

Surrogate Mothers' Perceptions of Spontaneous Abortion and Assisted Reproduction

Jayanta Paramanik

Assistant Professor, Department of Management Studies, Vivekananda Global University, Jaipur, India

Correspondence should be addressed to Jayanta Paramanik; jayanta.p@vgu.ac.in

Copyright © 2021 Made Jayanta Paramanik. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT- Surrogate mothers' account production of pregnancy misfortune on surrogacy support sites is being explored by scientists. Women create the public online world of surrogacy by communicating through the Internet. I investigate the connections between conceptualizations of misfortune and understandings of innovative practices, as well as the ramifications of these understandings for helped proliferation, utilizing anthropological and humanistic literatures. Surrogate mothers define losses as the failure to implement a child to the intended parents that might include anything from infertility to miscarriages as well as stillbirth. By increasing expectations of success, trying to optimize outcomes via the relocation of several impregnated eggs, as well as conducting initial monitoring and analyzing, assisted the reproductive technologies which lead to loss. Surrogates, on the other hand, see technology as a good factor and support reproductive technology. Surrogates' fortitude to "give the gift of life" leaves them susceptible to failures as well as losses, but it also motivates them to try again and again to carry children for others using technology.

KEYWORD- Miscarriage, Pregnancy Loss, Reproduction, Reproductive Technology, Surrogate.

I.INTRODUCTION

Surrogate moms' narratives about loss have consequences for assisted reproductive practices, but how do they write about it? In light of the rise of assisted reproductive techniques, such as surrogacy, the Internet's role as a source of knowledge and a communication medium has expanded as well. Social media conversations around surrogacy show that women are working together to makes intelligence of socio-technological performs which shape the surrogacy capability and experience. Female's translations of their encounters are urgent for comprehension their "premise of activity and stakes of activity, with genuine results in reality. "There are a number of positive effects that may be expected from this research [1]. These include normalizing assisted reproductive technologies as well as advocating for them. Ironically, those in the vanguard of change are not all specialists or members of society's upper crust. When surrogates use "narrative power," it affects their physical

well-being. This article plans to add to the bigger discussion on social comprehension of innovation and its relationship to rehearse by showing how substitutes seem OK (intellectually, ethically, and inwardly) of this original method of proliferation and subsequently empower and lay out new examples of training [2].

A. *Obstetrics and the Give*

Surrogates describe losing a fetus as a terrible and agonizing event, despite their strong denial of any such connection. What do these ladies deem to be irretrievable has been lost? Surrogates, intended parents, and the fetus all form a triangle, but how does that triangle affect our perceptions of loss? To comprehend surrogacy, one must understand how surrogates see gestation, the fetus, and technology, as well as how they respond emotionally to loss and what they consider to be loss. Many surrogates insist that the child they are carrying is not their own [3]. Uniformed peoples ask about the chance of surrendering the kid after conveyance, to which proxies routinely react: "I can't surrender [4]."" "The smiles on their IPs' faces make the journey worthwhile," says the narrator. Says one IP after giving a baby "back to their parents."

Biologically similar to other pregnant women, surrogates care for the fetus, but they do so in a contractual relationship that upholds the morality of selflessness and sacrifice both inside and against it [5]. Nourishment and care are not the only things that are meant by the term "nurture," but also a set of interpersonal connections as well as a set of values that are developed in the setting of a market economy. In order to nurture, one must encourage cooperative rather than competitive conduct, unconditional and long-lasting affection, and an emotion guided by morals rather than contract [6]. There is a three-way "nurturing" connection between surrogates and the couple they are caring for; they show their love and cooperation by caring for "their" fetus. They are strengthening and confirming their social connection with the couple while also honoring the contract by gestating and therefore caring the fetus[7].

While pregnancy is often discussed in relationship terms, there is also a wider social and historical background to consider. Until recently, unborn infants and other partially developed "Womb products" were not considered

completely human people [8]. Stillbirths in England were not formally documented until 1915, therefore ladies "forgot to acknowledge" them while talking about their pregnancies.

