



# Fertility Augmentation of Non-Descript Delayed Pubertal Cattle Heifers through Mineral Mixture Supplementation and Hormonal Intervention

Vipin Chandra Adarsh<sup>1\*</sup>, Jeetendra Singh Rajoriya<sup>1\*</sup>, Abhiyuday Pratap Singh<sup>1</sup>, Brijesh Kumar Ojha<sup>2</sup>, Anil Kumar Singh<sup>3</sup>, Archana Raje<sup>4</sup>, Priyanshu Tomar<sup>1</sup>, Shishant Swaroop Peepar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Veterinary Gynaecology and Obstetrics, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Rewa, Nanaji Deshmukh Veterinary Science University, Jabalpur (MP), India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Rewa, Nanaji Deshmukh Veterinary Science University, Jabalpur (MP), India.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Veterinary Physiology and Biochemistry, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Rewa, Nanaji Deshmukh Veterinary Science University, Jabalpur (MP), India.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Veterinary Pharmacology and Toxicology, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Rewa, Nanaji Deshmukh Veterinary Science University, Jabalpur (MP), India.

## ARTICLE INFO

**Key Words:** Estrus induction, Fertility, Heifers, Hormone, Mineral supplementation

doi: [10.48165/aru.2023.3.2.6](https://doi.org/10.48165/aru.2023.3.2.6)

## ABSTRACT

Normal productive and reproductive behavior in domestic animal is closely associated with interaction between hormones and nutritional status of the animal system. A double PG protocol and dietary mineral mixture supplementation were used on 24 non-descript delayed pubertal heifers, aged between 3-5 years to study the effect of both on reproductive performance. Heifers were randomly allocated into four equal groups, comprising A (Control), B, C & D treatment groups (n=6 in each group). All the groups were fed iso-nitrogenous and iso-caloric ration according to the body weight. Group A (n=6) was fed a ration containing 0% mineral mixture feeding on a daily basis. Group B (n=6) received rations containing a mineral mixture at 1% of the total diet per day. Group C (n=6) was subjected for double PG protocol and GnRH. Group D (n=6) was fed a ration that included a mineral mixture @ 1% of the ration, along with the double PG protocol and the GnRH. Compared to group C, group D has slightly higher progesterone levels due to more pregnant heifers. A significant increase in plasma progesterone concentration was observed in the B, C, and D groups that received mineral mixtures and hormone protocols. A similar progesterone level was found in group C and group A at 60 days. The estrogen value in group D was lower due to the higher number of non-cyclic heifers in comparison to the other groups. Additionally, mineral concentrations in the treatment groups were significantly higher than those in the control group. Group A, B, C and D were recorded 33.3, 50.0, 66.6, and 83.3% pregnancy rate respectively after artificial insemination, which indicating the effect of combining hormonal protocol with mineral mixture supplementation.

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [jituiivri@gmail.com](mailto:jituiivri@gmail.com) (Jeetendra Singh Rajoriya), [nikhilgeet1995@gmail.com](mailto:nikhilgeet1995@gmail.com) (Vipin Chandra Adarsh)

Received 04.04.2023; Accepted 12.06.2023

Copyright @ Animal Reproduction Update (<https://acspublisher.com/journals/index.php/aru>)

## Introduction

Total cattle population in India is 193.46 million (Livestock census, 2019). Although such impressive figures exist, the average amount of milk produced by a cow is 2.3 liters, which is lower than the amount produced in developed countries. One of the reproductive constraints for Indian dairy cattle is delayed puberty, which adversely affects the production of milk. Puberty is the age in postnatal life when the gonads produce gametes and sex hormones in sufficient quantities to enable an animal to reproduce. The hypothalamic release of pulsatile gonadotrophin releasing hormone (GnRH) is considered the trigger for mammalian puberty, as it initiates the release of luteinizing hormone (LH) and follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) that are required for gonadal activity and gametogenesis. According to Fortes et al. (2016), puberty onset is influenced by many factors, including age, species, genotype, body weight, growth rate, and energy status. In the tropical condition the age at puberty in *Bos indicus* range between 16 and 40 months (Dowell et al., 1976). A particular body weight has a role in attainment of puberty and a low body weight causes delay in onset of puberty (Maquivar et al., 2006).

Venugopal and RamamohanRao (1982) reported that the serum levels of calcium of cyclic cows to be higher while that of anestrus cows. Calcium may have a role in steroidogenesis by influencing delivery or utilization of cholesterol by mitochondria or by stimulating the conversion of pregnenolone to progesterone (Shemesh and Shore, 1994). Anestrus cows have significantly lower calcium levels than normal cyclic cows, according to Kalita et al. (1999). During transition period, supplementing diets with high energy, trace minerals (Co, Zn, Se), and vitamin E significantly increased the postpartum fertility of crossbred cows in terms of postpartum estrus occurrence, service period, pregnancy rate, and service per conception (Balamurugan et al., 2018). Copper and zinc are involved in regulating progesterone production by luteal cells via involvement of superoxide dismutase (Sugino et al., 1999). Copper complexes with GnRH and interact with GnRH receptors and modulate intracellu-

lar signaling in the gonadotropic cells of the anterior pituitary (Michaluk and Kochman, 2007). Patra et al. (2001) investigated the effects of (GnRH) on heifers with smooth and quiescent ovaries during delayed maturation and post-pubertal anestrus. Studies have increasingly explored the interactions between nutrition, hormones, and altered reproduction in dairy cattle (Chagas et al., 2007; Sartori et al., 2010). The purpose of this study was to determine the mineral and hormonal profile of delayed pubertal cattle, as well as the effect of mineral mixture supplementation and hormonal intervention on reproductive performance.

