the Indian Journal of Animal Reproduction; 27 (2): 25-29; Dec. 2006

# Control of reproductive cycle in goats with Chronogest impregnated intra-vaginal sponges

# A.P. PARMAR\*, N.P. SARVAIYA, A.V. PATEL AND Y.G. DUGWEKAR

Reproductive Biology Research Unit, Anand Agricultural University, Anand-388 110, Gujarat

> Received : December 28, 2004 Accepted : September 9, 2006

### ABSTRACT

Treatment of goats with Chronogest intra-vaginal sponges in group I during non-breeding season for a period of 18 days resulted in the exhibition of estrus in all the six treated goats with the onset of estrus within  $32.38 \pm 0.93$  hours after the withdrawal of sponges and the duration of estrus was  $48.00 \pm 1.15$  hours. Out of six goats, two became pregnant, whereas in the control group none of the goats exhibited estrus. In the treatment group all the goats had high progesterone levels during luteal phase that reduced to less than 1.0 ng/ml during estrus followed by rise on day 10 post-breeding, which persisted till day 21 only in two goats that became pregnant. Serum estradiol-17 $\beta$  levels showed significant rise (26.10 ± 1.30 pg/ml) at estrus only in animals that subsequently became pregnant where as in the rest of the animals estradiol-17 $\beta$  level remain around 12.50 ±8.11 pg/ml or lower throughout the experimental period. In group II, Twelve goats were treated with Chronogest intra-vaginal sponges for 18 days followed by 500 IU PMSG on the day of sponge withdrawal. Six of these received 2.5 ml GnRH and other six received 750 IU of hCG on the day of breeding. Six animals served as control. Two out of five GnRH treated animals and three out of six hCG treated animals became pregnant. In group III, treatment of goats with chronogest intra-vaginal sponges during breeding season for a period of 18 days resulted in the synchronization of estrus in all the six treated goats. Four out of six treated goats became pregnant. All the six goats in control groups exhibited estrus at different time during experimental period.

Key words: Chronogest, reproduction, goats

Estrus control measures are likely to be of good actical interest as the means of facilitating the blication of artificial insemination in goats. Due to the asonality of reproduction in dairy goats, considerable ifficulty may be experienced in goat dairies in hintaining an adequate volume of milk supply to the tablished markets during the winter months. Such isiderations have been responsible for interest in the duction of estrus in does outside the normal breeding **hason**. It is now well established that various forms of monal treatment or light manipulation can be effective Educing such seasonal effects on reproduction in pats. The effectiveness of such measures in practice ikely to vary according to age, breed and a variety of vironmental factors (Gordon, 1997). The present dies were therefore designed to evolve a labor ensive and economic method for breeding of goats

responding author

round the year with an acceptable conception rate.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty-four healthy adult female goats were selected as experimental animals and eighteen animals served as control during breeding and non-breeding seasons. The experimental animals were grouped as Group I (breeding season) and Group II (non-breeding season). Group II was further divided into control and three treatment groups (Table 1). Chronogest sponges were inserted into the vagina and kept in situ for 18 days On day of the sponge withdrawal (Day-18) 500 IU PMSG was administered intramuscularly in animals during non-breeding season. All the animals were observed for estrus twice daily by teaser buck and those in estrus were bred. During non-breeding season, prior to service, either 750 IU hCG (n=6) or 2.5 ml GnRH (n=6) were injected intramuscularly. The blood samples were collected from these animals on day 0, 1, 10 and

Indian J. Anim. Reprod., 27(2), Dec. 2006

tation

res of

Hill

18 after sponge insertion, day of estrus, day 10 postestrus and day 21 post-estrus and analyzed for serum progesterone, estradiol. Serum progesterone was analyzed by solid phase Radio Immuno Assay (RIA) as per Kubasic *et al.* (1984) using Commercial RIA kits (D.C.P. Los Angeles, USA). Serum estradiol-17  $\beta$  was estimated by double antibody radio immuno assay as per Robertson (1979), using commercial kit (D.C.P. Los Angeles, USA)

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The estrus response, pregnancies and the kids born in the treated goats with chronogest intra-vaginal sponges during breeding and non-breeding seasons are shown in Table 1.

