International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer Science & Technology (IJIRCST)
ISSN: 2347-5552, Volume-9, Issue-4, July 2021
https://doi.org/10.55524/ijircst.2021.9.4.14
Article ID 219414, Pages 57-61
www.ijircst.org

Supply Networks: An Overview

Sitaram Gupta

Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Vivekananda Global University, Jaipur, India

Correspondence should be addressed to Sitaram Gupta; sitaram.gupta@vgu.ac.in

ABSTRACT- This study intends to offer a planned overview of source systems for academics and management interested in the subject. It does not try to provide a complete overview of the vast and rapidly expanding review , but it does show the range and depth of study and practice in the field. The paper's main point is that the spectrum can be interpreted from four different perspectives, which all researchers and professionals use explicitly or implicitly: surface water, as a buyer; downstream, as a seller; static network, as an accountant of position inside its own supply chain network, largest service several supplier relationships, offering a stationary and relative view; and dynamic network, as a manager.

KEYWORDS - Chain, Network, Management, Supply.

I. INTRODUCTION

We'll go over the basics of supplier systems and supply network, and even the evolution of supply chain thinking through time and some popular terminology. We next go through some of the frameworks that have been used to categorize supplier system investigation and rehearsal, as well as the company-based paradigm that is at the heart of this article[1].

Before these identical problems was included under the phrase "supplier chain organization," both considered materials movement and the decrease of entire record[2]. SCM was initially used Especially in the setting of transportation in the early 1980s. Consumers' and vendors' external logistical integration was the only focus of SCM at the time. However, there are significant differences connect the discourses on SCM and logistic The writing on transportation presupposes logical cooperation between customers, suppliers, and distributors[3].

On this premise, service providers sought to develop optimum inventory, transportation, and information flow solutions. SCM, on the other hand, took into account the behavioural and political aspects of trust and authority, as well as conflict and dependency between the supplier and the customer[4]. The long-term viability of supplying clients and customers' clients was a problem for SCM, while logistics research concentrated on lowering overall cost. Finally, whereas logistics used to be mostly intra-organizational, SCM has evolved to be fundamentally inter-organizational. As a result, the term "supply chain" was coined to express the coordination of a firm The term "SCM" is described as:

The unification of company operations that supply consumers with products, service, and data. from the end user to the original suppliers[5]. Pittiglio, Rabin, Todd, and McGrath founded the stockpile committee in 1996, as well as a number of major industrial firms, used a similar concept. It described SCM as including the following[6]:

The time and effort it takes to have a supplier make and deliver a finished product to a client's customer The supply-chain committee also proposed a stockpile operations model for evaluating stockpile activity and creating IT technologies for SCM. SCOR recognized the activities of source, manufacturing, and delivery that was active across the supplier chains. Points and were connected by a fourth process, planning. The general opinion is that SCM is more than just logistics[7]. When comparing logistics and SCM definitions, the same result was reached. They argued that the activities and processes included by the term SCM went beyond logistics' scope. SCM was, in their opinion, "more than just a new term for logistics," because: " Beyond logistics, there is clearly a demand for the convergence of economic operations in the supply chain." New item creation is arguably the most obvious example of this, as it should theoretically include all aspects of the business. Outside groups, in addition to internal efforts, must be incorporated in the market creation cycle by reducing time for new item releases [8]. Supply chain management is the practice of integrating corporate operations throughout the supply chain[9].

As a result of this difference The Academy of Logistics updated their concept of transportation to include it as an element of SCM. Within supply chain management, we identified seven business processes:

- The administration of customer relationships.
- Management of customer service.
- Demand control.
- Order completion.
- Controlling the manufacturing process flow.
- Purchasing.
- Product research and development, as well as commercialization.

