# IMPACT OF POST-BREEDING HORMONAL TREATMENT ON CONCEPTION IN REPEAT BREEDING GIR CATTLE AT A GAUSHALA

S.V. PARMAR<sup>1</sup>, J.A. PATEL<sup>2</sup>, A.J. DHAMI<sup>3\*</sup> AND R.G. SHAH<sup>4</sup>

Department of Animal Reproduction, Gynaecology and Obstetrics Anand Agricultural University, Anand - 388 001

Received: 03.10.2012

Accepted: 26.04.2016

# ABSTRACT

Repeat breeding Gir cattle (n=24) of a Gaushala were randomly and equally allotted to buserelin group (postbreeding 0.02 mg buserelin acetate, i.m.), hCG group (post-breeding 1500 IU hCG, i.m.), progesterone group (Day 6 post-breeding 500 mg hydroxyl progesterone caproate, i.m.) and control (no hormonal treatment). The overall conception rate (till cycle II post-treatment) of hormonal treatment groups was higher compared to controls (72.2 vs 33.3%, p<0.05). Plasma progesterone in cattle that conceived subsequently had a consistently increasing trend till week 6 post-treatment. Plasma total protein, total cholesterol and triglycerides were similar (p>0.05) between treatment groups as well as between conceived and non-conceived counterparts. This study indicated beneficial role of the use of hormone therapy for improving conception rate in repeat breeding Gir cattle at Gaushalas.

Keywords: Gaushala, Gir, hCG, Progesterone, Repeat breeding

#### INTRODUCTION

Delayed ovulation is one of the major causes of repeat breeding in dairy cattle. Various hormones viz. GnRH, hCG and progesterone were used with varying success for enhancing pregnancy rates in repeat breeding dairy animals (Anjum *et al.*, 2009 and Dodamani *et al.*, 2010). In addition, plasma progesterone and certain blood biochemical during estrus were associated with reproductive behavior and fertility status of cattle (Kumar *et al.*, 2009). The present study was planned to evaluate the efficacy of post-breeding hormone therapy on conception rate and some plasma constituents in repeat breeding Gir cattle.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty-four repeat breeding Gir cattle at Gaumandir Gaushala, Vadodara were selected on the basis of history and per rectal gynaeco-clinical examination. Animals managed under loose housing system were fed green fodder, hay and concentrate. Before starting the hormonal treatment, cattle were dewormed (Fenbendazole bolus, 3g) and were administered long acting oxytetracyclin dihydrate (8-10 mg/kg b wt, i.m.) to rule out genital infection, if any. Estrus detection was carried out visually, twice daily, and a fertile bull bred the females in estrus. The cattle were equally and randomly divided into four groups viz. buserelin group (post-breeding 0.02 mg buserelin acetate, i.m.), hCG group (post-breeding 1500 IU hCG, i.m.), progesterone group (Day 6 post-breeding 500 mg hydroxyl progesterone caproate, i.m.) and control (no hormonal treatment). Pregnancy was confirmed by per rectal palpation 60 days post-breeding. All the cows were followed for conception or return to estrus with collection of heparinized jugular blood on day 0 (estrus) and then at weekly interval up to 6 weeks post-breeding, except in group 3 where a sample was also collected on day 6 post-breeding (just before treatment). The plasma samples were stored at -20°C until analyzed. Plasma progesterone concentration was determined by employing standard Radio-Immuno-Assay technique (Kubasic et al., 1984). Labeled antigen (I<sup>125</sup>), antibody coated tubes and standards were procured from Immunotech-SAS, France. The sensitivity of assay was 0.1 ng/ml. Intra-assay and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, <sup>2</sup>Professor, <sup>3</sup>Professor cum Head; <sup>4</sup>Associate Director of Research, Kamdhenu University, Gandhinagar; \*ajdhami@aau.in

inter-assay coefficients of variation were 5.4 and 9.1%, respectively. Plasma total protein, total cholesterol and triglycerides were estimated by standard procedures and assay kits (Crest Biosystems, Goa) in a Chemistry Analyser (Mindray, BS 120). The data of conception rates *versus* various treatments, and of weekly progesterone measurement were analyzed using chi-square test and two factors factorial completely randomized design (4 groups x 6 weeks x 6 replicates/animals) and the data of conceived and non-conceived groups was analyzed by simple completely randomized design, Duncan's NMRT and Student's 't' test.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Following treatment with GnRH, hCG and progesterone, the conception rate in treatment cycle was 50, 83.3 and 50%, respectively compared to 16.7% in control (Table 1). The overall conception rate (till cycle II post-treatment) of hormonal treatment groups was higher (p<0.05) compared to controls (72.2 vs 33.3%, Table 1). In addition, the overall number of services per conception for treatment groups (2.5) was much less compared to control (8.0, Table 1), thus, indicating the beneficial role of use of hormone therapy in improving conception rate in repeat breeding Gir cattle at Gaushalas. The higher conception rates obtained in present study with buserelin, hCG and progesterone treatment was also reported earlier (Shukla and Mishra, 2008; Anjum et al., 2009; Kumar et al., 2009 and Dodamani et al., 2010). The hormonal treatment administered to increase plasma progesterone concentration may improve pregnancy rates, particularly in repeat breeding animals (Kastelic, 1994).

