is ordinary or beyond standard. Qualifications. It is thought to be the least frequent sufferer of human psychological prejudice.

This prejudice can affect the financial decisions of investors and managers. Managers' overconfidence can be explained. The most common way to check high self-esteem is to ask people if they are sure of their answers. The collected data shows that overconfidence is always more important than accuracy, which means people are more confident than accuracy. When human self-confidence is perfectly calibrated, a 100% certain judgment is 100% correct, a 90% certain judgment is 90% correct, for other levels of confidence2, and so on. There is just a fine line among personality and cockiness. Assurance is having reasonable opinions about one's skills, whereas cockiness typically entails being excessively enthusiastic about knowing or dominating the situatio. There is a positive correlation between overconfidence and stock market trading volume. Overconfident investors tend to believe that they can pick stocks and find the best time to enter or exit the market, while overtrading investors tend to lag behind the market.

B. Bias founded on the Principle of Viewpoint

Guilt Abhorrence, Loss Aversion, and Mental Accounting are examples of mental conditions that impact personal judgment procedures.

a. Regret Aversion

Regret is a feeling of guilt after a lost or misfortune. Your present choice will be influenced by previous decisions and their outcomes, yet remorse may alter your choice. Regret will prevent you from remembering past experiences, nor will it allow you to see new opportunities. When people make the wrong decision and consider the prediction when making the decision, people feel "regret". Fear of regret can play an important function in deterring or inspiring somebody to accomplish anything. Regret aversion bias describes the desire to avoid regret after making a negative decision. Investors affected by this prejudice bear less risk in order to reduce the possibility of negative results. This bias can explain why investors are reluctant to sell lost assets to avoid wrong decisions.

b. Loss Aversion

Loss aversion is a shame. This tendency encourages investors to exaggerate possible brief losses and underestimating the long-term rewards and return of diversity. Myopic loss aversion means that investors focus on the negative effects of losses rather than the positive effects of the same gains, and hold very short-term investment positions. What is occurring is that consumers pay greater emphasis to the short-term swings of their assets.

Though it is not very frequent for the median stock to fluctuate by a few % in a short time, short-sighted investors may not react to negative changes. Therefore, people believe that stocks should have a high enough premium to compensate investors for their huge loss aversion.

c. Mental Accounting

Richard the first introduced the concept to explain the mechanism through which humans encode, classify, and evaluate financial results. People might have several cognitive memories from the identical origin. A person can use different monthly budgets to purchase food and dinner in the restaurant, and limit purchases when the budget is exhausted, without restricting other types of purchases, even if both transactions are from the identical supplier. Psychological accounting is a term used to describe the prejudice of classifying or grouping money into different "boxes" in our minds and then deciding how to use the money. This is our psychological statement, "This money will be used for this purpose, and this money will be used for other purposes", and it happened unknowingly. Mental accounts can help. Maybe you have an emergency storage account, but you will not be able to access it for other reasons. Or you can decide which part of your bank account will be used for your child's education. Sometimes in the short, medium and long term, it is best to divide your funds into different "boxes" so that you may spend at the proper moment.

d. Herding Effect

Shiller pointed out that herd behaviour performs a significant part in the individual judgment process. Herding is the root of bad pricing estimations and asset bubbles. Economic crises have previously happened, and they regularly replay itself in economic sector. How can these disasters happen again? The answer to this is believed to be influenced by human characteristics: acting in accordance with the "crowd", that is, the individual's prejudice to imitate the behavior of a larger group. There are many reasons for this difference. The second is the stress of social assimilation. Most individuals are born with a yearning to be welcomed by the group, vs being classified as outcast. Therefore, this is the ideal way to follow the behavior of the group to become a member. The second reason is group thinking, which means that such a large group is unlikely to make mistakes. Even if you think some behavior is unreasonable or inaccurate, you can join the crowd and trust them to know things you don't know. This is especially true if the person has no experience. The marketplace and its players are interrelated, which implies that individuals responses would effect the growth of the busines, and vice versa. Investors seek a sense of belonging as members of society. In addition to the social part, they also get information from their interactions with others. This is especially important in times of crisis and uncertainty, because people who may be inexperienced will choose to follow others and follow the principle of others know best; This is an important bias in explaining market crises and bubbles. Bias is typically not a particularly lucrative investing approach. This implies that numerous traders who follow the herd are likely to join the game too later and lose cash, whereas front investors launch new tactic. There are several factors that affect the behavior of investors who exhibit this bias, such as: overconfidence, investor type, investment amount, etc. The stronger comfortable consumers are, the greater they depend on their own knowledge to make investing choices. On the contrary side, compared with investment firms, individual investors prefer to follow patterns when making financial choices.

