International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer Science & Technology (IJIRCST)
ISSN: 2347-5552, Volume-8, Issue-4, July 2020
https://doi.org/10.55524/ijircst.2020.8.4.20
www.ijircst.org

An Overview on Green Buildings

Prashant Chauhan

ABSTRACT: Green construction has become one of the recommended solutions for reducing the current building's negative impacts on human health, society, industry economy. However, in the future, there is an important use of a comprehensive review of this massive amount of material. In past decades, the amount of studies on green building has skyrocketed. In the case of green architectural development, this chapter provides a critical examination of the existing corpus of knowledge. Thematic and quantitative similarities in the research have been discovered. Green structure's idea and scope, as well as the evaluation of construction benefits over constructions and various ways for producing green buildings, are all hot subjects. It was determined that the majority of earlier studies on green building had concentrated on the ecological impacts. Other components of green construction sustainability are sometimes overlooked, including good governance. The influence of climatic factors on the usefulness of green building rating methodologies, testing of real green buildings, different population demands, and upgradeability are all potential study subjects for the future.

KEYWORDS: Assessment, Buildings, Energy Sustainability, Green building, Research review

I. INTRODUCTION

The structure sector has major common, economic, and environmental consequences. Buildings, being a major product of the construction sector, mainly reflect these effects throughout their lifetime. Construction activities stimulate the country through providing building facilities to fulfill needs of the people, producing employment wholly or partly (via other construction-related industries), and contributes to the economic development. The manufacturing sector in Particular, for contrast, generates 7.5 percentage of Gdp and employment over 1 thousand individuals. Architecture and construction works have a significant role in urbanized as well[1]

Manuscript received July 19, 2020

Prashant Chauhan, Assistant Professor, Department of Architecture, Vivekananda Global University, Jaipur, India (Email: prashant.chauhan@vgu.ac.in)

Buildings and construction operations have well-documented negative effects Noise, dust, commute times, water pollution, and rubbish disposal are among issues that arise during the construction period. Human and natural commodities will be drained in huge amounts. Even after they are completed, buildings have an impact on the environment. According to the United Nations Conference on Trade Growth, building blocks use 40% of total energy. In resulting in energy usage, buildings cause Global Warming (GHGs), which contribute to global climate change. In 2035, co2 emission from buildings will total 42.4 million tons, up 43 percent from 2007. Furthermore, building restoration, refurbishing, and retrofitting will result in the use of resources and energy, as well as GHG emissions, noise, and other pollutants.[2]

Green building may be defined in a variety of ways. Green construction, for example, is described as “healthy buildings planned and constructed in a resource-efficient way, utilizing environmentally oriented principles” by Kibert. It's worth mentioning that the terms "green building" and "sustainable building" have been used interchangeably in the past. The cornerstones of So according Experiment and Anantatmula, the goals of green buildings are to control pollution, improve occupant health, provide a return on investment for entrepreneurs and indeed the local authorities, and include life cycle considered throughout design of the project. The perspective of the service life, livability, and health concerns, and community effects are all common components in these classifications [3]

Extensive study many aspects of existing architecture have been studied in various situations. A full examination of the present corpus of material, on the other hand, is inadequate. And that kind of retrospective study is beneficial not only for sharing similar study streams, as well as for anticipating future research trends. The purpose of this research is to assess sustainable buildings studies objectively in highlighting the existing advancements and evolving requirements in this field. This page is useful for the both trade managers and students who are interested in building construction tendencies. Green building research topics that are often discussed As shown by the rapidly increasing number of articles published in recent decades, there have been significant research on green buildings. These investigations were been done across both wealthy and underdeveloped countries, demonstrating that it was a global issue. The analysis has two general focuses, according to a comprehensive analysis of the present corpus of knowledge. As a result, green construction may be approached via either

a method or a product or an outcome (i.e. how to assess the performance) standpoint. Depending on the emphasis, management methods may vary significantly. The next sections go through these recurring topics in more depth [4].