Some of these procedures included actions that managers regarded to be part of the marketing effort in general[10]. For example, logistics executives were not in charge of new product development or commercialization. Close collaboration between supply chain managers and product developers, on the other hand, seemed to be essential to the

successful launch of new goods.[11] This was particularly true in business-to-business transactions, where the operations and logistics activities primarily dictated the transaction flow's efficiency and efficacy. The word "network" became popular as the idea of SCM developed, owing to the fact that businesses were often part of many supply chains, with multiple customers and alternative suppliers. The idea of "supply networks" emerged from two different study lines[12].

- Researchers from industrial marketing and buying performed mostly descriptive study on industrial networks.
- Supply chain management study that is more prescriptive, focused on strategic management, operations management, and logistics.

As a result of introducing the word "network" into the SCM arena, the SCM idea has been expanded into more important domains. Some of the earliest studies of supply networks were conducted in the automobile sector, comparing Japanese Keiretsu with western production networks.

Collaborations in supply networks, according to Saunders, do not have to be limited to consumers and their suppliers: there may be room for cooperation, for example, between providers that service the needs of a single customer but do not trade directly with one another. The supplier association is one mechanism. Supply chains and networks can also be viewed from a more strategic standpoint; for example, academics have looked at how supply chain dynamics lead to power shifts between suppliers and OEMs. Supply chain evolution requires SCM to account for decisions such as make vs. buy, mergers, and acquisitions. SCM should also include an examination of new firms' strategic positioning as well as supply networks enabled by trends such as increasing product standardisation, rapid communication, and globalisation[13]. As a result of these developments, companies have been able to achieve highly competitive performance levels by narrowing their focus and deepening their specialization. New, often entrepreneurially led businesses can now choose which aspects of the supply chain they will manage themselves and which will be outsourced.

It should now be evident why this article uses the terms "provide network" and "stockpile network management." Intra-organizational operations combining procurement divisions and new products designers in the choice of oems are what we're talking about here, as well as inter-organizational activities involving customer and supplier firms, are all relevant to a company's strategic positioning in its value and supply networks[14]. When we talk about a supply network, we're talking about the network that's created by the flow of materials, services, and related data. The approach could be expanded to include "technology chains" and "knowledge networks." These related problems are undoubtedly essential for a complete understanding of networks and will be discussed in the review, but they are not the subject of this article. Supply chain research frameworks and perspectives are being classified:

In this part, we evaluate four current SCM studies models preceding presenting the company-specific paradigm employed in this report. SCM was given a four-level structure. When it comes to supply or value chains, these levels reflect the expanding breadth that scholars consider[15]. From level 1 in the 1960s to level 4, in the early 1990s, the framework reflects the evolution of academic study in this field. The internal chain inside a company's borders was the subject of early study. Authors in the buying field have focused on what are known as dyadic interactions, or connections between two businesses. They concentrated on problems of trust, intimate cooperation, and teamwork, as well as partnerships. While some work, such as Forrester's research on need amplifying, had been done before, logistics and supply authors in the 1980s and early 1990s broadened this work to include second-tier suppliers. Researchers began to take a wider perspective of "supply networks" in the late 1980s, focusing not just on real material and information movement, but also on product creation and collaborative learning. The comparison of the Japanese, European, and American automotive industries in the late 1980s and early 1990s is one of the finest instances of this kind of research.

It traces the evolution of supply chain thinking from the early 1980s, when academics originated the word, to the most recent study in the 1990s, when researchers concentrated on merging supply chain regions into a system described as a collection of activities. The focus has shifted away from simply acknowledging or optimizing an existing chain and toward reconfiguring the chain of processes to meet customer requirements effectively. In the same paper, a very detailed framework for analysing the SCM literature was developed. They identified nine content literature areas based on a review of the literature, including design, manufacturing, and distribution, as well as customer management. Five process areas are added to these nine areas:

- Make a plan.
- The implementation phase.
- Information and communication technology.
- The structure of inter-organizational relationships.
- Evaluation.

