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#### Abstract

It is a notable actual peculiarities when a permeable media is totally immersed with a non-wetting liquid. For instance, water is brought into contact. The last option will more often than not precipitously stream into the medium, dislodging the non-wetting liquid. In this paper, we utilize indispensable personalities with intersecting hyper-mathematical series to address the progression of two immiscible fluids in a broke permeable medium. The methodology adopted for the solution is followed by transform of non-linear differential system into an ordinary differential equation. Subsequently obtained equation is convert into diffusion equation by applying similarity variable by standard transformation and further transfer into the confluent hyper geometric equation. The acquired arrangement as far as intersecting hyper mathematical series give an articulation for wetting stage immersion. The outcomes exhibit the straightforward examination to acquire a scientific arrangement of the nondirect differential condition of imbibitions peculiarity under extraordinary condition in a broke permeable media wherein the water infiltrating the crease along the broke is sucked into the squares of rock under the activity of hairlike powers and how much water entering the square in the rudimentary volume.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

When a porous medium is completely saturated with a non-wetting fluid, such as water, it is a well-known physical fact that. The later will tend to flow spontaneously into the medium displacing the non-wetting fluid. Such phenomena named as imbibitions phenomena and it has been discussed by BROWSCOMBE and DYES (1952)[1]-[3], From an analytical standpoint, GRAHAM has looked at two unique oil-water displacement processes. We've looked at it from an analytical standpoint in this chapter [4], [5]. The non-linear differential system is transformed into an ordinary differential equation and then convert it into diffusion equation by applying similarity variable which is further by standard transformation; transformed into confluent hyper geometric equation and its solution is obtain in terms of confluent hyper geometric
series which gives an appearance for wetting phase saturations.

## A. Declaration of the problems

Consider a semi-limitless length round and hollow piece of oil-immersed cracked permeable material. Which is verged on three sides by impermeable surfaces and is open and presented to a close by water arrangement. The peculiarity of straight counter current imbibition is brought about by this arrangement. A few standard outcomes for the connection between relative penetrability and stage immersion, impregnation work, oil-water consistency proportion, and hairlike tension reliance on stage immersion are accommodated clearness.
The fundamental premium of the current examination is to acquire a scientific arrangement of the non-straight differential condition of imbibitions under extraordinary state of our problem.

## B. Flow in cracked media

In a broke permeable media water infiltrating the crease along the broke is sucked into the squares of rock under the activity of hairlike powers and how much water entering the square in the rudimentary volume of crease is assigned as the impregnation work $\varnothing(t)$,where $t$ indicates the time [6]-[8].
Consider the equilibrium of water sucked into the squares of rock per unit time and emploing the consequence of MATTAX and KYTE [9], [10], VAZIROV, for the insightful worth of $\emptyset$ we might compose

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \emptyset|T-\tau(u)|=[D /(T- \\
& \left.\left.R x^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right] \\
& T=t\left(\frac{\delta \cos \theta S^{2} \sqrt{\frac{k}{m_{B}}}}{V_{0}}\right) \\
& D=\frac{A}{2} m_{B} q_{k}\left(\delta \cos \theta+S^{2} \sqrt{\frac{k}{m_{b}}}\right) \\
& R=\frac{1}{L_{M}^{2}}\left(\frac{\pi}{4 q} S^{2} \cos \theta m_{B} g_{k} \sqrt{\frac{k}{m_{B}}}\right) \\
& \text { Where } \quad m_{B}=\text { porosity of the blocks } \\
& g_{k}=\text { Saturation of the block with water } t=t_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$S=$ Mean exact surface area of the blocks
$\theta_{n}=$ wetting angle
$V_{0}=$ oil viscosity
$V_{w}=$ the viscosity of water
$K=$ the permeability of the crack system
$A=$ constant coefficient
$L_{m}=$ Mean block size
$q=$ the consistent pace of conveyance of water per unit surface region opposite to the heading of water
It may be mentioned that we consider " $q$ " as the average rate of flow of water across the imbibition face and assumed it to be constant in the present discussion.

## II. DISCUSSION

Formulation of the problem:
DARCY's regulation gives the drainage speed of water (V_w) and oil (V_o) as.

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{w}=-\frac{K_{w}}{V_{w}} k \frac{\partial P_{w}}{\partial x}  \tag{2}\\
& V_{o}=-\frac{K_{o}}{V_{o}} k \frac{\partial P_{o}}{\partial x} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $V_{w}=-V_{o}$ for the imbibition phenomenon, therefore. From equation (2) as well as (3) we may write,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{K_{w}}{V_{w}} \frac{\partial P_{w}}{\partial x}+\frac{K_{o}}{V_{0}} \frac{\partial P_{o}}{\partial x}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the pressure discontinuity between the flowing phase[11], [12]
yeild the defination of capillary pressure as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{c}=P_{o}-P_{w} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4) and (5), We get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{K_{w}}{V_{w}}+\frac{K_{o}}{V_{o}}\right) \frac{\partial P_{w}}{\partial x}+\frac{K_{o}}{V_{o}} \frac{\partial P_{c}}{\partial x}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the value of $\frac{\partial P_{w}}{\partial x}$ from (6) into (2), we get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{w}=\frac{K \frac{K_{w}}{V_{w}} \frac{K_{o}}{V_{o}} \frac{\partial P_{c}}{\partial x}}{\frac{K_{w}}{V_{w}}+\frac{K_{o}}{V_{o}}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following RIJIK [4], the condition of progression for water might be composed as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \frac{\partial S_{w}}{\partial T}+\frac{\partial V_{w}}{\partial X}+\emptyset[T-\tau(u)]= \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

