

**Indian Journal of Extension Education** 

Vol. 57, No. 3 (July-Septemper), 2021, (45-47)

ISSN 0537-1996 (Print) ISSN 2454-552X (Online)

# Constraints Perceived by the Vegetable Growers towards Excessive Use of Chemicals in Bankura District of West Bengal

Arnab Kumar Paine<sup>1</sup>, Anindita Saha<sup>1</sup>, Pravin Kumar Tiwari<sup>3\*</sup>, Digvijay Singh Dhakre<sup>2</sup> and Ravi Kumar Gupta<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural Extension, <sup>2</sup>Department of Agricultural Statistics, Palli Siksha Bhavana (Institute of Agriculture), Visva-Bharati University, Sriniketan-731236, West Bengal

<sup>3</sup>Department of Agricultural Extension, College of Horticulture and Research Station (IGKV), Jagadalpur, Baster-494001, Chhattisgarh \*Corresponding author email id: tewaripk73@gmail.com

### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Constraints, Vegetable Production, Vegetable Growers, Chemicals, and Principal Component Analysis http://doi.org/10.48165/IJEE.2021.57311 The study was conducted during 2018 to know the constraints perceived by the vegetable growers towards excessive use of chemicals in the Bankura district of West Bengal. This study was followed ex-post and expletory research design with considering 27 constraints, which data was suitably analyses with the help of principal component analysis. The results of these 27 constraints were divided into six components on basis Eigen rules. The six components had greater than one Eigen value 6.265, 2.59, 2.292, 1.421, 1.216 and 1.086 respectively. The first component having Eigenvalue of 6.265 was labeled as Protection Knowledge. Similarly other sequentially components were labeled as Lack of Knowledge, Bio - control, Scarcity, Unavailability and Training respectively. The particular emphasis in this study was therefore on identifying the problems faced by vegetable growers in the

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Through the various five year plans beginning in 1951, India has made remarkable progress. As a result, the country's social and economic scenario has significantly changed. Production from agriculture has increased (Bharati et al., 2014). The Indian pesticide market is the world's twelfth largest and ranks first in Asia. India produces 90,000 metric tons of pesticides in a year with more than 400 million acres under cultivation and over 60 per cent of the country's population depends on agriculture, while the country's economy depends primarily on agriculture (Jana and Basu, 2014). Pesticides are organic compounds or mixtures of substances of different chemical and biological character (Gondkar et al., 2016). Pesticides are certainly poisonous and their arbitrary use and abuse can lead to ecological dissimilarity.

Despite this, vegetable production is low because the vegetable growers did not follow the enhanced package of plant protection measures practices (Suman, 2014). India's potential crop yield of 30 percent is affected by insect pests, diseases, weeds, and rodents attack. Pesticides play a critical role in protecting crops from preand post- damage which helps to increase crop yields (Kundu and Wale, 2013). Pest toxicity was shown to be dangerous and toxic to pesticides well in our food supply chains (Verma et al., 2013). More food needs to be produced to feed the burgeoning population and this has to be achieved without reducing the resource base (Singh et al., 2017). Human beings have an inseparable link with plants that play a crucial role in preserving the eco-system and environmental balance, as well as in controlling contamination of the atmosphere and purifying the air (Patel and Chouhan, 2017). Yet vulnerability to various pests and diseases has become essential constraints for the productive growth of these crops, resulting in complete harm to the crops in many cases. The rodents, insects, fungi, and nematodes have caused tremendous crop losses of 20-30 per cent in the nation (Gupta et al., 2010). Plant protection is central to all measures to increase productivity (Bhandari, 2019). Sustainable farming must maintain the basic natural environment at least resources such as healthy soil, clean water, clean air, and viable rural communities (Sunder, 2006). For more than ten years, about

Received 02-06-2021; Accepted 16-06-2021

Copyright@ Indian Journal of Extension Education (http://www.iseeindia.org.in/)

# ABSTRACT

application of pesticides to vegetable crops during the use of a chemical in the study area.

80 percent of vegetable farmers have been using pesticides to argue that pesticides are used to improve agricultural productivity and protect public health (Sushma, 2015). The present study was undertaken to find out the constraints of vegetable growers in the adoption of eco-friendly technology in vegetable cultivation.