None of these frameworks, however, concentrate on a company's point of view, despite the fact that this seems to be essential to both practitioners concerned with their particular company's viewpoint and academics who often begin their study from a company's distinctive standpoint. We propose such a paradigm in this article to enable practitioners and academics to access, analyse, and comprehend SCM from the perspective of a business. We believe that four viewpoints are required to encompass the breadth of SCM research:

- Upstream: interacting with suppliers as a buyer.
- Downstream: interacting with customers as a provider.
- Static network: as an auditor of its position in its supply network, which is usually made up of several supply chains. This viewpoint offers a static and comparative perspective.
- Dynamic network: as a strategist, looking for ways to strengthen the firm's position in an existing network or even create one from scratch.

This viewpoint offers a strategic, dynamic, and long-term perspective. This article will define each viewpoint individually, as well as the literature, key research subjects, and practical problems that these views are founded on.
The first is concerned with the structure of the supply base and the relationships between buyers and suppliers. This encompasses a business's supplier selection and development policies and procedures, as well as the whole buyer-supplier relationship. The second section is involved with the supply chain's real ongoing activities, namely the material and information flow. Looking upstream and adding second and third-tier suppliers expands the scope of business process re-engineering and integration activities significantly[16].
One of the biggest volumes of study in the supply chain literature is in the first category. The research here focuses on supplier selection, supplier relations, and supply base performance. And, in line with the SCM paradigm, current buying research has emphasized a total cost perspective that looks beyond the price of a purchase to include a variety of other purchase-related expenses. In addition, the introduction of the just-in-time manufacturing and buying paradigm has resulted in a fresh perspective on the buyer-supplier relationship. The argument is that rather than an autonomous antagonistic relationship, the buyer-supplier relationship should be built on a cooperative collaboration. Three recurrent study topics may be found using a categorization system:

- Benefits and characteristics of buyer-supplier interactions.
- Creating and maintaining buyer-supplier partnerships.
- Relationship management between buyers and suppliers

The connections between supply chain participants, according to most experts, are a critical element in any effort to fully utilize the possibilities of a holistic approach to SCM[17]. Buyer-supplier partnerships that are long-term, cooperative, and trustworthy are often advocated. Suppliers who are ready to participate in continuous improvement programs and long-term mutual commitment are seen as particularly valuable by strong businesses, such as automobile manufacturers[18]. On the other side, the authors also mention the possible dangers of such supplier development strategies.
Authors have addressed additional particular problems in the product development field, in addition to the two major streams of study in buying and logistics. In a similar vein to SCM, the phrase "design chain management" was coined to express the possibility of collaborative product creation between customers and suppliers[19]. The degree to which suppliers are involved in new product development, as well as the tools and methods utilized for coordination, such as integrated CAD/CAM systems and the deployment of residential engineers, are all topics of research in this area. We end by pointing out that the bulk of supply chain literature focuses on the upstream viewpoint from the standpoint of the customer. The majority of studies has also focused on one more or less dominating supply chain partner, whose specific interests are at the forefront. This may be because big OEMs find it simpler to establish and execute explicit SCM goals than other supply chain participants. Goals for a supply network as a whole are more difficult to establish since people engaged typically have conflicting objectives. However, when businesses attempt to evaluate their business's environmental effect and begin to adopt environmentally friendly practices in "greening" the supply chain, one unifying objective may emerge.

A. The downstream point of view

Whereas most SCM material describes a supplier chains as "the journey from the company's provider to the company's customer," there are exceptions. the demand side or downstream viewpoint receives less attention. However, mathematical methods to SCM in logistics and general work on connection and trust may be applied to the supplier's viewpoint. In addition, marketing literature offers methods to analyse Similarly to overall cost assessment for delivered goods and services, customer profitability is used to improve the supply chains. Criteria for "best customers" may be proposed in a similar way to supplier selection criteria. One might argue that, although OEMs are partly accountable for their suppliers' success, suppliers should concentrate their efforts on finding the appropriate consumers[17].