The results of anthropology confirm those of history. A "postponed period" when simply a "mass of tissue" is disposed of is viewed as draining in rustic North Indian females' brains while they are in the main trimester. While fetuses with discernible organs are considered babies in the region of Tanzania known as the Southeast, it is recognized that a baby may not completely grow into a human being. Remains of miscarried infants are not seen as newborns in Cameroon, but as "strangers" passing through. They don't belong in society and can't be grieved for that reason[9].

Social and economic structures such as society, family, and job, as well as personal beliefs, influence pregnancy-related feelings and behaviors. Through the use of surrogacy, we may see how socio-technological variables shaped fetal personhood as well as the feeling of forfeiture. Following given studies, we may deduce that surrogates' emotional reaction to loss is both based on physiological processes and motivated by the wish to deliver the "gifts of life".

B. Creating Damage

The inability to convey a child to the expected guardians is the importance of misfortune, as indicated by substitutes' own encounters. As well as the "journey," surrogates lament their inability to completely integrate into the surrogate community. They are missing out on the clarity and direction that comes with being pregnant. There are a lot of heartbreaking stories that back up their argument that they form a connection with the couple they are carrying for, not the baby. Their sorrow serves as a way for them to empathize with the IPs' plight [10].

As far as "loss" is concerned, what I and they mean is a collection of events or non-events, such as the cancellation of "embryo transfers"⁹ or a miscarriage or stillbirth after chemical pregnancy. At the point when proxies expound on premature deliveries and stillbirths, as well as substance pregnancies and ineffective exchanges, they regularly utilize the messed up heart emoticon to convey their sentiments [11]. The following articles provide examples of this wide variety of topics. "We experienced three miscarriages, one at six weeks and six days, one at five weeks and two days due to a chemical pregnancy, and the final one at fourteen weeks and two days." Whenever the expected mother (IM) could never again create eggs, the arranged proxy and I headed out in different directions [12]. I was crushed by their loss. "A bombed cycle might feel as horrible as a passing in a family it harms that much," asserted one expected mother. A proxy shared the opinion, saying, "It's difficult to adapt to any misfortune, paying little heed to gestational age. Eternally if you had expected a negative beta, they were still kids in the dish before they were even placed into the womb[13]."

The narrative descriptions of these many "loss" incidents have some remarkable parallels. In preparing for the desired baby, surrogates and their spouses blur the lines between hope, prospective life, and viable life, as I explain in more detail below. Nonetheless, the fundamental association isn't between the proxy and her child yet between the substitute

and her life partner, which is predictable with the social way to deal with working class pregnancy encounters. These accounts are likewise harmonious [14]. Large numbers of the social ideas and values that shape and impact ladies' association with the hatchling and substitutes' relationship to their companion are shared by ladies' relationship to the baby and proxies' relationship to their marriage.

The uniqueness of surrogacy lies in the dilemma created for the surrogates by these concepts. Therefore, they are thoughtful to childless couples' longing for a kid; giving the "endowment of life" is what "procures substitutes their wings" since they look at barrenness as an incredible misfortune [15]. Whenever things don't go as arranged with origination or pregnancy, the "not my child" contention exacerbates the situation; proxies feel they let their IPs down. Not my child They are touchy to the mate's obvious misfortune and regularly equivalent the level of distress the pair feels. Sharing the pain of loss is a powerful approach to show your partner you're on the same page and committed to the same path. When a couple isn't heartbroken by the loss, the worth of the lives surrogates produces, and therefore the value of their sacrifice, is put into doubt since they weren't hopeful about the odds or had other children or another surrogate. Surrogates take on the role of surrogate mourners when the spouse doesn't appear to care about the value of their kids' lives[16].

When a woman loses a pregnancy, she is trapped between "two conflicting sets of strong societal pressures," according to Linda Layne's outstanding research on the subject. Home pregnancy tests have become more associated with the beginning of life, yet pregnancy loss is not regarded a death in the beginning phases and ladies who prematurely deliver are declined full empathy along these lines [17]. Unsuccessful labor is described as a clinical event, regardless of whether pregnancy is perceived in manners that rise above clinical innovation [18].