It was observed that the estrus appeared within  $24.14 \pm 0.05$  to  $32.38 \pm 0.93$  hours after the treatment irrespective of the season. Further the expression of estrus was also almost 100 per cent in both, breeding as well as non-breeding seasons. The duration of estrus was about 48 hours, irrespective of the season. These observations indicate that the induction of estrus *per se* is not influenced by the season when the animals are treated with progestogen for a period of 18 days. Similar results were also reported by Corteel (1975); Blichfeldt (1985); Greyling *et al.*(1985); Kiessling *et al.*(1986); Forcanda *et al.*(1990); Goel and Agrawal (1990) and Selvaraju *et al.*(1997).

The most important observation of these studies was that the conception rates which varied significantly within the treatment as well as season. During the nonbreeding season, treatment of goats with chronogest intra-vaginal sponges for 18 days and 500 IU of PMSG administered at the time of withdrawal of sponges resulted in 33.33 per cent conception rate when, under similar conditions GnRH administration at the time of breeding, slightly increased to 40.00 percent. However, under the same treatment regimen when 750 IU hCG was administered on the day of breeding, the conception rate increased to 50 percent.

It was interesting to note that during the breeding season when the goats were treated with chronogest intra-vaginal sponges for 18 days the conception rate was 66.66 per cent, in spite of the fact that neither the PMSG was administered at the time of sponge withdr nor GnRH or hCG was administered at the time r breeding. These results suggest that the effect of breeding season on the conception rate is so domine during breeding season that the administration of PM GnRH or hCG after the sponge withdrawal or at A during non-breeding season could not compensate for the conception rate during breeding season. In a similar study on subcutaneous ear implant during non-bree season in buffalo Pant et al. (2002) reported that the conception rate at the induced estrus was only 8,39 They attributed low conception rate might be due to nutritional as well as environmental stress. Howe supplementing the diet with urea-molasses mineral block (UMMB), although, significantly improved concept rate to 13.3%, but still remained much lower than expected during the breeding season. This different could only be attributed to the environmental effect. In the present studies the goats were maintained under the standard feeding and managemental practices through the year and hence the difference in the conception obtained between the breeding and non-breeding season could only be attributed to the seasonal difference Similar results were also reported by Sivraj (1992); Rosnina et al. (1992) and Pierson et al. (2001).

The serum progesterone and estradiol levels in the treated goats with chronogest intra-vaginal sponges during breeding and non-breeding seasons are shown in table 2 and 3, respectively.

The serum progesterone levels in the untreated treated pregnant and treated non-pregnant animals during

Table 1: Effect of treatment on estrous response, pregnancy kids born during breeding and non-breeding season.

Season	Treatment	Responded to estrus	Pregnant	Kids bom
	Control	0/12	0/12	
	Chronogest + PMSG	6/6	2/6	3
Non-breeding Season	Chronogest + PMSG +GnRH	6/6	2/6	3
	Chronogest + PMSG + HCG	6/6 .	3/6	4
Breeding Season	Control	6/6	Not Bred	•
	Chronogest	6/6	4/6	5

season

Breedir

Se

Non-br

eason

non-bro of treat 1.0 ng/1 period the effe withdra evels, v Wherea ubsequ nimals reedir rogest timula ubsequ herea: ontinu

Indian J. Anim. Reprod., 27(2), Dec. 2006

26

## Control of reproductive cycle in goats

Table 2: Serum progesterone	levels (ng/ml) in chronogest	t intra-vaginal sponge treated goats.

Season	Transforment		PD	Days						
Season	Treatment	n	PD	0	1	10	18	Estrus	10	21
				1.11	1.56	0.65	1.12	1.77	0.94	1.03
	Control	12	-	± 0.18	±	±	±	±	±	±
					0.15	0.28	0.32	0.32	0.35	0.42
			-Ve	5.35	12.55	4.8	14.64	0.9	2.77	3.1
			(n=4)	±	±	±	±	± -	±	±
	Chronogest +	6		1.89	9.16	1.17	8.68	0.3	1.48	1.4
	PMSG	0	+ Ve	1.8	2.7	7.5	8.85	0.2	6.6	19.
			(n=2)	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
				0.7	2.3	2.5	0.55	0	2.4	8.5
Non-breeding			-Ve	3.07	17.87	9.62	9.45	1.27	6.07	2.5
			(n=4)	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
season	Chronogest +	6		0.65	7.06	3.57	3.1	0.38	1.04	0.6
	PMSG + GnRH	0	+ Ve	1.3	7.25	17.5	20.2	1.7	14.5	23
			(n=2)	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
				0.2	4.76	13.54	10.83	0.4	4.01	7.0
			-Ve	4.63	14.16	9.88	11.33	0.83	7.5	3.3
			(n=3)	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	Chronogest +	6		3.19	7.99	6.36	4.33	0.33	3.33	1.3
	PMSG + HCG	0	+ Ve	2.36	20.5	15.83	19.66	1,13	8.76	28.3
			(n=3)	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
				0.41	5.8	6.82	7.97	0.26	2.37	1.6
				1.7	2.51	5.06	7	2.68	3.56	3.1
	Control	6	· ·	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
				0.31	0.49	1.34	1.38	0.48	1.41	0.7
Breeding			-Ve	3.9	6.15	6.7	4.7	1.95	13	3.2
			(n=2)	±	±	±	±	±	±	,±
season	Changement	6		0.1	2.35	5.31	0.7	0.65	3	0.2
	Chronogest	6	+ Ve.	4.5	9.05	4.55	6.22	1.57	14.37	15.7
			(n=4)	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
				0.83	. 0.93	1.57	0.91	0.31	3.35	3.4