Plasma progesterone concentration in the cattle that conceived subsequently revealed a consistently increasing trend till week 6 post treatment, whereas, in their non-conceiving counterparts, these concentrations revealed a cyclic pattern with regular peak and drop (Table 2). Similar trend was reported in conceived and nonconceived HF cattle over first 3 weeks post-insemination (Lakum, 2004). In the present study, plasma progesterone at the end of first week post-treatment/breeding was lower (p<0.05) in non-conceived cattle as compared to the conceived cattle (Table 2). These results were similar to a previous study using GnRH or hCG treatment in dairy cattle (Schmitt et al., 1996). This suggested that low progesterone due to poor luteal function during early luteal phase is one of the causes of repeat breeding in dairy cattle (Kimura et al., 1987). In present study, the overall plasma total protein, total cholesterol and triglycerides were 9.79±0.08 g/dl, 217.86±2.57 mg/dl and 61.01±1.14 mg/dl, respectively, however, there was no difference (p>0.05) among treatment groups as well as between conceived and non-conceived cattle suggesting that nutritional profile of all the animals under study was similar. In brief, the present study reported beneficial impact of hormonal treatment in repeat breeding Gir cattle housed at a Gaushala.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the Trustees of Gaumandir Gaushala, Vadodara, and Dean of Veterinary Faculty, AAU, Anand for permission granted and facilities provided for this work.

Groups	Conc	eived	during	Conception rate	Services/	
(n=6 each)	Treatment cycle	Post-treatment estrus cycle			conception	
		I	I			
Buserelin	3 (50.0%)	-	1 (16.7%)	4 (66.7%)	3.0	
hCG	5 (83.3%)	-	-	5 (83.3%)	1.6	
Progesterone	3 (50.0%)	-	1 (16.7%)	4 (66.7%)	3.0	
Control	1 (16.7%)	-	1 (16.7%)	2 (33.3%)	8.0	

Table 1: Conception rates following hormonal treatment and post-treatment first and second estrus cycle in repeat breeding Gir cattle

Wk		Pregnancy Status				
	Buserelin	hCG	P₄ day 6	Control	<b>P</b> (n=12)	<b>NP</b> (n=12)
	post-breeding	post-breeding	post-breeding			
0	0.3±0.03	0.3±0.05	0.2±0.03	0.3±0.03	0.3±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.3±0.03 <sup>a</sup>
1	3.3±0.2	4.7±0.2	2.9±0.5	3.5±0.8	4.3±0.2 <sup>⁵</sup>	2.9±0.4 <sup>b*</sup>
2	5.4±0.7	5.2±0.4	5.4±0.4	3.3±0.5	5.4±0.2 <sup>c</sup>	4.2±0.5 <sup>°</sup>
3	3.5±1.2	5.1±0.9	3.9±1.4	2.0±1.0	6.3±0.3 <sup>cd</sup>	1.0±0.1 <sup>a*</sup>
4	4.2±0.9	6.5±0.6	5.3±1.4	2.9±1.2	7.0±0.5 <sup>d</sup>	2.4±0.3 <sup>b*</sup>
5	5.9±0.6	5.6±0.5	6.2±1.1	5.1±0.7	6.8±0.4 <sup>d</sup>	4.6±0.4 <sup>c*</sup>
6	3.1±1.0	6.0±1.0	3.8±1.3	2.5±1.3	6.5±0.4 <sup>d</sup>	1.1±0.1 <sup>a*</sup>

Table 2: Plasma progesterone ( $P_4$ ) profile (ng/ml, mean±SE) in repeat breeding Gir cattle. Wk - week after hormonal treatment, P - Pregnant, NP - Non pregnant

\*p<0.05 between conceived and not conceived, Means with different superscripts (a, b) differ significantly (p<0.05) within a column

## REFERENCES

- Anjum, A.I., Usmani, R.H., Tunio, M.T. and Abro, S.H. (2009). Improvement of conception rate in crossbred cattle by using GnRH analogue therapy. *Pakistan Vet. J.*, **29**: 93-94.
- Dodamani, M.S., Mohteshamuddin, K., Awati, S.D., Tandle, M.K. and Honnappagol, S.S. (2010).
  Evaluation of pre- and post- insemination effect of GnRH hormone on conception of repeat breeder Deoni cows. *Vet. World*, 3(5): 209-211.
- Kastelic, J.P. (1994). Non-infectious embryonic loss in cattle. *Vet. Med.*, **89**(6): 584-589.
- Kimura, M., Nakao, T., Moriyoshi, M. and Kawata, K. (1987). Luteal phase deficiency as a possible cause of repeat breeding in dairy cows. *British Vet. J.*, **143**(6): 560-566.
- Kubasic, N.P., Hallauer, G.D. and Brodows, R.G. (1984).
  Evaluation of direct solid phase RIA for progesterone, useful for monitoring luteal function. *Clin. Chem.*, **30**(2): 284-286.

- Kumar, R., Butani, M.G., Dhami, A.J., Kavani, F.S. and Shah, R.G. (2009). Effect of different therapies on fertility and serum progesterone, metabolites and minerals profile in repeat breeding crossbred cows. *Indian J. Field Vets.*, **5**(2): 1-8.
- Lakum, P.D. (2004). *Management of Reproductive Problems in Holstein Friesian Cows*. M.V.Sc. Thesis, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat.
- Schmitt, E.J.P., Daiz, T., Barros, C.M., De La Sota, R.L., Drost, M., Fredriksson, E.W., Thorner, R. and Thatcher, W.W. (1996). Differential response of the luteal phase and fertility in cattle following ovulation of the first-wave follicle with hCG or an agonist of GnRH. *J. Anim. Sci.*, **74**: 1074-1083.
- Shukla, M.K. and Mishra, A.K. (2008). GnRH treatment and double insemination for management of repeat breeding crossbred cows of Tarai region. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.*, **78**(12): 1.