While family arranging has urged ladies to see pregnancy as a conscious undertaking over which they have control, it has additionally given clinical direction on the best way to deal with themselves so they might have sound children. Ladies' sensation of control is annihilated when they have an unnatural birth cycle [19]. An awful decision looks for them: possibly they had command over their pregnancy and are answerable for its passing, or they had no control by any means [20]. Being not able to direct fruitfulness positively places into question principal working class convictions about the office and objective situated lead of ladies and their accomplices [21].

Surrogacy is a reluctantly objective situated undertaking; along these lines the issue of control is significantly more basic with substitute pregnancies [22]. There is a strong feeling of agency in the surrogates' tales. The physicians don't know my body as well as I do today. I had certainty that I could get pregnant once more, and adequately sure, I did [23]. I needed to have the option to give one more couple the endowment of nurturing, so I felt free to make it happen." Although substitutes allude to pregnancy and origination as a "favoring," that is, as a fortunate event for which they can't guarantee full liability, they are persuaded to "share" it with

their life partner to "make individuals' fantasies work out as expected". Their feelings are expressed in these words of being in charge, in control, and in a position of strength [24]. It's my belief that when surrogates assert their own agency in conceiving, they put themselves at greater risk of "failure" and resulting loss. Even more so than with mothers carrying their own children, surrogates are refused compassion when they get pregnant for someone else[25].

There are four different ways to suffer a loss [26]. To start, regenerative advancements add to a sensation of misfortune by offering the chance of progress to the people who are ready to "take the necessary steps" to deliver a child utilizing the innovation. Reproductive medicine practices claim to "build families," but in reality, they choose which patients to include or exclude, as well as which statistics to give in order to get the most accurate data possible [27]. The way "success" is portrayed has an impact on how people see their own prospects. Assisted reproductive technology (ART) researchers have highlighted how the new expectations, problems, and aspirations that these technologies engender are profound. With each new round of infertility treatment, couples' desire, if not "desperation," for a child becomes stronger and more prevalent. Most individuals, however, believe they have no choice but to continue trying new things. Surrogates follow the same reasoning [19]. While sympathizing with the parents, they strive harder and become more determined to help "give" the kid up for adoption[28]. The expectation of success is therefore closely linked to the parties' intense focus on achieving their goals, which is the second process that causes loss. The promise of new technologies is one thing; maintaining one's resolve is quite another. Scholars are most frequently interested in the will to try to conceive of infertile couples [29]. When it comes to surrogacy, it's not only about becoming pregnant for the intended parents; it's also about studying legal and medical processes, finding IPs, and attempting to conceive for those IPs. Because I am such a goal-oriented person, this is exactly what I had hoped to accomplish. In order to succeed, I focus on what I want and go for it with all my might," a surrogate stated[30].

The issue is generally depicted as a chivalrous struggle between "normal" natural social craving for a youngster and the actual inadequacy to have one, much as industriousness postings are consistently welcomed with help. With so many reproductive choices available, this plot structure suggests that staying strong is the key to conquering challenges. These tales demonstrate that perseverance pays off in the end. Goal-oriented rational behavior is seen as ethically right and has existential value in Western society.

It affects how ladies see pregnancy and is especially full with substitutes whose pregnancies are effective due all the time to the working class idea that purposeful work produces result and that it is an ethical obligation to finish what you started. Surrogacy is something I'm not prepared to abandon right now. It's a calling," said Christine, who'd been attempting to consider for herself as well as her significant other for nearly 12 months prior to composing this. Sheryl gave her consolation by enlightening her regarding her seven-year surrogacy experience, which incorporates two

births, one current pregnancy, two early premature deliveries, and eight ineffective attempts. Over the course of five years, Jen had six miscarriages, one twin, and two singletons. You should go for it if you feel that this is your calling." She continued, "Some of us have more obstacles to overcome before we reach our destination!" The only way to fail is to quit up completely. "Do not lose hope. Everything will be worth it in the end. As long as you're still trying, you're not a failure! When repeated attempts are in vain, the sense of loss is exacerbated, and here is when persistence comes into play.

Surrogates' "never give up" attitude has unique class implications because of the virtue connotation of persistence. Surrogates differ from biological moms in that they place more emphasis on forethought and intention than on a contract or money. "No, this isn't a surprise pregnancy or an undesired child for us.