0
Where $\emptyset|[T-\tau(u)]|$ is the impregnation functions, substituting the value of $V_{w}$ and $\varnothing[T-\tau(u)]$ from equation (7) and (1) into (8), We get,
$\epsilon_{p} \frac{\partial S_{w}}{\partial T}+\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\left[K \frac{K_{W} K_{o}}{\vartheta_{o} K_{w}+K_{o} \vartheta_{w}}\right] \frac{\partial P_{c}}{\partial S_{w}} \cdot D\left(T-R_{x}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}=$
0
(9)

Where $\varepsilon=\frac{\delta \cos \theta S^{2} \sqrt{\frac{K}{m_{B}}}}{\vartheta_{o}}$
Equation (9) is non-straight differential condition which portrays the direct counter current imbibition peculiarity in a broke round and hollow framework with the limit condition.

$$
S_{w}(0, T)=S_{w_{0}}: \frac{\partial S_{w}(L, T)}{\partial X}=0
$$

L is the half-length of a cylinder of oil-saturated cracked porous material. Which is surrounded on three sides by an impermeable surface and is open and exposed to a neighboring water formation. T is the above-mentioned
function.
Method of Integral Identities:
It is well known that $P_{c}$ is decreasing function of $S_{w}$ (MUSKAT, 1949). Therefore, we may write.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{c}=-\beta S_{w} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Mehta [12]
Where negative sign indicates the direction of flow.
Also for definiteness. We assume that,
$\frac{K_{w} K_{o}}{\vartheta_{o} K_{w}+K_{o} \vartheta_{w}}=\frac{K_{o}}{\vartheta_{o}}$
Using(10) and (11) into (9), it reduce to,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon_{p} \frac{\partial S_{w}}{\partial T}+\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\left[\left(K \frac{K_{w} K_{o}}{\vartheta_{o} K_{w}+K_{o} \vartheta_{w}}\right)\left(\frac{d P_{c}}{d S_{w}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial S_{w}}{\partial X}\right)\right] \\
=-D\left(T-R_{x}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\
\text { Where } \varepsilon=\frac{\delta \cos \theta S^{2} \sqrt{\frac{K}{m_{B}}}}{\vartheta_{o}} \\
\varepsilon_{p} \frac{\partial S_{w}}{\partial T}+\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\left[\left(K \frac{K_{w} K_{o}}{\vartheta_{o} K_{w}+K_{o} \vartheta_{w}}\right)\left(\frac{d P_{c}}{d S_{w}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial S_{w}}{\partial X}\right)\right] \\
\left.\varepsilon_{p} \frac{\partial S_{w}}{\partial T}+\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\left[K \frac{K_{o}}{\vartheta_{o}} \frac{d P_{c}}{d S_{w}} \frac{\partial S_{w}}{\partial X}\right]=-D\left(T-R_{x}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\
\varepsilon_{p} \frac{\partial S_{w}}{\partial T}+K \frac{K_{o}}{\vartheta_{o}} \frac{d P_{c}}{d S_{w}} \frac{\partial^{2} S_{w}}{\partial X^{2}}=-D\left(T-R_{x}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\
\frac{\partial S_{w}}{\partial T}+\frac{\beta_{1}}{\varepsilon_{p}} \frac{\partial^{2} S_{w}}{\partial X^{2}}=-\frac{D}{\varepsilon_{p}}(T \\
\left.\quad-R_{x}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $\beta_{1}=-K \frac{K_{o}}{\vartheta_{o}} \frac{d P_{c}}{d S_{w}}$
Here $P_{c}$ is linear function of $S_{w}$ then $\frac{d P_{c}}{d S_{w}}$ is constant. So that $\beta_{1}$ is constant [13]
Applying the similarity variable viz,

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{w}=\left[\frac{D F(Z)}{\lambda_{2} \sqrt{\lambda_{1} T}}\right], Z \\
& =\frac{X}{2 \sqrt{\lambda_{1} T}} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\lambda_{1}=\frac{\beta_{1}}{\varepsilon_{p}}$ and $\lambda_{2}=-\frac{D}{\varepsilon_{p}}$
The equation (12) is transformed into ordinary differential equation viz.

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{\prime \prime}(Z)+2 Z F^{\prime}(Z)+2 F(Z) \\
&=\mu\left(1-4 R \lambda_{1} Z^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2}  \tag{14}\\
& F(0)=S_{w}, F^{\prime}(L)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\mu=\frac{4 D \sqrt{\beta_{1}}}{\left(\varepsilon_{p}\right)^{3}}$ and $\lambda_{1}=\frac{\beta_{1}}{\varepsilon_{p}}$ are the small parameters.
Let us change the above ordinary differential equation into diffusion equation.

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{d}{d x}\left[P \frac{d \phi}{d x}\right]+q \phi=f \\
& \quad-P \frac{d^{2} \phi}{d x^{2}}-\frac{d P}{d x} \frac{d \phi}{d x}+q \phi=f \\
& \\
& \frac{d^{2} \phi}{d x^{2}}+\frac{1}{P} \frac{d P}{d x} \frac{d \phi}{d x}+\frac{q \phi}{P}  \tag{15}\\
& =-\frac{f}{P}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Now our ordinary differential equation is, } \\
& \begin{array}{r}
\frac{d^{2} F(x)}{d x^{2}}+2 \frac{d F(x)}{d x}+2 F(x) \\
=\mu\left(1-4 R \lambda_{1} Z^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
F(0)=S_{w}, F^{\prime}(L)=0
$$

Equation (15) and (16), we obtain
If two function are equate then we should equate just like it. If all the multiplier are same than we should equate and take it as any constant K .