II. DISCUSSION

A. Green building evaluation tools

A variety of evaluation to assist in the development of existing architecture, techniques have been developed. Several of these building energy simulation tools are provided as a courtesy rather than a necessity. It was developed by the green building councils of each nation or area. The assessment is conducted out by recognized professionals who have been authorized by the Leadership in Energy and environmental. The Globe Environmental Council was created to combine the efforts of numerous green design committees worldwide. across the world.[5]
These green building evaluation tools have a lot in common, such as addressing many elements of sustainability, having the nine areas of the GBCA Green Star grading system. A specific amount of credits (each with a specified number of points) are allowed to apply under each category. The overall amount of weighed points is 105, with the Innovation category receiving 5 points. GBCA approved three levels of Green Star buildings based on total points earned: 4 Grade (45–59 points, Best Quality); 5 Star (60–74 peaks, Domestic Excellence); and (6 Star (60–74 points, Transnational Excellence). The GBCA Green Building rating market was dominated by commercial properties, accounting for 60percent of the overall of all sustainable construction certified by the organization. Furthermore, in the past 2–3 years, GBCA-certified school facilities have seen significant development.[6]

B. Social considerations

Concerns about sustainable construction in buildings have grown in recent decades. Because building operations are a sociological phenomenon, this is the case. Social sustainability in the construction sector primarily refers to the satisfaction with life, workplace safety, and new opportunities for professional advancement Zhao et al. developed a technique to evaluate the firm's quality of the construction providers by using theoretical frameworks at both the innovation and entrepreneurial levels. Sustainability reporting is so often included in csr reporting for main contractors. Good governance in the aspect of facilities refers to establishing a secure atmosphere for all partners, including building workers, users, and managers, which should also be taken into account all through unsustainable design process. In the project management context, Zuo et al. said further that sustainable growth would reach beyond individual structures to the local area. According to Torres and Klotz, social performance are included into construction projects first from design process forward. Stakeholder, especially end users, must be included assessing social effects, and taking into account the local community are all recommended as social sustainability indicators. According to the Construction Industry development Board, corporate social responsibility performance has even become a significant criterion for granting contracts in certain instances [7].

C. Economic considerations:

According to Berardi, green buildings must meet social and economic criteria shortens the time it takes for energy saving initiatives to pay off. As a result, a green building may be defined in two ways: narrowly (purely environmental sustainability) or broadly (adopting a double and approach). The significance of greens ecological, ecological, and cultural issues building innovations, on the other hand, is seldom addressed. Despite a significant number of studies highlighting the significance of these unconventional aspects of green building evaluation, in-depth empirical investigations are usually lacking. The vast majority of research on green construction concentrate on the environmental element of sustainable [8]

D. Green Building Advantages

There are many studies that look at the costs and advantages of green building projects. The primary goal of these research is to demonstrate the benefits of turning green in order to aid judgement call. That's even more effective in the wake of the Credit Crunch, where consumers' funds available is smaller and economic entities' financing choices are more conservative. In conclusion, these researchers studied the benefits and drawbacks of environmental building technologies to standard buildings. Fuel economy, resource efficiency, exterior ecological sustainability, heat gain, wellbeing, and performance are all green roof qualities are often compared to those of conventional structures in current research [9]–[18].

a. The Environment

Green buildings are widely considered to provide a number of benefits. From an environmental aspect, green buildings help to increase urban biodiversity and conserve the environment via effective land use. The minimization of waste material is an essential part of sustainable planning and design. Nevertheless, in order to reduce waste's apparent negative effects on the environment, the utilization rate must be more than 90%, implying component recycling and reuse in new constructions. In terms of energy efficiency, resource recovery, and carbon emission reduction, green buildings often outperforms habit - forming. So according Jo et al., a large part of Emissions of carbon dioxide might be eliminated if LEED grading standards were utilized in all new construction projects in Seoul. According to their analysis, commercial buildings would benefit far more from Certifications in terms of CO2 abatement, followed by residential construction and government buildings. In line with the global standard, a LEED categories of works may still save than 28% on power, as seen by Turner und Frankel. In regards to energy conservation, the library seems to gain the most from LEED accreditation [19].

b. Financial

The cost reductions are also linked to better building performance, especially in terms of Costs throughout the life cycle. As a result, the operational costs are lowered. Green

roof, according with Economist, may save up to 30% greater electricity than regular ones. Green cowering spaces, including a research report produced by Holland Langdon, need a greater upfront cost than typical commercial properties. The GBCA Environmental 5 Diamond and 6 Star certifications demand building and construction expenses of 4% and 10%, respectively. However, given the high cost of carbon trading and the sky-high cost of electricity, the penalty of not becoming green is also significant.