## METHODOLOGY

The study was concerned with identifying constraints perceived by the vegetable growers towards excessive use of chemicals with confined to ex-post-facto and exploratory researcher design. West Bengal state was selected and purposive sampling method was used during 2018. A purposive sampling procedure was also followed for the selection of districts, block, and villages. Four villages namely- Maklara, Dakai, Bansi and Menjua were selected from two blocks namely Khatra and Indpur. The vegetable growers from the selected villages were drawn randomly with the Sample size of 120. The data were collected through the Semi structured schedule were coded, tabulated, analyses, and presented in tables to make the findings meaningful and understandable. The Twenty tree constraints statements were included in this study based on response of vegetable growers with three responses classified as "Very Serious," "Serious," and "Less Serious" The assigned scores were 3, 2, and 1 respectively. The data were analyzed with the help of descriptive statistics as these are short descriptive coefficients that can sum up a series of data, either to represent the entire population or to represent a subset of the population. Divided into central pattern and measurement of variability (spread). Central trend metrics include mean number, while variance statistics include standard deviation. Later on, Principal Component Analysis was applied with the help of Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 16.0 software. PCA is a multivariate technique that analyses data in which observations were described by several inter-correlated quantitative constraints of vegetable farmers in the study area.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 delineates the result (BTS at 1.1860 and the level of significance at P = 0.000) and indicated that the data were appropriate for principal component analysis. The result of the KMO measure of sampling adequacy was 0.751 which indicates that there were sufficient items for each factor. The two tests support the appropriateness of the principal component analysis technique.

Table 2 indicates that the six components had greater than one eigenvalues. Only factors that had eigenvalues greater than one were retained under the rules of the principal component analysis. The first component had an Eigenvalue of 6.265, with a percentage of the variance of 27.239, and a percentage of cumulative of 27.239. The component was made up of the six items. The items in this component were plant protection equipment's are costly (0.776)

 Table 1. KMO and Bartlett's test for constraints perceived by the vegetable growers towards excessive use of chemicals

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy (KMO)		
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Approx. Chi-Square	1.1860
	df	253
	Sig.	0.000

with the highest factor loading, other item include lack of availability of equipment's (0.748), lack of literacy (0.733), labels on pesticide containers are hard to understand (0.716), lack of awareness about side effects of pesticides (0.617) and personal protective clothing are uncomfortable (0.550). The component one was labeled Protection Knowledge. The protection knowledge component was largely an important for vegetable growers because its sustaining from different kind of losses. The second component had an Eigenvalue of 2.59, a percentage of the variance of 11.261, and a percentage of cumulative of 38.5. There were seven items in the component. Items covered by this component included lack of knowledge about INM (0.805), lack of knowledge about the advance effect of chemical and pesticides (0.745), lack of awareness about environment pollution (0.696), lack of knowledge about the advance effect of chemical and pesticides. (0.664), lack of knowledge about IWM (0.651), lack of technical knowledge about usage of bio-control agents (0.589), and lack of skill to adopt eco-friendly technology (0.502). The component was labeled Lack of Knowledge. Due to a lack of knowledge in the use of chemicals scientifically the vegetable growers are very reluctant to use chemicals and producing of vegetables because of its creating adverse effect. Therefore, they need to get scientific knowledge of chemical use practices.

The third component has an eigenvalue of 2.292, a percentage of the variance of 9.967, and a percentage of cumulative of 48.46. The component is made up of items from the three. The items in this component were sporadic uses of bio-control agents (0.735), non-availability of IPM tools (0.734), and quality aspect of biocontrol is not assured (0.698). The component was labeled Biocontrol. Vegetable growers have to face a lot of difficulties to use of biocontrol measurement in the study area. The fourth component has an Eigenvalue of 1.421, a percentage of the variance of 6.179, and a percentage of cumulative of 54.64. The component is made up of items from the four. The items in this component were Lack of government support (0.432), shortage of labour (0.782), high labour cost for alternative protection measures (0.726), and Scarcity of FYM and compost (0.648). The component was labeled Scarcity. Vegetable growers are not getting much profit from vegetable farming and faced a problem because of lack of government support, Shortage of labour, and unavailability of FYM and compost.

The fifth component has an eigenvalue of 1.216, a percentage of the variance of 5.288, and a percentage of cumulative of 59.93. The component was made up of two items. The items in this component were the unavailability of raw material (0.693) and the non-availability of credit facility in time. The component was labeled Unavailability. Vegetable growers have to face a lot of difficulty because of the Unavailability of raw material and credits facilities in the study area. The sixth components have an eigenvalue of 1.086, a percentage of the variance of 4.723 and a percentage of cumulative of 64.65. The component was made up of one item only i.e. lack of technical training/education for the right application of equipment's (0.832). The component was labeled as Training. Vegetable growers also facing difficult problems related to the right application of equipment because they do not have proper knowledge and awareness about Training of the use of chemicals in the study area.