II.DISCUSSION

Surrogates downplay pregnancy's phases in favor of the baby growth process. "I like it because it puts the emphasis on the infant rather than the mother," says one woman. It was the fetal improvement idea of the "existence process" that educated supportive of decision manner of speaking with regards to the personhood of the unborn, and clinical innovation has given early testing that can be utilized to distinguish the exceptionally earliest periods of the "existence process". It is widely believed that "life starts at conception," with the embryo implanting into the uterine lining, and it is what most surrogates believe. Most women's surveyed accepted that life begins at origination and that the baby is a newborn child. Researchers may learn a lot about surrogates' conception of "fetal personhood" by looking at the classic research of abortion.

In most cases, the surrogates are married women with a family size ranging from two to four or five children. Many of them have jobs rather than careers, and many see their family life as their most important goal and accomplishment outside of surrogacy. Many of them have jobs, but they are not professions. They resemble Luker's prolife advocates in many ways, including their financial status and ideology, which places a strong emphasis on the family. While they do not aggressively promote a pro-life message, they do support surrogacy as a "gift of life," which sets them apart. Even many who claim to be pro-choice refuse to have an abortion if given the option. As a result of this, the prochoice movement believes that a woman has the right to choose whether or not she will serve as a surrogate for an unborn child. This may explain the odd cohabitation of prochoice and prolife views. "Abortion and birth control are not options for me personally. There is no attempt on my part to impose my views on others. Never in my life have I instructed anybody what to do with their body or how to live their life. Abortion is opposed by some surrogates, but others might consider it if their spouse requested it for a legitimate cause. Surrogates have always maintained that the child is not theirs, and this proves their assertions. Because the IPs' kid

is theirs, they have the last say in the treatment, as one surrogate put it, "It's their decision."

Surrogates' language about the "baby," on the other hand, often echoes anti-abortion sentiment. "Life starts at conception, and a newborn is now a human being," they basically say." It's simply a different stage of human development." Similarly, the proposed Right to Life Act expresses that "each individual from the hour of origination" has a "option to life". Albeit inadvertently, proxies support the favorable to life development's objective of securing "the most weak residents, the unborn," by stressing sustaining, "hatching," and "looking after children," is in accordance with the possibility that the "unborn" are feeble and needing assurance, which is what the supportive of life development advocates. By crediting personhood to expected babies, treated eggs, and incipient organisms, we are tying "originations of residents to thoughts regarding natural presence of people," where people start as soon as origination, if not prior.

They resemble pro-life advocates in that they accept and even eagerly expand on the embryo's personhood and view the fertility of women as a resource. Because of this, women's fertility has been used as a resource. A babysitter or incubator are metaphors that emphasize the fundamental premise of surrogacy: that perhaps the fetus–baby corresponds towards the intended parents since of their intense desires of becoming parents, rather than because of their genetic composition. "Without the IPs' love and desire, this kid would not have been born. This was always the plan," is a common way of putting it. In spite of the various notices of arranging, proxies additionally frequently guarantee that "God is the sole maker of life." As for God being omniscient, I trust that also. To summarize everything, on the off chance that God needs this life to be delivered by means of surrogacy, He's not creating a child for me; rather, He'll make it for my IPs. Therefore, I'm not giving up guardianship of my youngster. I'm simply giving everything over to them." Consequently, the children so produced are portrayed as part of God's plan, as well as surrogacy and reproductive technology, since he "assists" reproduction by using them. God, on the other hand, plays a decidedly secondary role in this story. There are times when the gods of medicine and science fail, but God is a fallback option.

III.CONCLUSION

Surrogates have a strong emotional attachment to the concept of giving and are profoundly engaged in it. Every loss is felt deeply by them, both as a physical and as an emotional process. Because of the three-way association that exists between the mate, proxy, and youngster, misfortune is enhanced; inability to imagine or carry a pregnancy to term implies that the guaranteed gift won't be conveyed. Surrogacy's socio-innovative association adds to, yet additionally characterizes, the importance of both disappointment and misfortune in contemporary society. Proxies, then again, feel horrible for "letting their IPs down," regardless of whether it is a direct result of "misfortunes in the heart," unnatural birth cycle, or stillbirth. The social