B. The viewpoint of a static network

This viewpoint examines a focus Supplier network thought is used to examine performance throughout a company's multiple supplier networks, identify potential competition concerns and possibilities, and uncover overall operational enhancements. From this vantage point, the focus company and networking participants are represented in static locations. We refer to managers who use this approach as auditors, looking at how the supply network's efficiency and effectiveness are evolving and how they may be enhanced without altering the network's structure. This viewpoint is consistent with Porter's "value chain" concepts. Sympathetic a company's position in regard to its supply, station, and buyer worth manacles is critical to choosing the best course of action for the many trade-off choices it confronts.

C. The viewpoint of a dynamic network

The most strategic and long-term view of supply networks is the dynamic viewpoint, which is involved with the mechanisms that lead to the formation and development of supplier systems. It may be broken down into 2 sub-viewpoints:

- The development of current supply networks in which incumbents have vested interests in maintaining the status quo.
- Entrepreneurial development of new supply networks

D. The development of a network

Supply networks develop via a variety of processes, including consolidation into fewer providers through mergers or acquisitions in established and declining industries, as well as new applicants and greater subcontracting in quickly expanding marketplaces. Examining the research on strategic leadership and finance on these themes is not our focus. Nonetheless, the continual create versus purchase choices made inside supplier networks seem to have been a significant activity

for many companies during the past 15-20 years. SCM must pay special attention to this area since their effect may vary from tactical to the unintentional emergence of new rivals.

E. Creating a network

Existing businesses and their supply chains change slowly or quickly, depending on the market and the ecology factors at play in their marketplaces. Entrepreneurs, on the other hand, can quickly build a supply network at the dawn of the twenty-first century that could not have been tried less than 10 years before. This segment focuses on the potential for new supply networks to be built[20].

II. DISCUSSION

Managers must ensure that the operations are coordinated in such a way that they create an acceptable equilibrium and interaction among the 5 viewpoints for their company. These views may aid bosses in auditing, structuring, and viewing that equilibrium since they speech the fundamental issues that supply network managers confront. Scholastically, the study has reaffirmed the belief that the subject of source manacles and systems includes significant issue areas for manufacturers that may be solved using theories ranging from mathematics to social sciences. Queuing theory and complexity are examples, as are models of organizational trust, the expansion of the intra-organizational emphasis of new product launch to an inter-organizational viewpoint, and so on. The "bullwhip" impact of Forrester's need multiplication and thoughts on postponement are perhaps the sole philosophies of source manacles or systems. Perhaps a paucity of theory development might be attributed to the review's strong focus a group of academics focusing on commercial items and materials that have been made. Because, if theory is to be created, we may need to look at various types of industrial networks and transactions rather than the same ones.

III. CONCLUSIONS

Because the views seem to be complete, they provide a helpful, Notwithstanding considerable overlap, and classification of the literature Despite the fact that the downwards and upstream perspectives are distinct, they share similar concerns regarding item and processes excellence, price, cycles duration, new item introductions, and integration methods, amongst other factors. The full chain perspective tends to reveal issues at a higher strategy degree, yet it concentrates on a company's existing place in its actual show's strengths and limitations. The greatest strategically dynamic perspective is focused with the long architecture and growth of supply networks, power transmission in these channels, competition tensions among competing supply networks, and the effects of environmental change. We found that might managers are generally active in activities that impact their supplier chain and the place within it from a management perspective. Because of the broad breadth of the field, there are many chances for such activities to collide.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Das and S. Mishra, "Biodegradation of the metallic carcinogen hexavalent chromium Cr(VI) by an indigenously isolated bacterial strain," J. Carcinog., 2010, doi: 10.4103/1477-3163.63584.

[2] S. Ghosh, E. Subudhi, and S. Nayak, "Antimicrobial assay of Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni leaf extracts against 10 pathogens," Int. J. Integr. Biol., 2008.

