Assessing Differential Knowledge Level Apropos Improved Sugarcane Cultivation Practices in Madhya Pradesh

Sandeep Chouhan¹, S.R.K. Singh², A.K. Pande³U.S. Gautam⁴ and Shrankhla Mishra⁵

ABSTRACT

Knowledge is the essential component for enhancing the production and income of farmers. This research study was conducted in Burhanpur districts of Madhya Pradesh during 2011-12, with the sample size of 120 respondents. The *expost facto* research design was used for the study. The findings revealed that, around 76.66 per cent respondents possessed medium knowledge level. A negligible percentage of the respondents i.e. 15.84 per cent and 7.50 per cent had low and high knowledge level, respectively. The maximum proportions of the respondents (52.5%) were having middle level of annual income (₹ 2.01 - 5.00 lakh) followed by 34.16 per cent low income (<₹ 2 lakh) and 13.34 per cent high annual income (>₹ 5.01 lakh). The results indicated a need for greater extension effort to provide know-how of the improved sugarcane production technologies to the respondents. The role of extension agents are to remove the barrier by providing information and insight into the problem for enhancing the sugarcane productivity vis-à-vis income of the sugarcane growers.

Key words : Knowledge, adoption, Sugar cane

INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum L.*) is an important commercial crop of the world and is cultivated in about 75 countries, the leading countries being India, Brazil, Cuba, Mexico and Thailand. The sugar industry plays an important role in the agricultural economy of India. Sugarcane cultivation and sugar industry stand as supporting pillars of Indian economy.

India occupies the second rank in production of sugarcane in the world. The area under sugarcane in India is 5.03 million ha. during the year 2011-12 and cane production of 342.20 million tonnes and productivity is 68.09 tonnes/ha. Sugar production is estimated to be around 24.2 to 24.5 million tonnes. India's annual consumption of sugar is around 22 to 23 million tonnes (DAC, 2011-12).

Technological knowledge is essential for increasing the level of use of production inputs, particularly those contribute towards high yield and income. Prevention to protection needs to be emphasized in order to develop financial position of the farmers. Some farmers lack adequate knowledge and insight to recognize their problems, to think of a possible solution, or to select the most appropriate solution to achieve their goals. Their knowledge also may be not be based on the recent technological facts because of limited source of information, resource and cultural factors. Hence strategy could be worked out for regular updation of knowledge of the farming community through various trainings and capacity-building programmes by Krishi Vigyan Kendra (KVK) and other organizations working in the area.

METHODOLOGY

This study was conducted in Burhanpur districts of Madhya Pradesh during 2011-12, with the sample size of 120 respondents. The district comprises two blocksnamely Burhanpur and Khaknar. The *ex-post facto* research design was used for the study. Relevant variables were selected after extensive review of literature and in consultation with the extension experts. Data were collected by interviewing the farmers with the help of an interview-schedule. Collected data were tabulated and analysed by using mean, frequency, percentage.

Knowledge about improved sugarcane cultivation in the present study has been operationalized as the level of information and understanding about scientific sugarcane cultivation practices possessed by the farmer. To measure the knowledge level of sugarcane growers, a 'knowledge index' was prepared taking 18 dimensions, namely soil selection, soil preparation, soil testing, seed selection, seed treatment, spacing, irrigation management, earthing up, green manuring, farmyard manure application, fertilizer application, bio fertilizer application, weed management, integrated pest management, disease management and ratoon management. These dimensions were identified after a thorough review of literature and discussions held with scientists and other experts in the field. The components of each selected practices were made comprehensively with the help of concerned

^{1 & 5} M.Sc. (Agricultural Extension), ³Professor (Agricultural Extension), Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh
²Senior Scientist, ⁴Principal Scientist (Agricultural Extension), Zonal Project Directorate Zone-VII, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh

agricultural scientists. The responses were recorded on three point continuum as complete, partial and no knowledge and were given 2,1 and 0 scores, respectively. Thus, the total knowledge score could range from 1 to 36. The total score of knowledge for each respondent was computed by adding up the scores of eighteen dimensions. On the basis of ranges of scores, the respondents were categorized into low, medium and high groups of knowledge level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Profile of the respondents