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{P} \frac{d P}{d x}=2 c x & \text { Also }-\frac{q}{P}=2 c \\
P=e^{c x^{2}} & q=-2 c e^{c x^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

The diffusion equation becomes,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d x}\left(e^{c x^{2}} \frac{d F}{d x}\right)- 2 c e^{c x^{2}} F(X) \\
&=-\mu e^{-c x^{2}}\left(1-4 R \lambda_{1} x^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2} \\
& \frac{d}{d x}\left(e^{c x^{2}} \frac{d F}{d x}\right)+2 c e^{c x^{2}} F(X) \\
&= \mu e^{-c x^{2}}\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} x^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

With boundary condition $F(0)=0, F(1)=0$
Where $P=P(X)=e^{c x^{2}}$ is diffusion

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q=2 c e^{c x^{2}} \\
& F=f(x)=e^{-c x^{2}} \mu\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} x^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\
& F=f(x)=e^{-c x^{2}} \mu\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} x^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \text { is the source }
\end{aligned}
$$

of diffusion
Let us suppose that the functions are piecewise continuous with discontinuities of the first kind. We wish to find continuous solution of (14) Which has a differential 'Flow '.
$J=e^{c x^{2}} \frac{d F}{d x}$
Which is satisfies the boundary condition.
$F(0)=0$ and $F(1)=$
0
Let us choose two system of net points over the range [ 0,1 ] of variable $x$.
Now participant (i) the basic system $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{n}$ and (ii) the auxiliary system $\left\{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right\}_{k=0}^{n}$

The point from these two systems are mutually alternative in succession.
i.e. $x_{k}<x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}<x_{k+1}$ and $x_{0}=1, x_{n}=1$

We will assume that. $x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}=\left(\frac{x_{k}+x_{k+1}}{2}\right)$
Integrating (17) with respect tox from $x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}$ to $x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}$. As result, we obtain that the equilibrium relation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.-\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} \frac{1}{k+\frac{1}{2}} d e^{c x^{2}} \frac{d F}{d x}\right) d x+\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} 2 c e^{c x^{2}} F(x) d x \\
&=-\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{2}}^{x} \mu e^{-c x^{2}}\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} x^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} d x \\
& {\left[-e^{c x^{2}} \frac{d F}{d x}\right]_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} }+\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{2}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} 2 c e^{c x^{2}} F(x) d x \\
&=-\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{2}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} \mu e^{-c x^{2}}\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} x^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left[e^{\left.c\left(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{d F\left(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{d x}-e^{c\left(x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2}} \frac{d F\left(x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{d x}\right]}\right. \\
& \quad+\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\left(2 c e^{c x^{2}} F(x)\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad-\mu e^{-c x^{2}}\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} x^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) d x=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-J\left(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right)+J\left(x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}\right)+\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\left(2 c e^{c x^{2}} F(x)-\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mu e^{-c x^{2}}\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} x^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) d x=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $J\left(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right)=J\left(x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$
In order to find $J_{k \pm \frac{1}{2}}$, process is as follows, Integrating (18) with respect to $x$ from $x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}$ to $x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} \frac{d}{d x}\left(e^{c x^{2}} \frac{d F(x)}{d x}\right) d x \\
& +\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} 2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi) d \xi \\
& =\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} \mu e^{-c \xi^{2}}\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} \xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} d \xi \\
& -\left(e^{c x^{2}} \frac{d F(x)}{d x}\right)^{x} \\
& x_{k-\frac{1}{2}} \\
& +\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} 2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi) d \xi \\
& =\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} \mu e^{-c \xi^{2}}\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} \xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} d \xi \\
& -\left(e^{c x^{2}} \frac{d F(x)}{d x}\right)+\left(e^{c x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{2}} \frac{d F\left(x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{d x}\right) \\
& +\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} 2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi) d \xi \\
& =\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} \mu e^{-c \xi^{2}}\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} \xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} d \xi \\
& -\left(e^{c x^{2}} \frac{d F(x)}{d x}\right)+\left(e^{c x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{2}} \frac{d F\left(x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{d x}\right) \\
& +\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} 2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi) d \xi \\
& -\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} \mu e^{-c \xi^{2}}\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} \xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} d \xi=0 \\
& e^{c x^{2}} \frac{d F(x)}{d x}=J_{k-\frac{1}{2}} \\
& +\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x}\left(2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi)\right. \\
& \left.-\mu e^{-c \xi^{2}}\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} \xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

$\frac{d F(x)}{d x}=e^{-c x^{2}} J_{k-\frac{1}{2}}+e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x}\left(2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi)-\right.$
$\left.\mu e^{-c \xi^{2}}\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} \xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) d \xi$
Integrating
with
respect
to
$x$ from $x_{k-1}$ to $x_{k}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} \frac{d F(x)}{d x} d x= & \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c \xi^{2}} J_{k-\frac{1}{2}} d x  \tag{20}\\
& +\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c \xi^{2}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x}\left(2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi)\right. \\
& \left.-\mu e^{-c \xi^{2}}\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} \xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) d \xi d x
\end{align*}
$$

$$
[F(x)]_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}}=J_{k-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x
$$

$$
+\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x}\left(2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi)\right.
$$

$$
\left.-\mu e^{-c \xi^{2}}\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} \xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) d \xi d x
$$

$$
F\left(x_{k}\right)-F\left(x_{k-1}\right)=J_{k-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x
$$

$$
+\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x}\left(2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi)\right.
$$

$$
\left.-\mu e^{-c \xi^{2}}\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} \xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) d \xi d x
$$