The cost reductions realized throughout the service & maintenance phases will assist to cover the initial investment in green building elements. The construction component (which includes labor and materials) makes up the majority of green building costs. According to Ross et alfinancial's modeling, LEED certified buildings will cost 10% more than non-certified buildings. Thus according their company's financial research, green architecture will also save US$1.38/ft2 per year until compared to standard architecture. Green roof beat normal counterparts in order to improve energy, resource recovery, and cost efficiency, according to a survey performed by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid. When opposed to ordinary structures, minimal cowering spaces with greener components may still save than two - thirds on energy expenses, as shown in a study by Lau et al.[20].

E. Achieving green construction goals

a. Technological advances

Renewable energy in buildings, whether connected to the grid or not (on-site or off-site), helps to decrease energy usage and emissions. One of the most important problems is the absence of infrastructure linking the energy produced on construction sites to the electricity network. Buildings often use heat pumps, solar PV, generators, geothermal heat pumping, and other alternative energies. Alternative sources plays an important part in reaching (Net) zero emission architecture. A solar vapor compression refrigeration system may help you save close to 60% on your energy bills. As a consequence, incorporating sustainable power into architectural design and production has become an important component of building construction design and implementation. Hydrogen cars, according to current study, are becoming more crucial in the construction of green buildings. For instance, Dagdougui et al. created a fresh model to improve an integrated renewable energy infrastructure. To test this notion, a study-based building incorporating bioenergy, breeze, or solar PV was employed. Despite lack of a solution for energy storage, their investigation demonstrated significant advantages. Renewables costs, management, and administration systems, on the other hand, continue to be major barriers to implementing these technical advances in green buildings [21].

b. Leadership and Management

Rather than a dearth of new technical breakthroughs or rating methods, as Green roof development is hampered by linked governance code issues, so according Häkkinen and Belloni. Strategic planning, business, and financial analyst are the three levels of sustainable buildings supervisors [22]–[24].

c. Cultural/behavioral

Behavioral and in the construction of sustainable construction, cultural factors are equally significant. As a consequence, it is critical for all participants to improve their understanding of environmental sustainability and sustainable buildings concepts. According Hirsch and Henn, there are a number of sociological barriers to the implementation of residential construction, including around the futures, egocentrism, hopeful myths, and presumed linkages. "The environmentally friendly construction revolution's challenges are no longer primarily technically and monetary," they stated, referring to the adoption of renewable building methods and LEED certification. They are societal and psychological in character instead. Based on work of inhabitants of GBCA approved offices, Kato et al. concluded that the implementation of organic star certificates [25].

III. CONCLUSION

This research included a critical assessment of current studies on green structures from across the world the study was classified into three sections based on the findings: meanings and scope of existing architecture, benefits and costs of existing architecture, and techniques for attaining green buildings. According to a comprehensive review of the literature, the preponderance of green building studies focus on environmental aspects of sustainability, such like energy consumption, resource recovery, and greenhouse gases, as well as engineering solutions. Considering a large body of literature emphasizing their importance, research regarding and economic dimensions of endurance is scarce. The social impact of green buildings, for example, need more investigation. The life - cycle approach, which is extensively utilized in the energy and aesthetic aspects of green building, will also help to ensure social sustainability. Leading green building rating systems like LEED, BREEAM, and GBCA Green Building certification have started to include these components into the newly issued version, which is a positive move. More study between these areas is required to assist the development of new benchmarking tool and to improve the judgement call process out from perspective of the client or end user. The great majority of financial green design research, such as predicting gain based on real climatic data, are based on the actual weather stations. Existing occupancy patterns, such as population and density, were also used to enhance building design. This isn't really sufficient in the future. As a result, future proofing must be considered in the design and building of green buildings. This is especially helpful given the prediction for even more intense and longer-lasting extreme weather Special populations, such as the elderly, students, and instructors, may also be given extra attention. Overheating and poor indoor air quality are particularly dangerous to the elderly.

Students will become practitioners and even leaders in in a variety of disciplines in the distant Educators have quite a significant impact on pupils' behavior and attitudes behaviors regarding sustainability problems such as how buildings are used. The aforementioned problems will be on the research agenda for future green construction projects.