demonstrations that comprise the reinforcement of conceptive innovation, including the commitments of IPs and substitutes to its spread, are diminished to a demonstration of individual selling out, a demonstration that must be redressed by rehashed endeavors at bringing forth the kid.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. H. Patel, Y. D. Jadeja, H. K. Bhadarka, M. N. Patel, N. H. Patel, and N. R. Sodagar, "Insight into different aspects of surrogacy practices," *Journal of Human Reproductive Sciences*, vol. 11, no. 3. pp. 212–218, 2018, doi: 10.4103/jhrs.JHRS_138_17.
- [2] O. B. A. van den Akker, "Psychosocial aspects of surrogate motherhood," *Human Reproduction Update*, vol. 13, no. 1. pp. 53–62, 2007, doi: 10.1093/humupd/dml039.
- [3] S. K. Mishra, P. Sharma, M. Singh, and O. P. Jasuja, "What forensic information does a photocopier reproduction convey - Is it suitable and sufficient to lead an expert to a definite conclusion? Analytical review and evaluation of various identification features relating to photocopied documents, vis-à-vis their originals," *Z Zagadnien Nauk Sadowych*, 2019.
- [4] R. Najam, "Twin pregnancy discordant for anencephaly with severe polyhydramnios," *J. SAFOG*, 2015, doi: 10.5005/jp-journals-10006-1365.
- [5] S. Agarwal, A. Agarwal, A. Khanna, and K. Singh, "Microdeletion of Y chromosome as a cause of recurrent pregnancy loss," *J. Hum. Reprod. Sci.*, 2015, doi: 10.4103/0974-1208.165145.
- [6] G. Jain, P. Bansal, R. Singh, and J. Dureja, "Physiologic changes during pregnancy," *Anaesthesia, Pain and Intensive Care*. 2013, doi: 10.1016/b978-0-12-818902-3.00008-7.
- [7] H. A. Tehran, T. D. Tehrani, A. Kachoie, T. B. Hamaneh, and F. Moradi, "Altruism or earning: motivations of surrogate mothers.," *Iranian Journal of Reproductive Medicine*, vol. 12. pp. 49–50, 2014.
- [8] N. Sabu, N. V. Muninarayanappa, and P. J. Kavitha Mole, "Does pregnant mother are aware about PMTCT of HIV? What is their attitude and do they practice the measures on PMTCT of HIV?: A narrative review," *Indian Journal of Public Health Research and Development*. 2018, doi: 10.5958/0976-5506.2018.01797.7.
- [9] Z. Zahrowati, "Bayi Tabung (Fertilisasi In Vitro) Dengan Menggunakan Sperma Donor dan Rahim Sewaan (Surrogate Mother) dalam Perspektif Hukum Perdata," *Halu Oleo Law Rev.*, vol. 1, no. 2, p. 196, 2018, doi: 10.33561/holrev.v1i2.3642.
- [10] S. Dewi Judiasih and S. Suparto Dajaan, "ASPEK HUKUM SURROGATE MOTHER DALAM PERSPEKTIF HUKUM INDONESIA," *J. Bina Mulia Huk.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 141–150, 2017, doi: 10.23920/jbmh.v1n2.14.
- [11] M. M. Gupta, S. Jankie, S. S. Pancholi, D. Talukdar, P. K. Sahu, and B. Sa, "Asynchronous environment assessment: A pertinent option for medical and allied health profession education during the covid-19 pandemic," *Education Sciences*. 2020, doi: 10.3390/educsci10120352.
- [12] B. Gupta and P. Ahluwalia, "Need for a central survey-based registry-for effective conduct of survey-based medical research," *Indian Journal of Anaesthesia*. 2021, doi: 10.4103/ija.ija_275_21.
- [13] M. A. H. Selian, "SURROGATE MOTHER;TINJAUAN HUKUM PERDATA DAN ISLAM," *J. Yuridis*, vol. 4, no. 2, p. 131, 2018, doi: 10.35586/.v4i2.255.