Divide the above equation by $\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x$
$\frac{F\left(x_{k}\right)-F\left(x_{k-1}\right)}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}$
$=J_{k-\frac{1}{2}}$
$+\frac{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x}\left(2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi)-\mu e^{-c \xi^{2}}\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} \xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}$
$J_{k-\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{F\left(x_{k}\right)-F\left(x_{k-1}\right)}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}-$
$\frac{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x}}\left(2 c e^{c \xi^{2}}{ }_{\left.F(\xi)-\mu e^{-c \xi^{2}}\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} \xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) d \xi d x}^{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}\right.}{\text { 就 }}$
A similar expression is obtained for the $J_{k+\frac{1}{2}}$ by taking $(k+1)$ rather than $k$ in (22). In this way we have managed to express the flows $J_{k \pm \frac{1}{2}}$ by means of known functions of the problem. The relation (22) is exact.
$J_{k+\frac{1}{2}}$
$=\frac{F\left(x_{k+1}\right)-F\left(x_{k}\right)}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}$
$-\frac{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}}^{x}\left(2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi)-\mu e^{-c \xi^{2}}\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} \xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}$
A substitution of (22) and the corresponding $J_{k+\frac{1}{2}}$
$J_{k+1 / 2}$
into (19), namely,

$$
\begin{align*}
& (A F)_{k}=-\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}}\left[\frac{F\left(x_{k+1}\right)-F\left(x_{k}\right)}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}-\frac{F\left(x_{k}\right)-F\left(x_{k-1}\right)}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} 2 c e^{c x^{2}} F(x) d x\right) \\
& -\frac{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{x}}^{x} 2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi) d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x} \\
& \left.+\frac{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} 2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi) d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}\right] \\
& (f)_{k}=-\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} f d x-\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}}\left[\frac{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{x}}^{x} f d \xi d x}}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}}-\right. \\
& \left.\frac{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{x}}^{x} f d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}\right] \\
& (A F)_{k} \\
& =-\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}}\left[\frac{F\left(x_{k+1}\right)-F\left(x_{k}\right)}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}-\frac{F\left(x_{k}\right)-F\left(x_{k-1}\right)}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}\right. \\
& -\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x}{ }_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\left(2 c e^{c x^{2}} F(x) d x\right) \\
& -\frac{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{x}}^{x} 2 c e e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi) d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x} \\
& \left.+\frac{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{x}}^{x} 2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi) d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}\right] \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Also consider the vector $f$ with the component,

$$
\begin{align*}
&(f)_{k}=-\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} f d x \\
&-\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}}\left[\frac{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{x}}^{x} f d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{x}}^{x} f d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}\right] \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $(f)_{k}$ is a vector and $f$ is the source of diffusion substance and

$$
f(x)=e^{-c x^{2}} \mu\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} x^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}
$$

Also $\Delta x_{k}=x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}-x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}$ where $k=1,2,3, \ldots,(n-1)$.
For simplicity we will assume that the solution of (17) are chosen from the class $\phi$ each function of which has certain smoothness properties and satisfied the boundary condition $F(x)=0$.
Using a more compact notation (23) for $k=1,2,3, \ldots$ ( $n-$ 1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A F=f \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the further various approximation of equation(26). Let us introduce the Euclidean Form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F\|_{\phi_{h}}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(F_{k}^{h}\right)^{2} \Delta x_{k} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\phi_{h}$ the space of net functions from is $F_{h}=$ $\left(F_{1}^{h}, F_{2}^{h}, \ldots, F_{n-1}^{h}\right)$ defined at points $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}$. consider the following approximation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{h} F^{h}=f^{h} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\left(A^{h} F^{h}\right)_{k}=-\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}}\left[\frac{F^{h}\left(x_{k+1}\right)-F^{h}\left(x_{k}\right)}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}-\right.
$$

$$
\frac{F^{h}\left(x_{k}\right)-F^{h}\left(x_{k-1}\right)}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}-
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F_{k}^{h} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x}{ }_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\left(2 c e^{c x^{2}} d x\right)\right] \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we derive the value of $\xi^{h}, \eta^{h}$, and $\phi^{h}$