evels spong hown in

her Idraw

treated, s during

ancy and ISON. Kids

3 3 4

5

1

pn-breeding season revealed that before the initiation of treatment, the levels of progesterone were less than 1.0 ng/ml and continued to be lower in the post-treatment period in the untreated animals. In the treated animals the effect of PMSG administered at the time of sponge withdrawal was reflected in a rise in the progesterone wels, which continued to be high in the pregnant animals. Thereas, in non-pregnant animals progesterone levels bsequently reduced to less than 1.0 ng/ml. In those mimals which received GnRH or hCG at the time of beeding, showed significant increase in the serum ogesterone concentration as a result of GnRH/hCG mulation. These higher values however, reduced bsequently in the animals that did not conceive, hereas in the pregnant animals the progesterone levels Intinued to remain high as expected in normal

#### pregnancy.

During the breeding season the initial progesterone levels at the start of experiment itself were around 2.0 ng/ml which increased gradually after the estrus and then continued to remain high in pregnant animals. In non-pregnant animals the progesterone levels increased initially but than subsequently reduced to the basal level. The untreated control animals did not show a rise in the progesterone levels.

The comparison of progesterone levels during breeding and non-breeding season indicated that the overall profiles showed higher levels of serum progesterone during breeding season as compared to the non-breeding season in spite of the progestogen or gonadotrophin treatment. The progesterone levels were

Indian J. Anim. Reprod., 27(2), Dec. 2006

Season	Treatment	n	PD	Days						
				0	1	10	18	Estrus	10	21
Cont				8.66	10.56	10.6	7.93	8.8	13.46	10.72
	Control	12	-	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
				1.51	2.3	2.45	1.25	2.45	4.2	1.59
			-Ve	5	8	12.2	7.1	12.5	7.4	14.8
			(n=4)	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	Chronogest +	6		1.4	3.03	4.15	2.76	8.11	2.82	3.52
	PMSG	v	+Ve	7.9	8.2	8	4.6	26.1	3.6	3.4
			(n=2)	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
				0.3	6.21	3.2	4.21	1.3	3.2	2.6
			-Ve	11.37	35.75	16.55	17.47	118.75	15.25	19.75
			(n=4)	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	Chronogest + PMSG +GnRH	6		5.57	6.23	6.33	4.55	23.03	4.47.	13.44
			+ Ve	12.5	20	22.5	29	105	26	58.75
			(n=2)	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
		_		7.52	15.04	12.33	1	15.04	14.04	1.25
		6	-Ve	22.33	15.66	33.5	28.66	116.66	. 33.33	29.66
			(n=3)	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
Chronogest + PMSG + HC	-			13.99	6.69	25.79	3.18	13.65	21.3	18.14
	PMSG + HCG		+Ve	20.66	43	24.16	31.66	145	61.33	70
				(n=3)	±	±	±	±	±	±
				11.47	17.97	17.95	1.66	8.67	30.64	15.01
	Contrrol			13.11	11.93	7.66	11.7	4.93	5.5	5.02
		6	· ·	±	±	±	±	±	. ±	±
				3.54	1.92	2.78	3.65	1.22	1.83	1.11
Breeding			-Ve	6.4	2	4	1.2	8.5	4	10.4
season		6	(n=2)	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	Chronogest			2	0.4	2.4	0.8	0.1	0	0
C		v	+ Ve	4.8	2.9	2.75	3.38	7.2	3.5	13
			(n=4)	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
				1.65	0.86	0.67	0.88	1.65	0.77	0.47

Table 3: Serum estradiol-17 ß levels (pg/ml) in chronogest intra-vaginal sponge treated goats

comparable with those reported by Armstrong et al.(1983a); Jain and Madan (1986); Bono et al.(1983); Mgongo et al.(1984); Tanaka et al.(1984); Jaiswal (1989); Reddy et al.(1989); Jain (1992); Kumar et al.(1992); Ryot et al.(1992) and Suresh Kumar and Jankiraman (1992).

