# A Detailed Review on Disease Prediction Models that uses Machine Learning

Md. Ehtisham Farooqui, Dr. Jameel Ahmad

ABSTRACT- Human body is guarded by the immune system, but sometimes this immune system alone is not capable of preventing our body from diseases. Environmental conditions and living habits of people are the cause of many diseases that are the main reason for a huge number of deaths in the world, and diagnosing these diseases sometimes becomes challenging. We need an accurate, feasible, reliable, and robust system to diagnose diseases in time so that these can be properly treated. With the growth of medical data, many researchers are using these medical data and some machine learning algorithms to help the healthcare communities in the diagnosis of many diseases. In this paper a survey of various models based on such algorithms, techniques is presented and their performance is analyzed. Researches have been conducted on various models of supervised learning algorithms and some of them are Support Vector Machine (SVM), K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN), Decision Tree (DT), Naïve Bayes and Random Forest (RF).

**KEYWORDS-** Decision Tree, Machine Learning, Naïve Bayes, Random Forest

#### I. INTRODUCTION

According to McKinsey's report, 50% of Americans have multiple chronic diseases. Due to living habits that people have, the chances of chronic disease is increasing [1]. In India, as the lifestyle of people has improved, the frequency of diseases is also increased. Approx. 60% of death has happened due to non-communicable diseases like heart disorder, cancer, and diabetes. These diseases are often caused by environmental conditions and living habits that people have [2].

Continuous growth in medical data gave us a way to extract the required information to predict the disease. Data Science and Big Data can be applied to detect various types of diseases by using past health data collected from the

#### Manuscript received July 22, 2020

**Md. Ehtisham Farooqui**, Student, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Integral University, Lucknow, India, (e-mail: mefxe01@gmail.com)

**Dr. Jameel Ahmad**, Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Integral University, Lucknow, India.

patient. These disease prediction models are very important to know the presence of disease [3].

For the detection of the diseases we require machine learning techniques like supervised, semi-supervised, unsupervised learning, etc. and raw medical data [4]. This raw data could easily obtained from famous government hospitals. Machine learning techniques can use the raw data for the learning process and based on that learning they can predict the disease later.

This paper contains information about, what machine learning techniques other researchers have used and what the accuracy of their proposed system was.

#### II. LITERATURE REVIEW

There have been numerous studies done related to predicting the disease using different machine learning techniques and algorithms which can be used by medical institutions. This paper reviews some of those studies done in research papers using the techniques and results used by them. Reviews are given below:

### A. Reviews

MIN CHEN et al, [1] proposed a disease prediction system in his paper where he used machine learning algorithms. In the prediction of disease, he used techniques like CNN-UDRP algorithm, CNN-MDRP algorithm, Naive Bayes, K-Nearest Neighbor, and Decision Tree. This proposed system had an accuracy of 94.8%.

Sayali Ambekar et al, [2] recommended Disease Risk Prediction and used a convolution neural network to perform the task. In this paper machine learning techniques like CNN-UDRP algorithm, Naive Bayes, and KNN algorithm are used. The system uses structured data to be trained and its accuracy reaches 82% and achieved by using Naïve Bayes.

Naganna Chetty et al, [3] developed a system that gives improved results for disease prediction and used a fuzzy approach. And used techniques like KNN classifier, Fuzzy c-means clustering, and Fuzzy KNN classifier. In this paper diabetes disease and liver, disorder prediction is done and the accuracy of Diabetes is 97.02% and Liver disorder is 96.13.

Dhiraj Dahiwade et al, [4] designed a model for prediction of the disease using approaches of machine learning and used techniques like KNN and CNN. This

paper suggests disease prediction i.e. based on patient's symptoms. The accuracy of KNN is 95% and the accuracy of CNN is 98%.

Lambodar Jena et al, [5] focused on risk prediction for chronic diseases by taking advantage of distributed machine learning classifiers and used techniques like Naive Bayes and Multilayer Perceptron. This paper tries to predict Chronic-Kidney-Disease and the accuracy of Naïve Bayes and Multilayer Perceptron is 95% and 99.7% respectively.

Dhomse Kanchan B. et al, [6] studied special disease prediction utilizing principal component analysis using machine learning algorithms involving techniques like Naive Bayes classification, Decision Tree, and Support Vector Machine. The accuracy of this system is 34.89% for Diabetes and 53% for Heart disease.