In order to know the background and socio-economic status of the respondents, it is important to study these characteristics. In all, 10 socio-economic variables were studied using appropriate tools. The analysed data showed that majority (50.83%) of the respondents were from middle age group (36-55 years). The finding has confirmation with Naik (2005) and Shivanand (2007). The considerable proportions of the respondents (28.33%) were educated middle up to 8^{th} class, whereas 22.5 per cent were upto 12^{th} class, 18.33 per cent were up to 5^{th} class, 15.84 per cent were up to 10^{th} class, 9.16 per cent were undergraduate and above and only 5.84 per cent were illiterate. Majority (46.66%) of the sugarcane growers were operating in large land holding (above 10 acres) and followed by 25.83 per cent were medium land holding (5.01-10 acres), 23.33 per cent were small land holding (2.51-5.00 acres) and only 4.18 per cent were marginal land holding (up to 2.5 acres). Our finding confirm with the results of Kanavi (2000). Majority of the respondents (65.00%) had medium level of farming experiences, supported by the findings of Marradi (2006). Majority of the respondents (52.5%) had middle level of annual income (₹ 2.01-5.00 lakh) followed by 34.16 per cent low income ($\langle \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{2} | \mathbf{a} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{h} \rangle$) and 13.34 per cent high annual income (> ₹ 5.01 lakh). About (91.00%) of the improved sugarcane cultivation practices (ISCP) respondents had joint family and only 9.00 per cent of the respondents had nuclear family. Regarding extension participation, majority (60.84%) of the respondents had medium level of extension participation. In case of scientific orientation, majority of the respondents (67.5%) had medium level of scientific orientation and followed by 17.50 per cent had high level and 15.00 per cent had low level of scientific orientation. This finding was supported by the work of Nagaraja (2002). Majority of the respondent (90.00%) did not participate in training and only 10.00 per cent had participated training. The findings were supported by the results of Naik (2005).

Table 1: Distribution of the respondents on the basis of their profile

| | prome | | | n=120 |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------|-------|
| Variables | Sugarcane gr Frequency | owers Percentage | Mean | S.D |
| Age | | | 46.13 | 12.64 |
| Young (<35 years) | 31 | 25.83 | | |
| Middle (36-55 years) | 61 | 50.83 | | |
| Old (>56 years) | 28 | 23.83 | | |
| Education | | | 8.6 | 3.85 |
| Illiterate level | 7 | 5.84 | | |
| Primary level | 22 | 18.33 | | |
| Middle level | 34 | 28.33 | | |
| High school level | 19 | 15.84 | | |
| Higher secondary | 27 | 22.5 | | |
| level College level | 11 | 9.16 | | |
| | | | | |
| Land holding | _ | | 14.4 | 12.54 |
| Marginal land | 5 | 4.18 | | |
| holding (up to 2.50 | | | | |
| acres) | | | | |
| Small land holding | 28 | 23.33 | | |
| (2.51 to 5 acres) | | | | |
| Medium land | 31 | 25.83 | | |
| holding (5.01 to 10 | | | | |
| acres) | | | | |
| Large land holding | 56 | 46.66 | | |
| (above 10 acres) | | | | |
| Farming experience | | | 21.52 | 10.82 |
| Less | 27 | 22.5 | | |
| Medium | 78 | 65.00 | | |
| High | 15 | 12.5 | | |
| Annual income (₹) | | | 3.63 | 2.21 |
| Low (Up to 2 lakh) | 41 | 34.16 | | |
| Medium (2.01 to 5 | 63 | 52.5 | | |
| lakh) | | | | |
| High (Above 5.01 | 16 | 13.34 | | |
| lakh) | 10 | 10.01 | | |
| Extension participation | | | 5.20 | 3.53 |
| Less | 21 | 17.5 | | |
| Medium | 73 | 60.84 | | |
| High | 26 | 21.66 | | |
| Scientific orientation | | | 10.42 | 1.05 |
| Less | 18 | 15.00 | | |
| Medium | 81 | 67.5 | | |
| High | 21 | 17.5 | | |
| 5 | | | | |

Technology-wise extent of knowledge

Knowledge level about improved sugarcane cultivation practices (ISCP) was studied in terms of 18 recommended practices. The data collected were analysed and are presented in Table 2. Respondents were categorized into three levels of knowledge, *i.e.* full (score 3), partial (2 score) and nil adoption (0 score).