- [14] V. D. Kadam, G. R. Changule, S. H. Choudhary, and L. P. Gade, "Glandular odontogenic cyst in a young Indian female: A rare case report with review of literature," *J. Indian Acad. Oral Med. Radiol.*, 2020, doi: 10.4103/jiaomr.jiaomr_34_20.
- [15] R. Khatoun, N. Jahan, S. Ahmad, H. Khan, and T. Rabbani, "Comparison of four diagnostic techniques for detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection in females attending tertiary care hospital of North India," *Indian J. Pathol. Microbiol.*, 2015, doi: 10.4103/0377-4929.151172.
- [16] T. Kolbe, R. Palme, C. Touma, and T. Rüllicke, "Repeated use of surrogate mothers for embryo transfer in the mouse," *Biol. Reprod.*, vol. 86, no. 1, 2012, doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.111.092445.
- [17] S. Agarwal, A. Agarwal, P. Joon, S. Saraswat, and S. Chandak, "Fetal adrenal gland biometry and cervical elastography as predictors of preterm birth: A comparative study," *Ultrasound*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/1742271X17748515.
- [18] A. R. Morla, B. S. Ilayaraja, and U. Praveen, "What determines gender preference at birth? A review based on available literature," *Indian J. Public Heal. Res. Dev.*, 2019, doi: 10.5958/0976-5506.2019.01924.7.
- [19] Rishika, N. Garg, S. S. Mayali, L. Pathivada, and R. Yeluri, "Combined effect of enamel deproteinization and intermediate bonding in the retention of pit and fissure sealants in children: A randomized clinical trial," *J. Clin. Pediatr. Dent.*, 2018, doi: 10.17796/1053-4625-42.6.4.
- [20] A. Srivastava, V. Rastogi, and R. Rastogi, "Improve children health - Best investment for better tomorrow," *J. Int. Med. Sci. Acad.*, 2017.
- [21] A. Bajpai, B. S. Ilayaraja, N. V. Muninarayanappa, and V. Nageshwar, "Assessment of anxiety among hospitalized children," *Indian J. Public Heal. Res. Dev.*, 2017, doi: 10.5958/0976-5506.2017.00093.6.
- [22] S. Chandak, A. Mandal, and A. Singh, "Kissing carotids: An unusual cause of dysphagia in a healthy child," *Journal of Pediatric Neurosciences*. 2016, doi: 10.4103/1817-1745.199464.
- [23] D. Gupta et al., "Dental caries and their treatment needs in 3-5 year old preschool children in a rural district of India," *N. Am. J. Med. Sci.*, 2015, doi: 10.4103/1947-2714.156010.
- [24] A. Choube, S. P. Bahal, A. Srivastava, and M. Sharma, "Knowledge and child care practices regarding childhood diarrhoea- a cross sectional study," *Indian J. Community Heal.*, 2014.
- [25] V. Söderström-Anttila et al., "Surrogacy: Outcomes for surrogate mothers, children and the resulting families-a systematic review," *Human Reproduction Update*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 260–276, 2016, doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmv046.
- [26] S. Aliya, H. Kaur, N. Garg, Rishika, and R. Yeluri, "Clinical Measurement of Maximum Mouth Opening in Children Aged 6-12," *J. Clin. Pediatr. Dent.*, 2021, doi: 10.17796/1053-4625-45.3.12.
- [27] N. Jaiswal, A. Khan, H. Kaur, and R. Yeluri, "Management of fracture crown en masse in maxillary central incisors in a 13-year-old child - A multidisciplinary approach," *Contemp. Clin. Dent.*, 2020, doi: 10.4103/ccd.ccd_98_19.
- [28] L. A. Gerlinskaya et al., "Phenotypic variations in transferred progeny due to genotype of surrogate mother," *Mol. Hum. Reprod.*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 88–99, 2018, doi: 10.1093/molehr/gay052.
- [29] T. Kumar and A. Takalkar, "Study of the effects of drinking water naturally contaminated with fluorides on the health of children," *Biomed. Res.*, 2010.
- [30] A. Arvidsson, P. Vauqueline, S. Johnsdotter, and B. Essén, "Surrogate mother - Praiseworthy or stigmatized: A qualitative study on perceptions of surrogacy in Assam, India," *Glob. Health Action*, vol. 10, no. 1, 2017, doi: 10.1080/16549716.2017.1328890.