REFERENCES

[1] L. Ye, Z. Cheng, Q. Wang, W. Lin, and F. Ren, "Overview on Green Building Label in China," Renewable Energy. 2013.

[2] H. Liu and B. Lin, "Ecological indicators for green building construction," Ecol. Indic., 2016.

[3] M. P. Deuble and R. J. de Dear, "Green occupants for green buildings: The missing link?," Build. Environ., 2012.

[4] V. W. Y. Tam, J. L. Hao, and S. X. Zeng, "What affects implementation of green buildings? An empirical study in Hong Kong," Int. J. Strateg. Prop. Manag., 2012.

[5] Y. Geng, H. Dong, B. Xue, and J. Fu, "An Overview of Chinese Green Building Standards," Sustain. Dev., 2012.

[6] S. Wilkinson, M. Rashid, K. Spreckelmeyer, and N. J. Angrisano, "Green buildings, environmental awareness, and organizational image," J. Corp. Real Estate, 2012.

[7] M. B. Hirning, G. L. Isoardi, S. Coyne, V. R. Garcia Hansen, and I. Cowling, "Post occupancy evaluations relating to discomfort glare: A study of green buildings in Brisbane," Build. Environ., 2013.

[8] V. Albino and U. Berardi, "Green buildings and organizational changes in Italian case studies," Bus. Strateg. Environ., 2012.

[9] A. Santoalha and R. Boschma, "Diversifying in green technologies in European regions: does political support matter?," Reg. Stud., 2021.

[10] S. Shan, S. Y. Genç, H. W. Kamran, and G. Dinca, "Role of green technology innovation and renewable energy in carbon neutrality: A sustainable investigation from Turkey," J. Environ. Manage., 2021.

[11] S. Yin, N. Zhang, and B. Li, "Improving the effectiveness of multi-agent cooperation for green manufacturing in China: A theoretical framework to measure the performance of green technology innovation," Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, 2020.

[12] S. Wicki and E. G. Hansen, "Green technology innovation: Anatomy of exploration processes from a learning perspective," Bus. Strateg. Environ., 2019.

[13] M. Hong, Z. Li, and B. Drakeford, "Do the green credit guidelines affect corporate green technology innovation? Empirical research from China," Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, 2021.

[14] G. Orsatti, F. Quatraro, and M. Pezzoni, "The antecedents of green technologies: The role of team-level recombinant capabilities," Res. Policy, 2020.

[15] C. T. C. Trapp and D. K. Kanbach, "Green entrepreneurship and business models: Deriving green technology business model archetypes," Journal of Cleaner Production. 2021.

[16] A. Sbardella, F. Perruchas, L. Napolitano, N. Barbieri, and D. Consoli, "Green technology fitness," Entropy, 2018.

[17] H. Fujii and S. Managi, "Decomposition analysis of sustainable green technology inventions in China," Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change, 2019.

[18] F. Al Khawli et al., "Innovative green technologies of intensification for valorization of seafood and their by-products," Marine Drugs. 2019.

[19] O. Espinoza, U. Buehlmann, and B. Smith, "Forest certification and green building standards: Overview and use in the U.S. hardwood industry," J. Clean. Prod., 2012.

[20] W. Yunna and X. Ruhang, "Green building development in China-based on heat pump demonstration projects," Renewable Energy. 2013.

[21] P. De Luca, I. Carbone, and J. B. Nagy, "Green building materials: A review of state of the art studies of innovative materials," Journal of Green Building. 2017.

[22] D. Xia, W. Chen, Q. Gao, R. Zhang, and Y. Zhang, "Research on enterprises' intention to adopt green technology imposed by environmental regulations with perspective of state ownership," Sustain., 2021.

[23] Q. Guo, M. Zhou, N. Liu, and Y. Wang, "Spatial effects of environmental regulation and green credits on green technology innovation under low-carbon economy background conditions," Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, 2019.

[24] J. Hu, Z. Wang, Q. Huang, and X. Zhang, "Environmental regulation intensity, foreign direct investment, and green technology Spillover-An empirical study," Sustain., 2019.

[25] W. T. Tsai, "Overview of green building material (GBM) policies and guidelines with relevance to indoor air quality management in Taiwan," Environ. - MDPI, 2018.