Overall extent of knowledge level of improved sugarcane cultivation practices (ISCP)

The extent of knowledge in respect of improved sugarcane production technologies was studied by adding individual scores received on different practices, on the basis of the total score, they were categorized and the results have been presented in Table 3. The data showed that majority of the respondents (76.66%) had medium knowledge of ISP. A negligible percentage of the respondents *i.e.* 15.84 per cent and 7.50 per cent had low and high knowledge, respectively. The results indicated a need for greater extension effort to provide know-how of the improved sugarcane production technologies to the respondents, so that their knowledge is enhanced. Moreover, it was observed that farmers having more economic resource and extension agents contact were able to adopt more production technologies than others.

| | | | | | | n=120 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-------------|-------|
| Particulars | Level of knowledge | | | | | |
| | Full Freq. | % | Partial Freq | % | No Freq. | % |
| Field selection | 112 | 93.33 | 08 | 6.67 | 0 | 0 |
| Field preparation | 97 | 80.83 | 23 | 19.17 | 0 | 0 |
| Soil testing | 14 | 11.66 | 10 | 8.33 | 96 | 80.00 |
| Improved varieties | 21 | 17.50 | 86 | 71.66 | 15.00 | 12.5 |
| Seed selection | 44 | 36.66 | 70 | 58.33 | 6 | 5.00 |
| Seed treatment | 13 | 10.84 | 23 | 19.16 | 84 | 70.00 |
| Seed rate | 74 | 61.67 | 46 | 38.33 | 0 | 0 |
| Spacing | 70 | 58.33 | 50 | 41.66 | 0 | 0 |
| Fertilizer application | 12 | 10.00 | 101 | 84.16 | 7 | 5.83 |
| Organic manure application | 32 | 26.66 | 84 | 70.00 | 4 | 3.34 |
| Bio-fertilizer application | 5 | 4.17 | 20 | 16.66 | 95 | 79.16 |
| Green manure application | 5 | 4.17 | 13 | 10.83 | 102 | 85.00 |
| Irrigation management | 39 | 32.50 | 80 | 76.66 | 1 | 0.8 |
| Weed management | 10 | 8.33 | 11 0 | 91.66 | 10 | 8.33 |
| Earthing up | 42 | 35.00 | 78 | 65.00 | | |
| Disease management | 10 | 8.33 | 53 | 44.16 | 57 | 47.50 |
| Integrated pest management | 15 | 12.50 | 90 | 75.00 | 15 | 12.50 |
| Ratoon management | 26 | 21.66 | 86 | 71.66 | 8 | 6.6 |

 Table 2: Knowledge level of sugarcane growers according to improved sugarcane cultivation practices

Table 3: Distribution of sugarcane growers accordingto their knowledge level

| Categories | Frequency | Percentage |
|------------|-----------|------------|
| Low | 9 | 7.50 |
| Medium | 92 | 76.66 |
| High | 19 | 15.84 |
| Total | 120 | 100 |
| | | |

CONCLUSION

Thus it could be concluded that majority of the growers having medium level of knowledge which gives a signal to all development agencies as well as KVKs to make suitable strategy for enhancing knowledge of the sugarcane growers for better understanding and acceptability of the sugarcane technology. This higher adoption of the improved technology would translate into high yield and income of the sugarcane growers in the district. This study gives an indication to the planner and policy-makers for a serious attention on the knowledge component of the farmers along with the technology transfer and input supply.

REFERENCES

DAC, New Dehli 2011-12. Directorate of Economics and statistics, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, Government of India, New Delhi

Kanavi, V. P. (2000). A study on the knowledge and adoption behaviour of sugarcane growers in Belgaum district of Karnataka. *M. Sc. (Agriculture)* thesis, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh

Karthikeyan, C., Chandrakandan, K. and Parvathi, S. 1996. A study of factors influencing Adoption behaviour of sugarcane growers in Pondichery Cooperative Sugar Mills Ltd., (PCSM) Pondicherry. *Maharashtra Jaurnal of Extnension Education*, 15:131-134.

Maraddi, G. N. 2006. A analysis of sustainable cultivation practices followed by sugarcane growers in Karnataka. *Ph.D Thesis*, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad (India).

Naik, R.D. 2005. A study on knowledge and adoption pattern on improved sugarcane practices in Bidar district of Karnataka state, *M.Sc.(Agriculture) Thesis*, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad (India).

Palaniswamy, A. and Sriram, N. 2001. Modernization characteristics of sugarcane growers. *Jaurnal of Extnension Education*, 11(4): 2906-2915.

Rogers, E.M. and Shoemaker, F.F. 1962. Communication of innovations, Edn., 2, the free press. A division of Macmillan publishing Co., INC., New Delhi

Shivanand, P. 2007. Human resource development activities initiated by Nandi sugar factory Bijapur district of Karnataka state, *M.Sc. (Agri) Thesis*, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad (India).