$$
\left((A F)_{h}-A^{h}\left(F_{h}\right)\right)=
$$

$\left((A F)_{h}-A^{h}\left(F_{h}\right)\right)=$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}}\left[\frac{F_{k+1}-F_{k}}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}\right. \\
& -\frac{F_{k}-F_{k-1}}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x} \\
& \left.-\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} \begin{array}{l}
x_{k+\frac{1}{2}} \\
x_{k} \\
x^{c} \\
c x^{2} \\
\end{array}(x) d x\right) \\
& -\frac{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{x}}^{x} 2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi) d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x} \\
& \left.+\frac{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} 2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi) d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}}\left[\frac{F_{k+1}^{h}-F_{k}^{h}}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}-\frac{F_{k}^{h}-F_{k-1}^{h}}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}\right. \\
& \left.-F_{k}^{h} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\left(2 c e^{c x^{2}} d x\right)\right] \\
& =-\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \frac{F_{k+1}-F_{k}^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}+\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \frac{F_{k}-F_{k-1}}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x} \\
& +\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x}{ }_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{2}\left(2 c e^{c x^{2}} F(x) d x\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \frac{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} 2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi) d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x} \\
& -\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \frac{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{x}}^{x} 2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi) d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x} \\
& +\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \frac{F_{k+1}^{h}-F_{k}^{h}}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}-\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \frac{F_{k}^{h}-F_{k-1}^{h}}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x} \\
& -\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} F_{k}^{h} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x}\left(2 c e^{c x^{2}} d x\right) \\
& \text { 另 } \\
& +\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}}\left[\frac{F_{k+1}-F_{k}}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}-\frac{F_{k}^{h}-F_{k-1}^{h}}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.=\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}}\left[\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} 2 c e^{c x^{2}}\right) F(x) d x-F_{k}^{h} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x}{ }_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\left(2 c e^{c x^{2}}\right) d x\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}}\left[\frac{\left[\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{x}}^{x} 2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi) d \xi d x\right.}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\left.\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{x}}^{x} 2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi) d \xi d x\right]}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}\right] \\
& \xi^{h}=\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}}\left[\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{x}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} 2 c e^{c x^{2}}\right) F(x) d x \\
& \eta^{h}  \tag{1}\\
& =-\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}}\left[\frac{\left.F_{k}^{h} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{2}}^{x}\left[2 c e^{c x^{2}}\right) d x\right]}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{x}}^{x} 2 c e^{c \xi^{2}} F(\xi) d \xi d x} \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
& \theta^{h}=\left[(f)_{h}-f^{h}\right] \\
&=\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} f d x-\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}}\left[\frac{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k}} f d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}\right.
\end{aligned} \\
& \left.-\frac{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} f d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x}{ }_{k+\frac{1}{2}} f d x \\
& =\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} f(x) d x \\
& -\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}}\left[\int_{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} f(x) d x-\int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} f(x) d x\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x}{ }_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{x} f(x) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} f(x) d x-\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \frac{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{x_{k}}}^{x_{k}} f d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x} \\
& +\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \frac{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x} f d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x} \\
& -\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} f d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\theta^{h}=-\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}}\left[\frac{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} \int_{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{x_{k}}}^{x_{k}} f d \xi d x}{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}\right]
$$

Where $\quad\left(F^{h}\right)_{k}=-\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{x}}^{x+\frac{1}{2}}$ fdx for $k=1,2, \ldots, n-$
1 and $F_{0}^{h}=F_{n}^{h}=0$
Using the triangular inequality, we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(A F)_{h}-A^{h}\left(F_{h}\right)\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}} \leq\left\|\xi^{h}\right\|_{\phi_{h}}+\left\|\eta^{h}\right\|_{\phi_{h}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

And $\left\|(f)_{h}-f^{h}\right\|=\left\|\theta^{h}\right\|_{\phi_{h}}$
For any continuous function $u$ on $[0,1]$ we take symbol $(u)_{h}$ to denote the $n-1$ dimensional vector from $\phi_{h}$ with the components $u\left(x_{k}\right)$.
Let us estimate the norms $\left\|\xi^{h}\right\|_{\phi_{h}} \cdot\left\|\eta^{h}\right\|_{\phi_{h}} \cdot\left\|\theta^{h}\right\|_{\phi_{h}}$
Now assume that $q, f \in Q^{2}(0,1)$ and $P \in$ $Q^{3}(0,1)$ where $Q^{s}(0,1)$ is the piece wise continuous differential function up to including $S$ also.
Where $\quad q=2 c e^{c x^{2}}, P=e^{c x^{2}}, f=f(x)=e^{-c x^{2}} \mu(1+$ $\left.4 R \lambda_{1} x^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$
The possible discontinuities being those of first kind at points $0<Y_{1}<Y_{2}<\cdots<Y_{m}<1$. We will assume everywhere in what follows that the set $\left\{Y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ belongs to the set of net points $\left\{x_{k} \mid k=1,2, \ldots, n-1\right\}$.
This assumption will be needed in analyzing the approximation error. From the assumption made it follows that the solution $F$ of problem (17) will be continuous. While on each of the segment $\left.\left[Y_{i}, Y_{i+1}\right]\right)$ _le on each of the segment the assllows that the set 5 $1,2, \ldots, m-1$
The solution will have a fourth derivatives,
Let us now investigate the behavior of the components $\xi^{h}, \theta^{h}, \eta^{h}$ under the assumption that $h \ll 1$.
Where $\begin{gathered}\text { Max } \\ 0 \leq k \leq n-1\end{gathered}\left|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right|$
Expanding the (*) in to the Taylor series in the vicinity of the net points, it is not difficult to show that the components of these vectors are majorized in modulus by the corresponding components of the vector $W^{h}$.
Where $\quad\left\{W^{h}\right\}=$ Nh.If $x$ is one of the points $Y_{i}(i=$ $1,2, \ldots n)=M\left(\left|\Delta_{\mathrm{k}+\frac{1}{2}}-\Delta_{k-\frac{1}{2}}\right|+h^{2}\right)$ otherwise
$M$ and $N$ are positive constants. Here we introduced the notation

$$
\Delta_{k+\frac{1}{2}}=x_{k+1}-x_{k}
$$

Let us assume that in the domain of definition of the solution there is a points of discontinuity of the coefficients.

$$
x=x_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n) \text { and } \Delta x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}=\Delta x_{x-\frac{1}{2}} \text { for } k \neq 1
$$