Table 2. Principal component factor analysis (varimax rotation), for constraints perceived by the vegetable growers towards excessive use of chemicals

Rotated Component Matrix a									
Constraints	Component						Commu-		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	nalities		
Plant protection equipment are costly (C5)	0.776						0.687		
Lack of availability of equipment's (C1)	0.748						0.599		
Lack of literacy (C2)	0.733						0.706		
Labels on pesticide containers are hard to understand (C8)	0.716						0.724		
Lack of awareness about the side effects of pesticides (C4)	0.617						0.696		
Personal protective clothing is uncomfortable (C3)	0.550						0.482		
Lack of knowledge about INMC (10)		0.805					0.679		
Lack of knowledge about the advanced effect of chemicals and pesticides (C12)		0.745					0.660		
Lack of awareness about environment pollution (C13)		0.696					0.623		
Lack of knowledge about the advance effect of chemical and pesticides (C11)		0.664					0.563		
Lack of knowledge about IWM (C14)		0.651					0.703		
Lack of technical knowledge about usage of bio-control agents (C23)		0.589					0.599		
Lack of skill to adopt eco-friendly technology (C22)		0.502					0.711		
Sporadic uses of bio-control agents (C20)			0.735				0.584		
Non-availability of IPM tools (C18)			0.734				0.682		
The quality aspect of biocontrol is not assured (C16)			0.698				0.533		
Lack of government support (C17)				0.432			0.483		
Shortage of labour (C21)				0.782			0.737		
High labour cost for alternative protection measures (C6)				0.726			0.606		
Scarcity of FYM and compost (C15)				0.648			0.625		
Unavailability of raw material (C9)					0.693		0.639		
Non-availability of credit facility in time (C19)					0.591		0.774		
Lack of technical training/ education for right application of equipment's (C7)						0.832	0.777		
Eigenvalues	6.265	2.59	2.292	1.421	1.216	1.086			
Percentage of variance	27.239	11.261	9.967	6.179	5.288	4.723			
Percentage of Cumulative	27.239	38.5	48.46	54.64	59.93	64.65			

## CONCLUSION

The study concluded that twenty three constraints was divided into the six components on the based of greater than one Eigenvalue, which was renamed as Protection Knowledge, Lack of Knowledge, Bio - control, Scarcity, Unavailability and Training respectively as per the characteristics and similarity of constraints. Its shows the six components are more capable to cause the problem in vegetable cultivation in area of study. These six components expressing the essential need to be focus to solving the problems of vegetable growers and its essential to give attention on providing the appropriate technology, skill training and knowledge that can reduce the cause of excessive use of chemicals, and increase the sustained livelihood of vegetable growers. This research will be extremely useful to policymakers and suitable policies can be established to encourage vegetable growers, from subsistence level to intensive level so that income from Vegetable farming in the study area will increase.

## REFERENCES

- Allison, P.D. (2001). Missing data (Vol. 136). Sage publications.
- Bhandari, L.R. (2019). Increasing trend of pesticides' use in vegetable farming and its impact on human health. Nepal Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 2(3), 50-67.
- Bharati, L., Gurung, P., Jayakody, P., Smakhtin, V. & Bhattarai, U. (2014). The projected impact of climate change on water availability and development in the Koshi Basin, Nepal. *Mountain Research and Development*, 34(2), 118-130.
- Gondkar, S., Chaudhari, P., Joshi, V. & Hudda, R.S. (2016). Critical analysis of information sources and channels preferred by

vegetable growers of Haryana. Indian Journal of Extension Education, 52(1&2), 114-116.

- Gupta, H.C.L., Siddiqui, A.U. & Parihar, A. (2010). Bio pest management. Agrotech Pub. Academy.
- Jana, H., Kole, R.K. & Basu, D. (2014). Problems faced by vegetable growers in using pesticides. *Gujarat Journal of Extension Education*, 5(7), 130-135.
- Kundu, R. & Wale, S. (2013). Role of pesticides and their management. *Agrobios Newsletter*, *11*(8), 91-93.
- Leech, N.L., Barrett, K.C. & Morgan, G.A. (2005). SPSS for intermediate statistics: Use and interpretation. Psychology Press.
- Patel, N. & Chouhan, S. (2017). Assessing differential knowledge and attitude level apropos eco-friendly practices (EFP) among the vegetable growers. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 53(1), 124-127.
- Shrestha, P., Koirala, P. & Tamrakar, A.S. (2010). Knowledge, practice, and use of pesticides among commercial vegetable growers of Dhading district, Nepal. *Journal of Agriculture and Environment*, 11, 95-100.
- Singh, N., Verma, A.K., Jeengar, K.L., Seth, P., Meena, R.R. & Meena, B.L. (2017). Economic empowerment of farmers through adoption of vegetable cultivation technology in Hadoti region of Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 53(4), 90-94.
- Suman, R.S. (2014). An attitude of farmers towards sustainability of vegetable cultivation. *Journal of Agri Search*, 1(1), 55-62.
- Sunder, S. (2006): Neem, J.V. Publishing House; Jodhpur; p-7.
- Sushma, D., Dipesh, R., Lekhendra, T. & Ram, S.S. (2015). A review of the status of pesticide use in Nepal. Research Journal of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences, 5(6), 2320, 6063.
- Verma, N., Dubey, M. & Gangwar, S. (2013). Organic farming for sustainable agriculture. Agrobios Newsletter, 11(9), 31-32.