

## Efficacy of PGF<sub>2</sub>α, CIDR and Ovsynch treatment on estrus induction and fertility in postpartum buffaloes – A field study

T. SATHIAMOORTHY<sup>1</sup>, R. PARTHASARATHY<sup>1</sup> AND M. KATHIRCHELVAN<sup>1</sup>

Department of Animal Reproduction, Gynaecology & Obstetrics,  
Madras Veterinary College, Chennai 600 007  
Email: [sathiaog@yahoo.co.in](mailto:sathiaog@yahoo.co.in)

### ABSTRACT

A total of forty five postpartum buffaloes were equally divided into group I, II and III. The group I animals were treated with PGF<sub>2</sub>α after palpation of corpus luteum. The group II animals were treated with CIDR and removed after 9 days. The group III animals were treated with GnRH analogue and 6 days later they were administered with PGF<sub>2</sub>α. A second injection of GnRH analogue was administered after 48 hours of PGF<sub>2</sub>α treatment. Percentage of induced estrus were 66.6%, 86.6% and 60.0% in group I, II and III, respectively. Mean onset of induced estrus were 54.4 ± 7.6, 42.2 ± 6.6 and 47.4 ± 8.4 in group I, II and III, respectively. First service conception rate was 50.0%, 46.1% and 66.6% in group I, II and III, respectively. From this it may be concluded that Ovsynch protocol is giving fertility rate upon fixed-time breeding in estrus synchronized buffaloes at field level.

**Key words:** Estrus induction, Fertility, Buffaloes

### INTRODUCTION

Artificial insemination(AI) made a significant contribution to genetic improvement in cattle has failed, to do likewise in buffaloes due to the relatively low expression of estrus behaviour, difficulty in predicting the time of ovulation and long postpartum intervals. Therefore, increased rates of estrus detection would ideally increase pregnancy rates. Synchronization of estrus has been helpful in solving of these problems and can remove the necessity of estrus detection thereby making it possible to provide AI in the predicted time. Ideally, protocols to synchronize estrus and ovulation in Buffaloes should be effective in both cyclic and non-cyclic animals and should yield high pregnancy rates to fixed-time AI (Neglia *et al.* 2003). The present investigation was carried out with the objective to evaluate the PGF<sub>2</sub>α, CIDR and Ovsynch treatments on estrus induction and fertility rate in buffaloes.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted in forty five postpartum buffaloes owned by the rural women located in various villages of the Tiruvarur District, Tamilnadu from March 2003 to March 2005. Forty five buffaloes after 60 days of postpartum were equally divided into three groups, viz Group I, II and III. The group I [(PGF<sub>2</sub>α) (n=15)] buffaloes were selected based on the rectal palpation of the corpus luteum(CL) in any one of the ovary and were treated with 25 mg of PGF<sub>2</sub>α (5 ml lulatoryse, Upjohn pharma) intramuscularly. The group II (CIDR) animals were selected on the basis of absence of palpable CL in any of the ovaries and they are treated with CIDR [(Controlled internal drug release device) (EAZI BREED CIDR TM, Inter Ag,

<sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor(s) Farmers at Training Centre, Tiruvarur, TANUVAS

Hamilton  
selected at  
days later  
administer  
palpation  
described  
day 0, 6  
progester  
count, Dis

S  
(86.6%)  
the result  
induced  
Among th  
might be  
the follic  
respond t

groups I  
treated a  
PGF<sub>2</sub>α a  
administ  
Pursley  
induced  
showed  
intense