Form (27)

$$
\left\|W^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}}=\left[\sum_{k=1, k \neq 1}^{n-1}\left(w^{h}\right)_{k}^{2} \Delta x_{k}+\left(w^{h}\right)_{1}^{2} \Delta x_{1}\right]
$$

Suppose that $h=\max \left\{\Delta x_{k}, 2\left(1-x_{n-\frac{1}{2}}\right), 2 x_{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}$
Taking the account of the relation $1-h \leq \sum_{k-1}^{n-1} \Delta x_{k-1}$ and using the above local estimating the terms $W^{h}$ in the square norm. We obtain the estimate

$$
\left\|W^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}}^{2}=\left[\sum_{k=1, k \neq 1}^{n-1}\left(w^{h}\right)_{k}^{2} \Delta x_{k}+\left(w^{h}\right)_{1}^{2} \Delta x_{1}\right]
$$

Now $\left\{W^{h}\right\}_{k}=N . h$. If $x$ is one of the points $Y_{i}, i=$ 1,2, ..., m.
$=M\left(\left|\Delta_{\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)}-\Delta_{\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right|\right.$
$+h^{2}$ ); otherwise $M$ and $N$ are positive constant or $k$
$\neq 1, x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}=x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta x_{k}<1
$$

$$
\left\|W^{h}\right\|_{\varnothing_{h}} \leq\left(M h^{2}\right)^{2}+(N h)^{2} h
$$

$$
\left\|W^{h}\right\|_{\varnothing_{h}} \leq\left(M^{2} h^{4}\right)+\left(N^{2} h^{2}\right) h
$$

$$
\left\|W^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}} \leq M^{2} h^{4}+N^{2} h^{3}
$$

$\left\|W^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}}$
$\leq h^{3}\left(M^{2} h\right.$
$+N^{2}$ ) where $M$ and $N$ are positive constant.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|W^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}} \leq h^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{M^{2} h+N^{2}} \\
\left\|W^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}} \leq h^{\frac{3}{2}} C, \text { where } C=\sqrt{M^{2} h+N^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence $\left\|W^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}} \leq C h^{\frac{3}{2}}$
Where $C$ being positive constant. Hence we have the following estimate for the norms of approximation error of $\xi^{h}, \theta^{h}, \eta^{h}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore\left(\left\|\xi^{h}\right\|,\left\|\eta^{h}\right\|,\left\|\theta^{h}\right\|\right) \leq C h^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $C$ being positive constant, independent of [Marchuk, 30]. Provided one of the two conditions below are satisfied. Either the net is uniform on each of the intervals $\left[0, Y_{1}\right],\left[Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right], \ldots .,\left[Y_{m}, 1\right]$ or the net is quasi uniform.
i.e. The inequality $\left|\Delta x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}-\Delta x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}\right| \leq C h^{2}$ as $h$
$\rightarrow 0$ is violated only finitely many times. $C$
$>0$ is constant.
Let us note that if the order of smoothness of any of the function $P, q$ and $f$ is decreased by one the following estimate is obtained.

$$
\max \left\{\left\|\xi^{h}\right\| \cdot\left\|\eta^{h}\right\| \cdot\left\|\theta^{h}\right\|\right\}<C_{1} . h
$$

The difference scheme (28) which we have considered, is rarely used in practice the way it stand. Since the explicit integration of the function $p, q$ and $f$ becomes very difficult.
As rule instead of (28) we used its simplified version.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A^{h} F^{h}\right)=-\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}}\left[P_{\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \frac{\left(F_{k+1}^{h}-F_{k}^{h}\right)}{\Delta x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\right. \\
& \left.-\quad P_{\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \frac{\left(F_{k}^{h}-F_{k-1}^{h}\right)}{\Delta x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}-\left(q \Delta x_{k}\right)_{k} F_{k}^{h}\right] \\
& \text { As } \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { earlier } \\
P=e^{c x^{2}} \text { and } q=2 c e^{c x^{2}}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

And $\quad\left(f^{h}\right)_{k}=\frac{1}{\Delta x_{k}}[f \Delta x]_{k}=f_{k}$

$$
=\frac{f_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\left(x_{k}-x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}\right)+f_{k-\frac{1}{2}}\left(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}-x_{k}\right)}{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}-x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}} \text { where } k
$$

$$
=1,2,3, \ldots,(n-1) \quad[\text { Marchuk } 14
$$

Where $f=e^{-c x^{2}} \mu\left(1+4 R \lambda_{1} x^{2}\right)^{-\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}$
It turns out all the conclusion we have made with regard the size of the approximation on error still hold provided all the corresponding assumption on smoothness of parameter also remain unchanged. We will now turn to convergence properties (28) and (29). Keeping the smoothness assumption on $P, q$, and $f$ we need only to prove the stability (28).

$$
\|A\|^{2}=\operatorname{Sup}_{F \in F, F \neq 0} \frac{(A F, A F)}{(F, F)}
$$

And then we used convergence theorem gives in [Verma - 7] and it is state below for completeness.