Pahulpreet Singh Kohli et al, [7] suggested disease prediction by using applications and methods of machine learning and used techniques like Logistic Regression, Decision Tree, Support Vector Machine, Random Forest and Adaptive Boosting. This paper focuses on predicting Heart disease, Breast cancer, and Diabetes. The highest accuracies are obtained using Logistic Regression that is 95.71% for Breast cancer, 84.42% for Diabetes, and 87.12% for Heart disease.

Deeraj Shetty et al, [8] studied the uses of data mining for diabetes disease prediction by using Naïve Bayes and KNN algorithms. This system predicts diabetes and accuracy obtained by KNN are better than Naïve Bayes.

Rashmi G Saboji et al, [9] tried to find a scalable solution that can predict heart disease utilizing classification mining and used Random Forest Algorithm. This system presents a comparison against Naïve-Bayes classifier but Random Forest gives more accurate results with accuracy 98%.

Rati Shukla et al, [10] suggested prediction and detection for breast cancer by utilizing machine learning techniques like Decision Tree, Support Vector Machine, Random Forest, Naïve Bayes, Neural Network, and KNN. In this system, the Support Vector Machine gives more accurate results than all other algorithms.

Senthilkumar Mohan et al, [11] focused on hybrid techniques in machine learning that can be used for effectively predicting heart disease and used algorithms like Decision Tree, Support Vector Machine, Random Forest, Naïve Bayes, Neural Network and KNN. The accuracy of this system is 88.47%.

Anjan Nikhil Repaka et al, [12] designed and implemented a prediction model for heart disease using naive Bayesian. Any user can use this system using any smartphone device and get the prediction results. The accuracy of this system is 89.77%.

Aakash Chauhan et al, [13] proposed a disease prediction model for heart disease by utilizing evolutionary rule learning. Association Rule is used in this proposed system. This system is not very efficient because it has an accuracy of 53%

Aditi Gavhane et al, [14] suggested prediction for heart disease that utilizes Machine Learning. Multi-Layer

Perceptron model is used in this system. This system predicts heart disease based on basic symptoms like age, sex, pulse rate, etc. The accuracy of this suggested system is 91%.

Ankita Dewan et al, [15] recommended a disease prediction system that uses data mining classification hybrid technique for predicting heart disease. This system is using techniques like Neural Network, Decision Tree, and Naive Bayes. The accuracy of this system is 87%.

# B. A comparative study using various algorithms in the literature review

Table 1: Comparative study using various algorithms in the literature review

Year	Autho	Purpose	Techniques	Accur	
	r	•	Used	acy	
2017	MIN	Proposed a	CNN-UDRP	94.8%	
	CHEN	disease	algorithm,		
	et al,	prediction	CNN-MDRP		
	[1]	system in his	algorithm,		
		paper where he	Naive Bayes,		
		used machine	K-Nearest		
		learning	Neighbor,		
		algorithms.	Decision Tree		
2018	Sayali	Recommended	CNN-UDRP	The	
	Ambe	Disease Risk	algorithm,	highest	
	kar et	Prediction and	Naive Bayes	accurac	
	al, [2]	used a	and KNN	y of	
		convolution	algorithm	82% is	
		neural network		achieve	
		to perform the		d by	
		task		Naïve	
				Bayes.	
2015	Nagan	Developed a	KNN	Diabet	
	na	system that	classifier,	es:	
	Chetty	gives improved	Fuzzy	97.02	
	et al,	results for	c-means	%	
	[3]	disease	clustering,	Liver	
		prediction and	and Fuzzy	disorde	
		used a fuzzy	KNN	r:	
		approach	classifier	96.13	
				%	
2019	Dhiraj	Designed a	K-Nearest	KNN:	
	Dahiw	model for	neighbor	95%	
	ade et	prediction of	(KNN) and	CNN:	
	al, [4]	the disease	Convolutiona	98%	
		using	l neural		
		approaches of	network		
		machine	(CNN)		
	_	learning			
2017	Lambo	Focused on	Naive Bayes	95%	
	dar	risk prediction	Multilayer	99.7%	
	Jena et	for chronic	Perceptron		
	al, [5]	diseases by			
		taking			
		advantage of			