injection  
animals

(46.1%)  
(Loc.cit

analogu  
protoco  
in group  
PGF<sub>2</sub>α

from th  
by GnR  
progest  
CIDR

Hamilton, New Zealand)], intravaginally and removed after 9 days. Group III (Ovsynch) animals were selected at random and treated with 8 µg of GnRH analogue (2.0 ml Receptal, Unichem), intramuscularly. Six days later, 25 mg of PGF<sub>2</sub>α administered intramuscularly. A second injection of GnRH analogue 8µg was administered after 48 hours of PGF<sub>2</sub>α treatment. All the treated cows were observed for estrus signs. Rectal palpation was done at every 12 hrs to confirm oestrus. The intensity of estrus was studied and scored as described by Rao and Rao (1981) with slight modifications. The blood samples were collected on day 0 and 3, day 0, 6 and 9 and day 0, 9 and 11 in PGF<sub>2</sub>α, Ovsynch and CIDR treated animals respectively. The progesterone concentration in the serum was estimated using progesterone Radio Immuno Assay Kit (Coat a count, Diagnostic products corporation, USA) employing solid phase Radio Immuno Assay Technique.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Statistical analysis (Table 1) revealed that group II animals had significantly higher percentage (86.6%) of induced estrus than group I (66.6%) and III (60%). The results of the present study concurred with the results of Shrivastava *et al.* (1997) in buffalo cows treated with CIDR for 9 days. Similarly, percentage of induced estrus obtained in group III (60%) is higher than the earlier report of 41.66% of Ravikumar (2003). Among the three groups, comparatively lower rate of estrus induction in Ovsynch treated animals (group III) might be due to the fact that the effect of GnRH in animals with inactive ovaries depended on the stage when the follicular wave was arrested. Cows with static ovaries bearing follicles smaller than 8.5 mm may not respond to GnRH (Wiltbank *et al.* 2002).

Statistically there was a significant difference in the mean time taken for the onset of estrus between groups I and II and I and III. But the reduced variability in the onset of estrus (24 to 72 hrs) in Ovsynch treated animals (Group III) was due to the presence of matured follicle in most of the buffaloes at the time of PGF<sub>2</sub>α administration that resulted in the synchronous onset of oestrus. This result substantiate the fact that administration of GnRH 6 days prior to PGF<sub>2</sub>α treatment improves the precision of estrus as reported by Pursley *et al.* (1995) in a similar study. Statistically there was no significant difference in the duration of induced estrus in all the groups as reported by Pawshe *et al.* (1991). Most buffaloes in the present study showed intermediate signs of estrus except those of CIDR treated (group II) in which most buffaloes showed intense estrus. This is concurred with the findings of Ravikumar (Loc.cit) in a similar study.

The animals included in this study were inseminated at 72 and 96, 48 and 72 hours after PGF<sub>2</sub>α injection/CIDR removal in group I and II respectively and at 72 hours after PGF<sub>2</sub>α injection in group III animals as suggested by Twagiramungu. *et al.* (1995).

The conception rate was significantly higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) in group III (66.6%) than group I (50%) and II (46.1%). The overall conception rate obtained in this study was similar to the results of Twagiramungu *et al.* (Loc.cit) and higher than the results of Ravikumar (Loc.cit) in a similar study.

Comparatively higher conception rate in group III (66.6%) might be due to the influence of GnRH analogue on follicular turnover and synchronized ovulation upon second GnRH injection in the Ovsynch protocol as suggested by Pursley *et al.* (1997) and Thatcher *et al.* (1996). The lower conception rate obtained in group I and II was due to the very early onset of estrus in some animals, that is around 24 hours after the PGF<sub>2</sub>α treatment / CIDR removal but these animals were inseminated only at 48 (or) 72 hours.

Progesterone assay revealed that the mean progesterone values (Table 2) showed an increasing trend from the day of treatment in group II and III. This might be due to the formation of accessory corpus luteum by GnRH administration in group III animals as reported by Schmitt *et al.* (1995). In all the three groups the progesterone level attained lower level on the day of estrus in response to the administration of PGF<sub>2</sub>α. / CIDR removal.

Hence it may be concluded from this study that Ovsynch protocol is giving better fertility rate in estrus synchronized buffaloes upon fixed-time breeding compared to PGF<sub>2</sub>α and CIDR treated animals at field level.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge the Department of Biotechnology, Ministry of Science and Technology, Govt. of India for the funding of Project titled "A Pilot Project on Upliftment of Economic Status of Rural Women through adoption of Estrus Synchronization and fixed-time breeding in dairy cattle" under which the present research work was under taken.

**Table 1:** Distribution, duration of induced estrus and conception rate in different groups.

Groups	Percentage of Induced estrus %	Mean onset of Induced estrus	Distribution of onset of estrus (No. of animals)				Duration of estrum (Hrs)	Conception Rate %
			Hrs after PGF <sub>2</sub> α injn/CIDR removal					
			0-24	24-48	48-72	72-96		
Grp I PGF <sub>2</sub> α	66.6 <sup>b</sup> (10)	54.4 <sup>b</sup> ± 7.6	-	2	5	3	18.4±3.1	50 <sup>a</sup> .0%
Grp II CIDR	86.6 <sup>a</sup> (13)	42.2 <sup>a</sup> ± 6.6	4	8	1	-	20.4 ±6.2	46 <sup>a</sup> .1%
Grp III Ovsynch	60.0 <sup>b</sup> (9)	47.4 ± 8.4	-	2	6	1	16.2±4.2	66 <sup>b</sup> .6%