1. Suppose that the difference scheme

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{h} \emptyset^{h}=f^{h} \text { in } D_{h} \\
& a^{h} \emptyset^{h}=g^{h} \text { in } \partial D_{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

Approximate the initial problem,

$$
A \emptyset=f \text { in } D_{h}
$$

$a \emptyset=g$ in $\partial D_{h}$ to order $n$ on the solution $\emptyset$
2. $A^{h}$ and $a^{h}$ are linear operators.
3. The difference scheme $A^{h} \emptyset^{h}=f^{h}$ in $D_{h}$

$$
a^{h} \emptyset^{h}=g^{h} \text { in } \partial D_{h} \text { is stable }
$$

i.e. $\exists$ positive constants $\bar{h} . c_{1}, c_{2} \in h<\bar{h}, f_{h}, g_{h} \in G_{h}$

Then $\exists a$ unique solution $\emptyset_{h}$ of the problem 1 satisfying the inequality,

$$
\left\|\emptyset^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}} \leq C_{1}\left\|f^{h}\right\|_{F_{h}}+C_{2}\left\|g^{h}\right\|_{G_{h}}
$$

Then the solution of $\emptyset_{h}$ of the difference problem converges to the solution $\emptyset$ of initial problem.
i.e. $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|(\varnothing)_{h}-\emptyset^{h}\right\|_{F_{h}}=0$

And the following estimates of the rate of convergence is valid.

$$
\left\|(\varnothing)_{h}-\emptyset^{h}\right\|_{F_{h}} \leq\left(M_{1} C_{1}+M_{2} C_{2}\right) h^{n}
$$

Where $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are constant.
We first estimate the scalar product $\left(F^{h}, f^{h}\right)$. By the Cauchy- Bunyakovsky inequality stated below.

$$
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{n} t_{n}\right| \leq\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{n}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_{n}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Where $S_{n}$ and $t_{n}$ are sequences. Reference by (Bokserman, Brownscombe, 1 ,6). By using above statement, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F^{h}, f^{h}\right) \leq\left\|F^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\left\|f^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the scalar product is to be understood in following.

$$
(\psi, \varphi)=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta x_{k} \psi_{k} \varphi_{k} \text { where } \psi, \varphi \in \emptyset_{h}
$$

Let us investigate L.H.S. (32) in more detail. Since $q(x) \geq 0$ and $P(x)>0$ by hypothesis we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { But } p(x)=e^{c x^{2}}, q=2 c e^{c x^{2}}, p(0)=c e^{0}=c \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(F^{h}, f^{h}\right)=\left(F^{h}, A^{h} F^{h}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{F_{k}^{h}-F_{k-1}^{h}}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} P d x}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(F_{k}^{h}\right)^{2} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} q(x) d x \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{F_{k}^{h}-F_{k-1}^{h}}{\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} e^{-c x^{2}} d x}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(F_{k}^{h}\right)^{2} \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\left(2 c e^{c x^{2}}\right) d x \\
& \geq p_{0} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\left(F_{k}^{h}-F_{k-1}^{h}\right)^{2}}{\Delta x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(F^{h}, f^{h}\right) \geq p_{0} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\left(F_{k}^{h}-F_{k-1}^{h}\right)^{2}}{\Delta x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& \left(F^{h}, f^{h}\right)>0 \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

This inequality follows from the fact that vector $F^{h}$ is nonnull. Since it is the solution of the in homogeneous problem (28) with non-singular matrix $A^{h}$.
Noting that $F_{0}^{h}=0$ we may write,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{k}^{h}=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(F_{j}^{h}-F_{j-1}^{h}\right) \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\left(F_{j}^{h}-F_{j-1}^{h}\right) \sqrt{\Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}}{\sqrt{\Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Cauchy- Bunyakovsky inequality for sum we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(F_{k}^{h}\right)^{2}=\left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\left(F_{j}^{h}-F_{j-1}^{h}\right) \sqrt{\Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}}{\sqrt{\Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}}\right]^{2} \\
& \leq\left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\left(F_{j}^{h}-F_{j-1}^{h}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sqrt{\Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^{2}}\right]\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left|\sqrt{\Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}\right|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq\left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\left(F_{j}^{h}-F_{j-1}^{h}\right)^{2}}{\Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right]\right] \\
& \left(F_{k}^{h}\right)^{2} \leq\left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\left(F_{j}^{h}-F_{j-1}^{h}\right)^{2}}{\Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}\right]\left(\because \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right. \\
& \leq 1) \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

From (32) and (33) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(F^{h}, f^{h}\right) \leq\left\|F^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}}\left\|f^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}} \\
\left\|F^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}}\left\|f^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}} \geq\left(F^{h}, f^{h}\right) \\
\geq\left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\left(F_{j}^{h}-F_{j-1}^{h}\right)^{2}}{\Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}\right] \\
\geq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(F_{k}^{h}\right)^{2} \Delta x_{k} \\
\left\|F^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}}\left\|f^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}} \geq\left\|F^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}}^{2} \\
\left\|f^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}} \geq\left\|F^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}} \\
\left\|F^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}} \leq\left\|f^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}}
\end{gathered}
$$