[3] Y. Kim, Y. S. Chen, and K. Linderman, "Supply network disruption and resilience: A network structural perspective," J. Oper. Manag., 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.jom.2014.10.006.

[4] S. Ghosh and A. P. Das, "Modified titanium oxide (TiO2) nanocomposites and its array of applications: a review," Toxicol. Environ. Chem., 2015, doi: 10.1080/02772248.2015.1052204.

[5] M. K. Padhy and R. P. Saini, "Study of silt erosion on performance of a Pelton turbine," Energy, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2010.10.060.

[6] D. Pradhan, L. B. Sukla, B. B. Mishra, and N. Devi, "Biosorption for removal of hexavalent chromium using microalgae Scenedesmus sp.," J. Clean. Prod., 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.10.288.

[7] R. Rajesh, "On sustainability, resilience, and the sustainable–resilient supply networks," Sustain. Prod. Consum., 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.spc.2018.05.005.

[8] C. Harland, R. Brenchley, and H. Walker, "Risk in supply networks," J. Purch. Supply Manag., 2003, doi: 10.1016/S1478-4092(03)00004-9.

[9] D. kumar Padhi, G. K. Pradhan, K. M. Parida, and S. K. Singh, "Facile fabrication of Gd(OH)3 nanorod/RGO composite: Synthesis, characterisation and photocatalytic reduction of Cr(VI)," Chem. Eng. J., 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2014.06.039.

[10] S. Ghosh, S. Mohanty, A. Akcil, L. B. Sukla, and A. P. Das, "A greener approach for resource recycling: Manganese bioleaching," Chemosphere. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.04.028.

[11] M. A. Bellamy, S. Ghosh, and M. Hora, "The influence of supply network structure on firm innovation," J. Oper. Manag., 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.jom.2014.06.004.

[12] P. M. Mishra, G. K. Naik, A. Nayak, and K. M. Parida, "Facile synthesis of nano-structured magnetite in presence of natural surfactant for enhanced photocatalytic activity for water decomposition and Cr (VI) reduction," Chem. Eng. J., 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2016.04.052.

[13] L. Macchion, A. Moretto, F. Caniato, M. Caridi, P. Danese, and A. Vinelli, "Production and supply network strategies within the fashion industry," Int. J. Prod. Econ., 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2014.09.006.

[14] C. Braziotis, M. Bourlakis, H. Rogers, and J. Tannock, "Supply chains and supply networks: Distinctions and overlaps," Supply Chain Management. 2013, doi: 10.1108/SCM-07-2012-0260.

[15] Y. Yao and N. Fabbe-Costes, "Can you measure resilience if you are unable to define it? The analysis of Supply Network Resilience (SNRES)," Supply Chain Forum, 2018, doi: 10.1080/16258312.2018.1540248.

[16] Y. Kim, T. Y. Choi, T. Yan, and K. Dooley, "Structural investigation of supply networks: A social network analysis approach," J. Oper. Manag., 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.jom.2010.11.001.

[17] B. Adenso-Diaz, C. Mena, S. García-Carbajal, and M. Liechty, "The impact of supply network characteristics on reliability," Supply Chain Manag., 2012, doi: 10.1108/13598541211227108.

[18] K. M. Parida and S. K. Dash, "Adsorption of Cu2+ on spherical Fe-MCM-41 and its application for oxidation of adamantane," J. Hazard. Mater., 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.03.051.

[19] A. K. Verma, "Treatment of textile wastewaters by electrocoagulation employing Fe-Al composite electrode," J. Water Process Eng., 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jwpe.2017.11.001.
[20] R. Wilding, B. Wagner, C. Pilbeam, H. Wilson, and G. Alvarez, "The governance of supply networks: A systematic literature review," Supply Chain Management: An International Journal. 2012, doi: 10.1108/13598541211246512.