II. DISCUSSION

Financing is the activity of getting money or resources for every types of expenditure. Consumers, corporations, and organizations often lack the funds needed to make transactions, pay bills, or execute other activities, and need loan or sell stock to fund their operations. On the other side, savers and investors accrue wealth that, if put to good use, may produce interest or dividends. These funds may be put into savings accounts, saving and loan stocks, or retirement and healthcare applications, and they can be leased out at return or reinvested in equities shareholdings to generate investment capital. The process of channeling these funds, whether in the form of credits, mortgages, or committed property, to the socioeconomic organizations that require them greatest or can put those to the greatest productive use is referred to as finance. Institutions that transfer money from savings to consumers are known as economic intermediates. Among them are corporate institutions, saves lenders, and saving and lending institutions, as well as community banks, insurance companies, pension funds, investment firms, and financing enterprises. Finance is divided into three primary categories: corporate money, individual money, and public finance. Each has its own set of organizations, procedures, standards, and goals. There is a sophisticated structure of finance institutions and organizations in place in industrialized nations to address the needs of these industries both together and separately. Business financing is a discipline of economics and finance that combines statistical information from bookkeeping, analytical methodologies, and macroeconomic theory to optimize a corporation's or other corporate entity's goals. Predicting future asset demands and finding the optimal mix of cash to buy those goods are basic financial decisions. Industrial credit, bank credit, and business papers are all examples of short-term credit in business finance. Long-term funds are generated through selling assets on the local and global capital exchanges to a range of financial organizations and people. For further details, see the article on company funding. Household budgeting, private savings investment, and the use of consumer credit are all topics covered in personal finance. Individuals often get loans from commercial institutions and savings and loan associations to purchase their homes, while bankers and finance companies may offer money for consumers durables purchases. Charge balances and charge cards are two other prominent methods for banks and businesses to give short-term credit to their clients. If consumers need to reorganize their obligations or obtain cash in an emergency, they may get modest money bank loans, credit union, or finance groups.

III. CONCLUSION

Shareholders' conduct is influenced by how they think and feel while making financial choices. Psychological distortions are the term for these consequences. They have an impact on all shareholders and vary depending on the sort of investment. Heuristic algorithms are based on ideas that have been established in the realm of finance. They are a means of reducing mental work in the judgment system by applying short mental procedures, quicker and more effective rules. It may lead to good choices, but it can also lead to bad ones owing to erroneous assessments or psychological issues. The trends contained in the heuristic are: representativeness, usability, anchoring, player error, and overconfidence. Viewpoint concept, which has a great explanatory capacity for individual behaviour in the judgment processes, is additional behavioral finance theory. This theory contains three behavioral biases: antipathy to loss, antipathy to remorse, and mental accountancy. Therefore, the document lists other behavioral deviations, each of which is very important to personal financial behavior. The marketplace represents the billions of folk's aggregate individual judgment. When economic dynamics collide with man-made pressures, an economic catastrophe results. This has already happened in human history. Therefore, We must all take steps to improve our ability to cope with circumstances that involve logic, data analysis, and research, and our limited time, resources and knowledge make us vulnerable to psychological influences. In this case, we should better understand ourselves, understand our susceptibility to behavioral prejudices, and strive to reduce our behavioral errors.

REFERENCES

[1] C. Milligan, R. P. Fontana, A. Littlejohn, and A. Margaryan, “Self-regulated learning behaviour in the finance industry,” J. Work. Learn., 2015, doi: 10.1108/JWL-02-2014-0011.

[2] P. R. Agénor, G. J. Bratsiotis, and D. Pfajfar, “Credit frictions, collateral, and the cyclical behavior of the finance premium,” Macroecon. Dyn., 2014, doi: 10.1017/S1365100512000715.

[3] L. Ionescu, “Corporate environmental performance, climate change mitigation, and green innovation behavior in sustainable finance,” Econ. Manag. Financ. Mark., 2021, doi: 10.22381/emfm16320216.

[4] M. Onofrei, T. Toader, A. F. Vatamanu, and F. Oprea, “Impact of governments’ fiscal behaviors on public finance sustainability: A comparative study,” Sustain., 2021, doi: 10.3390/su13073739.

[5] C. Y. Chen and C. F. Yang, “The Impact of Spiritual Leadership on Organizational Citizenship Behavior: A Multi-Sample Analysis,” J. Bus. Ethics, 2012, doi: 10.1007/s10551-011-0953-3.

[6] A. van der Heide and D. Želinský, “‘Level up your money game’: an analysis of gamification discourse in financial services,” J. Cult. Econ., 2021, doi: 10.1080/17530350.2021.1882537.

[7] A. A. Rina Rahayu, “PENGARUH PENDIDIKAN, LINGKUNGAN KELUARGA, PERGAULAN DAN GAYA HIDUP TERHADAP PERILAKU PENYIMPANGAN KERJA dan KEUANGAN,” Ilmu Manaj. Magistra, 2015.

[8] “HEDGE FUNDS AND BEHAVIOUR FINANCE,” J. Xidian Univ., 2020, doi: 10.37896/jxu14.6/183.

[9] M. Marchiano, F. A. R. Serra, E. R. Barbero, and J. A. Sousa Neto, “The Influence of Functional Experience in Finances on Board Directors’ Expected Behaviour,” Contab. Gestão e Governança, 2018, doi: 10.21714/1984-3925_ 2018v21n3a4.

[10] E. Masdupi, S. Sabrina, and M. Megawati, “Literasi keuangan dan faktor demografi terhadap perilaku keuangan mahasiswa Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Negeri Padang,” J. Kaji. Manaj. Bisnis, 2019, doi: 10.24036/jkmb.10884900.