This inequality prove the stability of the difference algorithm (by 32 to 34 ).
Using the convergence theorem with the norm (27) we obtained the estimate as below.
Here $\left\|F^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}}^{2}=\sum_{k-1}^{n-1}\left(F_{k}^{h}\right)^{2} \Delta x_{k}$
Using the convergence theorem which was earlier used on . Again we use the convergence theorem with assume values $C_{1}, M_{1}$ and $h$ as constant then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|F^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}}^{2} \leq \mathrm{C}_{1} M_{1} h^{3}\left(\because \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta x_{k}<h \text { and } \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(F_{k}^{h}\right)^{2}\right. \\
\left.<C_{1} M_{1} h^{2}\right) \\
\left\|F^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}} \leq \sqrt{\mathrm{C}_{1} M_{1} h^{3}} \\
\leq \sqrt{\mathrm{C}_{1} M_{1}} h^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
\left\|F^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}} \leq k h^{\frac{3}{2}} \text { where } k=\sqrt{\mathrm{C}_{1} M_{1}} \text { is constant. } \\
\varepsilon^{h}=(F)_{h}-F^{h} \\
\left\|\varepsilon^{h}\right\| \emptyset_{h} \leq k h^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad \varepsilon^{h}=(F)_{h}-F^{h}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $k \geq 3 C$ is positive constant. By drawing certain networks analog of the Imbedding theorem we can clarify the estimate

$$
\left\|\varepsilon^{h}\right\| \emptyset_{h} \leq k h^{\frac{3}{2}}
$$

First we note that $F_{0}^{h}=F_{n}^{h}=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(F_{k}^{h}\right)^{2} \leq\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\left(F_{j}^{h}-F_{j-1}^{h}\right)^{2}}{\Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}\right] \\
& \leq\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\left(F_{j}^{h}-F_{j-1}^{h}\right)^{2}}{\left(\Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2}} \Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right] 6 \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\left[\frac{\left(F_{j}^{h}-F_{j-1}^{h}\right)}{\Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}\right]^{2} \Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

If $C_{1} \leq\left[\frac{\Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta x_{j}}\right] \leq C_{2} ; C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ are constant and independent of $j$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(F_{k}^{h}\right)^{2} & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\frac{\left(F_{j}^{h}-F_{j-1}^{h}\right)}{\Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}\right]^{2} \frac{\Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta x_{j}} \Delta x_{j} \\
\leq & C_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\frac{\left(F_{j}^{h}-F_{j-1}^{h}\right)}{\Delta x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}\right]^{2} \Delta x_{j} \\
& \left(F_{k}^{h}\right)^{2} \leq C_{2}\left(\left\|F^{h}\right\|^{2}\right)_{w_{1}, h}^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this we obtain the following relation for the net function (the net analog of imbedding $W_{2}^{1}(0,1)$ in $C(0,1)$ in one dimension case)
Where $W_{2}^{1}(0,1)$ is a Sobolovespace $(0,1)$. Which is Sobolovespace function in $W_{2}^{1}(D)$ that vanish on $\partial D$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|F^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}}=1 \leq j \leq n-1\left|F_{k}^{h}\right| \\
& \left\|F^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}} \leq C\left\|F_{h}\right\|_{W_{1, h}}^{0} \text { where } C=\text { constant }<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

We also apply the later inequality to obtain a more precise estimate of the error.

$$
\varepsilon^{h}=(F)_{h}-F^{h}
$$

Then we write an identity

$$
A^{h} \varepsilon^{h}=\xi^{h}+\eta^{h}+\theta^{h}
$$

Then we take the scalar product with $\varepsilon^{h}$

$$
\left(A^{h} \varepsilon^{h}, \varepsilon^{h}\right)=\left(\xi^{h}+\eta^{h}+\theta^{h}, \varepsilon^{h}\right)
$$

From (33)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A^{h} \varepsilon^{h}, \varepsilon^{h}\right) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\frac{\left(F_{k}^{h}-F_{k-1}^{h}\right)}{\Delta x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}\right]^{2} \\
& \left(A^{h} \varepsilon^{h}, \varepsilon^{h}\right) \geq C_{1}\left\|\mathcal{E}^{h}\right\| W_{1, h}^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i.e }\left|\left(\xi^{h}+\eta^{h}+\theta^{h}\right), \varepsilon^{h}\right|=\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta x_{k}\left(\xi^{h}+\eta^{h}+\theta^{h}\right) \varepsilon^{h}\right| \\
& \leq\left\|\varepsilon^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta x_{k}\left\|\xi^{h}+\eta^{h}+\theta^{h}\right\| \\
& \left|\left(\xi^{h}+\eta^{h}+\theta^{h}\right), \varepsilon^{h}\right| \leq\left\|\varepsilon^{h}\right\|_{\emptyset_{h}}\left\|\xi^{h}+\eta^{h}+\theta^{h}\right\|_{L_{1}, h}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\left\|\varepsilon^{h}\right\|_{W_{1, h}^{0}} \leq C\left\|\xi^{h}+\eta^{h}+\theta^{h}\right\|_{L_{1}, h}
$$

Drawing on the above imbedding theorem, we obtain the inequality

$$
\left\|\varepsilon^{h}\right\|_{W_{1, h}^{0}} \leq C\left\|\xi^{h}+\eta^{h}+\theta^{h}\right\|_{L_{1}, h}
$$

But we have that necessary smoothness of the solution and the initial data the quasi-uniform of the net

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\xi^{h}+\eta^{h}+\theta^{h}\right\|_{L_{1}, h} \\
&<3 N m h^{2}+C m H^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta x_{k} \text { where } C \\
&=\text { constant }<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

For the sufficient small $h$ and $m<\infty$ we get the desire estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varepsilon^{h}\right\|_{C_{h}} \leq C\left\|\varepsilon^{h}\right\|_{W_{2, h}}^{0}<0\left(h^{2}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III. CONCLUSION

We looked at the flow of two immiscible liquids in a broken porous medium in this problem. The flow in fractured medium has been changed from a nonlinear differential system to an ordinary differential equation, which has then been translated to a diffusion equation. Confluent hyper-geometric series and an integral form are used to get the solution. The equation (34) gives the solution of our diffusion equation and we established the stability and found the estimates of them.
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