The serum estradiol-17ß levels showed a rise after withdrawal of intra-vaginal sponge during the nonbreeding season as well as during breeding season. This could be because of stimulation of ovaries as a result of administration of PMSG at the time of sponge withdrawal as well as due to the progesterone withdrawal effect on the hypothalamo-hypophysio-ovarian axis. During the breeding season also, the estradiol-17ß levels showed significant rise after the withdrawal of the intravaginal sponge. Since no PMSG was administered at the sponge withdrawal in these animals the rise in estradiol-17ß levels could be attributed to the progesterone withdrawal effect. The estradiol-17ß levels reported in these study were comparable to those reported by Bono et al. (1983); Jain and Madan (1986); Meinecke-tillmann et al. (1986); Jaiswal (1989); Kumar et al.(1992) and Baru (1997).

In view of regulating the reproductive activity and the supply of the meat round the year, the findings of present study suggest that the conception rate could be improved during the non-breeding season with the hormonal treatment. However, the preferential effect of season would continue to reflect in the conception rate.

rong, M.N goat FSH P. (19 emb Agri eldt, Vete Anii J., Cai estr Rep 1983 I, J.M cycl Bioc da, M of e sync de ze 58: 6 K.a colle n, I.( conti CAB 388. Beyling, J.F Sync intra J.An 1985 hin, G.C. (1 гергс Рге-с vol.1 hin, G.C. an chan and p India uswal. R.S. relati genita thesis Messling, A

Supe

Indian J. Anim. Reprod., 27(2), Dec. 2006

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

1

59

.8

52 4 .6 .75

F

.44

Ł

25

.66

14

0

Ł

.01

02

Ŧ

).4

±

3

±

47

ed at

ŧ٠

The authors are thankful to Capt. Dr. Ravi avahare and the Intervet International, Hyderabad for merous gift of chronogest sponges for the study.

#### REFERENCES

- Instrong, D.T., Pfitzner, A.P., Porter, K.J., Warnes, G.M., Ralph, M.M. and Seamark, R.F. (1983a). Endocrine responses of goats after induction of superovulation with PMSG and FSH. J.Reprod.Fert., 67: 395-401.
- Bru, P. (1997). Biological and endocrinological studies during embryo transfer in goats. Ph.D. thesis submitted to Gujarat Agricultural University, Anand.
- Chiefeldt, T. (1985). Oestrus synchronization in goats. Norsk Veterinartidsskuft, 97:405-406. (Cited from Anim.Breed.Abstra. 1985, 53: 7100).
- Bono, J., Cairoli, F., Tamanini, C. and Abrate, L. (1983). Progesterone estrogen, LH, FSH during estrous cycle in goats. Reprod.Nutr.Dev., 23: 217. (Cited from Anim.Breed.Abstr. 1983, 51: 5011).
- prtcel, J.M. (1975). The use of progestagen to control the estrous cycle of the dairy goats. Annales de Biologie animale Biochimie Biophysiqve, 15: 353-363.
- Incanda, M.F., Sierra, A.I. and Callenmora, A. (1990). A comparison of efficacy of different method of induction and synchronization of estrus in dairy goats in spring. Archies de zootechnia 38: 211-222. c.f. Anim.Breed. Abstr. 1990, 58: 6024.
- Goel, A.K. and Agrawal, K.P. (1990). Superovulation and embryo collection in Jamunapari goats. Theriogenology, 33: 232.
- Bordon, I.(1997). Controlled reproduction in sheep and goat, controlled reproduction in farm animals series, Volume 2. CAB International, Wallingford, Oxon Ox 10 8DE, UK. pp 388.
- se in beyling, J.P.C., Niekerk, C.H. Van and Grobbelar, J.A.N. (1985). ) the Synchronization of estrus in Boer goat : the response to levels intra-vaginal progesterone and PMSG. S.African J.Anim.Sci., 15: 52-55. (Cited from Anim.Breed.Abstr. 1985, 53: 7107).
- 986); in, G.C. (1992). Hormonal profiles during different phases of reproduction in goats. 5th International Conference on goat, Pre-conference proceedings abstracts of contributory papers vol. I, 2-8 March, 1992, New Delhi, pp 343.
- ty and G.C. and Madan, M.L. (1986). Superovulatory response and changes in hormonal profile associated with prostaglandin and pregnant mare serum gonadotropin administered in goat. Indian J.Anim.Sci., 56:17-19.
- h the swal, R.S. (1989). Endocrine and biochemical profile of blood in effect relation to biochemical and biometricle changes in tubular genital organ of Marwari goats during oestrous cycle. M.Sc. thesis submitted to Gujarat Agricultural University, Anand.
  - ssling, A., William, H.H. and Blankvoort, R.M. (1986). Superovulation and embryo transfer in dairy goats.