		distributed								77.42
		machine								%
		learning							Support	Breast
2014	Dh ann	classifiers	Noise Bassa	Diabet					Vector	Cancer
2010	Dhom se	Studied special disease	Naive Bayes classification,	es					Machine	97.14
	Kanch	prediction	Decision Tree	Diseas						%
	an B.	utilizing	and Support	e:						Diabet
	et al,	principal	Vector	34.89						es:
	[6]	component	Machine	%						85.71
		analysis using		Heart						%
		machine		Diseas						Heart
		learning		e: 53%						Diseas
		algorithms								e:
• • • •		Suggested	Logistic	Breast						83,87
2018		disease	Regression	Cancer					A 1	%
	reet	prediction by		: 05.71					Adaptive	Breast
	Singh Kohli	using applications		95.71 %					Boosting	Cancer :
	et al,	and methods of		Diabet						98.57
	[7]	machine		es:						%
		learning		84.42						Diabet
				%						es:
				Heart						80.52
				Diseas						%
				e:						Heart
				87.12						Diseas
			D :: T	%						e:
			Decision Tree	Breast Cancer						83.87
				:	20	017	Deeraj	Studied the	Naïve Bayes	KNN
				94.29			Shetty	uses of data	and KNN	gives
				%			et al,	mining for		better
				Diabet			[8]	diabetes		accurac
				es:				disease		у,
				74.03				prediction		compar
				%						ed to
				Heart Diseas						Naïve Bayes.
				e:	2.0	017	Rashm	Tried to find a	Random	98%
				70.97		· • /	i G	scalable	Forest	70,0
				%			Saboji	solution that	Algorithm	
			Random	Breast			et al,	can predict	_	
			Forest	Cancer			[9]	heart disease		
				:				utilizing		
				97.14				classification		
				% Diabat	20	010	D.d.	mining	Main D	CATA
				Diabet es:	20	019	Rati Shukla	Suggested	Naive Bayes Classifier,	SVM
				81.82			et al,	prediction and detection for	Logistic	provide s a
				%			[10]	breast cancer	Regression,	more
				Heart			[10]	by utilizing	Support	accurat
				Diseas				machine	Vector	e result
				e:				learning	Machines	compar

		techniques	(SVM),	ed to
		teemiques	Artificial	others.
			Neural	ourers.
			Networks and	
			K-Nearest	
			Neighbor	
2019	Senthi	Focused on	Decision	88.4%
2017	lkuma	hybrid	Tree, Support	00.770
	r	techniques in	Vector	
	Mohan	machine	Machine,	
	et al,	learning that	Random	
	,	can be used for	Forest, Naïve	
	[11]		ĺ ,	
		effectively	Bayes, Neural	
		predicting	Network and	
2010		heart disease	KNN	00.50
2019	Anjan	Designed and	Naïve Bayes	89.7%
	Nikhil	implemented a		
	Repak	prediction		
	a et al,	model for heart		
	[12]	disease using		
		naive Bayesian		
2018	Aakas	Proposed a	Association	53%
	h	disease	Rule	
	Chauh	prediction		
	an et	model for heart		
	al, [13]	disease by		
		utilizing		
		evolutionary		
		rule learning		
2018	Aditi	Suggested	Multi-Layer	91%
	Gavha	prediction for	Perceptron	
	ne et	heart disease		
	al, [14]	that utilizes		
		Machine		
		Learning		
2015	Ankita	Recommended	Neural	87%
	Dewan	a disease	Network,	
	et al,	prediction	Decision Tree	
	[15]	system that	and Naive	
	_	uses data	Bayes	
		mining	_	
		classification		
		hybrid		
		technique		
<u> </u>				

## III. CONCLUSION

To predict the diseases using multiple data mining and machine learning techniques and algorithms have been summarized. Each algorithm has its disease prediction performance and one can apply the proposed system according to his or her needs. It is also possible to improve the performance and accuracy of the algorithm if independent variables or features are selected more correctly. After studying these methods it has been found that if we have a structured dataset then the accuracy of prediction is improved. If we can collect millions of structured datasets for a particular disease then that disease

can be predicted with the highest accuracy and data mining can help us collecting such datasets.

These reviews have shown as that any machine learning model can be improved through multiple revisions and by changing the algorithms that they use. Sometimes it is good for the model but sometimes it reduces the performance of the model.

In conclusion, by using a literature survey it has been identified that a single algorithm cannot perform very well but if it is combined with other algorithms then the accuracy can have huge improvements. So the combination of these algorithms should be used in multiple sequences and a comparison must be collected to check which of these combinations are performing with more accuracy than the previous ones in predicting the disease.