**Table 2 :** Mean progesterone concentration at different days of estrus synchronization treatment in postpartum buffaloes

Days of experiment	Progesterone Concentration (ng)		
	Group I PGF <sub>2</sub> α	Group II CIDR	Group III Ovsynch
0	-	3.24 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.2	3.74 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.57
6	5.12 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.78	-	5.01 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.63
9	0.47 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.14	4.82 <sup>b</sup> ± 3.4	0.51 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.12
11	-	0.98 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.18	-

Means bearing different superscript differ significantly (P<0.01)

- \* Day 6 is the day 0 in group I
- \*\* Day 9 is the day of estrus in group I and III
- \*\*\* Day 11 is the day of estrus in group II

### REFERENCES

- Neglia, G.B., Gasparrini, R. D., DeRosa, C., Zicarelli, L., and Campnile, G. (2003). Comparison of pregnancy rates with two estrus synchronization protocols in Italian Mediterranean Buffalo Cows. *Theriogenology*, **60**:125-133.
- Pawshe, C.H., Kadu M.S. and Fashuddin, M.(1991). Efficacy of PGF<sub>2</sub>α (dinoprost) using two routes of administration on oestrus synchronization in crossbred cows. *Indian.J. Anim. Reprod.*, **12**:172-174.

er fertility  
and CIDRechnology,  
is of Rural  
which theception  
te %

%

%

%


rison of  
lo Cows.routes of  
-174.

me 2007

- Pursley, J.R.M.O. Mee and Wiltbank M.C. (1995). Synchronization of ovulation in dairy cows using PGF<sub>2</sub> $\alpha$  and GnRH. *Theriogenology*, 44:915-923.
- Pursley, J.R., Kosorok M.R. and Wiltbank M.C. (1997). Reproductive Management of lactating dairy cows using synchronization of ovulation. *J. Dairy Science.*, 80: 301-306.
- Rao, S.V. and Rao A.R. (1981). Oestrus behaviour and ovarian activity of crossbred heifers. *Indian Vet. J.*, 58:881-884.
- Ravikumar (2003). Synchronization of ovulation using ovsynch and ovsynch plus CIDR and fertility in postpartum buffaloes. M.V.Sc; thesis submitted to the TANUVAS, Ch -51.
- Schmitt, E.J.P., Drost, M., Diaz, T., Roomes C. and Thatcher, W.W., (1995). Effect of Gonadotropin-Releasing hormone agonist on follicle recruitment and pregnancy rate in cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 74: 154-161.
- Shrivastava, O.P., Pandit R.K. and R.G. Agrawal, 1997. Efficacy of progesterone releasing devices for the treatment of anestrus in bovines. National symposium on biotechniques in optimizing fertility in farm animals held at Ludhiana. P.19.
- Twagiramungu, H. Guilbault, L.A and Dvfour, J.J. 1995. Synchronization of ovarian follicular waves with a gonadotropin releasing hormone agonist to increase the precision of estrus in cattle. A review. *J. Animal. Sci.*, 73: 3141 - 3151.
- Thatcher, W.W. R.L. La Sota D. C., Schmitt, E.J.P., Dairy, T.C., Badinger, L., Simmen, F.A., Staples, C. R. and Drost M. (1996). Control and Management of ovarian follicles in cattle to optimize fertility. *Reprod. Fertil. Dev.*, 8: 203-217.
- Wiltbank, M.C., Gumen, A. and Sartori, R. (2002). Physiological classification of anovulatory conditions in cattle. *Theriogenology*, 57:21-52.

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR SUBSCRIBERS

The manuscripts should be e-mailed to us on the address [editorijar@yahoo.co.in](mailto:editorijar@yahoo.co.in). The authors are requested to get their manuscripts pre-reviewed at least by two specialists on the field. We would appreciate if the language corrections are also made through English teacher or language specialist, so that we do not have any difficulty in submitting them to some foreign reviewers. The hard copy should be accompanied by the Compact Disk (CD) in MS word and figures and photographs in JPEG format all in separate file. The tables and figures should be submitted in separate files / folders so that we could minimize the cost of the journal. All the subscribers are requested to give atleast 5-10 names of specialists not below the rank of Professor /Chief Scientists / Principal Scientists in order to make a reviewers directory. We would appreciate full addresses along with e-mail, fax, and mobile phone numbers on the manuscript. All correspondence should be made through e mail, alongwith the article reference no. for any confirmation.

Thanks

Editor