J.Anim.Vet.Med.Assoc., 188: 829-832.

- Kubasic, N.P.(1984). Evaluation of direct solid phase radioimmunoassay for progesterone. Clinical Chemistry, 30: 284-286. c.f. Manual of diagnostic product corporation, Los Angeles, U.S.A.
- Kumar, J., Osborn, J.C., Cameron, A.W.N. and Trounso, R.O. (1992). Follicular steroidogenesis and oocyte maturation after superovulation of goats with gonadotropin. J.Reprod.Fert., 95: 371-379.
- Meinecke-tillmann, S., Gips, H., Meinecke, B. and Finkenberg, A. (1986). Concentration of progesterone and estradiol-17β in peripheral plasma of nanny goat during oestrous cycle, gestation and purperium. Zuchthygiene, **21**: 207-213. (Cited from Anim.Breed.Abstr. 1987, **55**: 7002).
- Mgongo, F.O.K., Gambe, S.E. and Ogg, J.S. (1984). Progesterone, estrogen, LH and corticosteroid in plasma during the oestrous cycle in goats. Indian J.Anim. Reprod., 4: 1-5.
- Pant, H.C., Barot, L.R., Dugwekar, Y.G., Kasiraj, R. and Prabhakar, J.H. (2002). Hormonal induction of estrus in anestrus buffaloes. Indian J. Anim. Reprod., 23: 32-34.
- Pierson, J.T., Baldassarre, H., Keefer, C.L. and Downey, B.R. (2001). Seasonal variation in pre-ovulatory events associated with synchronization of estrus in dwarf goats. Theriogenology, 56: 759.
- Robertson, R.D. (1979). Assessment of ovulation by ultrasound and plasma estradiol determination. Obstet.Gynaecol., 54: 686-690.
- Rosnina, Y., Jainudeen, M.R. and Nihayah, M. (1992). Superovualtion and egg recovery in goats in the tropics. Vet.Rec., 130: 97-99.
- Ryot, K.D., Vadnere, S.V. and Lakhchaura, B.D. (1992). Plasma progesterone profile in superovulated and oestrous synchronized goats. Indian J. Anim. Reprod., 13: 28-29.
- Reddy, V.S., Rao, N. and Sadhnani, S. (1989). Plasma progesterone levels during estrus cycle and early pregnancy in deccani ewes. Indian J. Anim. Repod. 10: 89-93.
- Selvaraju, M., Kathiresan, D. and Pattabiraman, S.R. (1997). Effect of oestrus synchronization and methods of breeding on estrus duration in Tellicherry goats. Indian J. Anim. Reprod., 18: 15-17.
- Sivraj, S. (1992). Effect of PMSG, HCG and GnRH on Jarmasia goats at synchronized estrus. 5<sup>th</sup> International Conference on goat, Pre-conference proceedings abstracts of contributory papers vol.1, 2-8 March, 1992, New Delhi, pp 541.
- Sureshkumar, P.K. and Jankiraman, K. (1992). Change in concentration of serum progesterone, prolactin and LH in relation to pituitary LH during caprine oestrous cycle. J. Reprod. Dev., 38: 303-307.
- Tanaka, M., Taura, Y. and Takeuch, A. (1984). Relation between PGF concentration in the uterine branch of ovarian vain and peripheral progesterone concentration in Shiba goat during oestrous cycle. Jap. J. Anim. Reprod., 30: 93-97. (Cited from Anim. Breed. Abstr. 1987, 55: 983).

Indian J. Anim. Reprod., 27(2), Dec. 2006