There are so many possibilities ahead that could be utilized to improve the performance of these prediction systems and increase the scalability and accuracy of the system. It is not possible to explore all the options within this limited time, the following research options can be performed in the future. Multiple classification techniques and regression techniques should be combined, different types of decision trees and neural networks should be used to check how much accuracy has been improved.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Chen, Y. Hao, K. Hwang, L. Wang, and L. Wang, "Disease prediction by machine learning over big data from healthcare communities" IEEE Access, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 8869–8879, 2017.
- [2] Sayali Ambekar, Rashmi Phalnikar, "Disease Risk Prediction by Using Convolutional Neural Network" IEEE, 978-1-5386-5257-2/18, 2018.
- [3] Naganna Chetty, Kunwar Singh Vaisla and Nagamma Patil, "An Improved Method for Disease Prediction using Fuzzy Approach" IEEE, DOI 10.1109/ICACCE.2015.67, pp. 569-572, 2015.
- [4] Dhiraj Dahiwade, Gajanan Patle and Ektaa Meshram, "Designing Disease Prediction Model Using Machine Learning Approach" IEEE Xplore Part Number: CFP19K25-ART; ISBN: 978-1-5386-7808-4, pp. 1211-1215, 2019.
- [5] Lambodar Jena and Ramakrushna Swain, "Chronic Disease Risk Prediction using Distributed Machine Learning Classifiers" IEEE, 978-1-5386-2924-6/17, pp. 170-173, 2017.
- [6] Dhomse Kanchan B. and Mahale Kishor M., "Study of Machine Learning Algorithms for Special Disease Prediction using Principal of Component Analysis" IEEE, 978-1-5090-0467-6/16, pp. 5-10, 2016.
- [7] Pahulpreet Singh Kohli and Shriya Arora, "Application of Machine Learning in Disease Prediction" IEEE, 978-1-5386-6947-1/18, pp. 1-4, 2018.
- [8] Deeraj Shetty, Kishor Rit, Sohail Shaikh and Nikita Patil, "Diabetes Disease Prediction Using Data Mining" IEEE, 978-1-5090-3294-5/17, 2017.
- [9] Rashmi G Saboji and Prem Kumar Ramesh, "A Scalable Solution for Heart Disease Prediction using

- Classification Mining Technique" IEEE, 978-1-5386-1887-5/17, pp. 1780-1785, 2017.
- [10] Rati Shukla, Vikash Yadav, Parashu Ram Pal and Pankaj Pathak, "Machine Learning Techniques for Detecting and Predicting Breast Cancer" IJITEE, ISSN: 2278-3075, Volume-8, pp. 2658-2662, 2019.
- [11] Senthilkumar Mohan, Chandrasegar Thirumalai and Gautam Srivastava, "Effective Heart Disease Prediction Using Hybrid Machine Learning Techniques" IEEE Access, DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2923707, pp. 81542-81554, 2019.
- [12] Anjan Nikhil Repaka, Sai Deepak Ravikanti and Ramya G Franklin,"Design And Implementing Heart Disease Prediction Using Naives Bayesian" IEEE Xplore Part Number: CFP19J32-ART; ISBN: 978-1-5386-9439-8, pp. 292-297, 2019.
- [13] Aakash Chauhan, Purushottam Sharma, Vikas Deep and Aditya Jain, "Heart Disease Prediction using Evolutionary Rule Learning" CICT 2018.
- [14] Aditi Gavhane, Gouthami Kokkula, Isha Pandya and Kailas Devadkar, "Prediction of Heart Disease Using Machine Learning" IEEE Xplore ISBN: 978-1-5386-0965-1, pp. 1275-1278, 2018.
- [15] Ankita Dewan and Meghna Sharma, "Prediction of Heart Disease Using a Hybrid Technique in Data Mining Classification" IEEE, 978-9-3805-4416-8/15, pp. 704-706, 2015.

#### ABOUT THE AUTHORS



Md. Ehtisham Farooqui has done B.Tech in Computer Science from Institute of Technology and Management, GIDA, Gorakhpur, India and currently perusing M.Tech from Integral University, Lucknow, India



**Dr. Jameel Ahmad** is Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering in Integral University and has more than 18 years of teaching experience.