## Materials and Methods

### Location

Present research was carried out in the Department of Veterinary Gynaecology and Obstetrics, College of Veterinary Science & Animal Husbandry, Rewa and different villages in and around Rewa (Madhya Pradesh, India).

### Animal selection and design

Twenty-four non-descript delayed pubertal heifers of Rewa district, aged between 3-5 years were selected to study the effect of dietary mineral mixture supplementation and double PG protocol on biochemical changes and reproductive performance (Table 1 and 2). Heifers were randomly allocated into four equal groups, comprising three treatment groups (n=6 in each) and control (n=6) group. The experimental animals were maintained under an intensive housing management system. Before the commencement of study, proper health care including vaccination & deworming were taken into consideration. Heifers in the treatment group were fed concentrate feed supplemented with a mineral mixture on the ration basis. Control group was fed ration containing same CP and energy level according to the body weight to make the diet isonitrogenous and isocaloric.

**Table 1:** Experimental design for mineral mixture supplementation and hormonal intervention to all four groups

Groups	Treatment Regimen			Artificial insemination	Pregnancy diagnosis
	z	1 <sup>st</sup> inj Cloprostenol	2 <sup>nd</sup> inj Cloprostenol		
A (Control, n=6)	-	-	-	Depend on estrus	60 days post AI
B (Treatment, n=6)	@ 50 gm/cow/ day for 60 days	-	-	Depend on estrus	60 days post AI
C (Treatment, n=6)	-	1 <sup>st</sup> day 2ml I/M	11 <sup>th</sup> day 2ml I/M	After 48hrs of 2 <sup>nd</sup> inj Cloprostenol with Buserelin inj 2.5 ml. I/M	60 days post AI
D (Treatment, n=6)	@ 50 gm/cow/ day for 60 days	1 <sup>st</sup> day 2ml I/M	11 <sup>th</sup> day 2ml I/M	After 48hrs of 2 <sup>nd</sup> Inj Cloprostenol with Buserelin inj 2.5 ml. I/M	60 days post AI

**Table 2:** Composition of mineral mixture supplement

Ingredient	Quantity	Ingredient	Quantity
Vitamin A	7,00,000 IU	Iodine	325 mg
Vitamin D	70,000 IU	Vitamin E	250 mg
Zinc	960 mg	DL-Methionine	1000 mg
Magnesium	600 g	Cobalt	150 mg
Manganese	1500 mg	Potassium	100 mg
Iron	1500 mg	Sodium	5.9 mg
Copper	1200 mg	Calcium	25.5 %
Nicotinamide	1000 mg	Phosphorus	12.75 %
Sulphur	0.72 %		

## Blood sampling and hormone assay

Blood samples were collected by jugular venipuncture on day 0, 7, 14, 21, 42 and 60 during feeding trials. (Ethical approval letter no. 05/IAEC/Vety/Rewa/2019 dated 04/11/2019). Serum progesterone concentration (ng/ml) and estrogen (pg/ml) were estimated on the day of treatment 0, 7, 14, 21, 42 and 60 day after the start of treatment for confirming the result obtained by gynecological examination. An analysis of progesterone and estrogen concentrations was also conducted to determine how the treatment affected fertility. The quantitative determination of progesterone concentration in serum was performed by ELISA using kits supplied by Cayman chemicals, USA. Estrogen in serum samples was estimated by RIA technique using diagnostic I<sup>125</sup> kits supplied by Immunotech, France and BARC, Mumbai.

## Mineral profile

Using standardized kits, zinc, iron, copper, cobalt, and selenium were measured by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer, whereas calcium, phosphorus, and magnesium were measured by calorimetry. A mineral deficit/excess/imbalance was calculated by comparing the mineral availability of individual animals with the mineral requirements in feeding standards (Kearl, 1982; ICAR, 1998).

## Reproductive performance

The onset of estrus in heifers was detected by cervical-vaginal discharge and per-rectal examination. Pregnancy

diagnosis in cows of all the groups was performed on day 60 post insemination by rectal palpation. Reproductive outcome such as onset of estrus and pregnancy diagnosis were observed in all experimental cattle.

## Statistical analysis

The data obtained were subjected to analysis of variance using IBM SPSS software version 22 statistical package. Data from different experiments are presented as mean±SE. The pairwise comparison of means was carried out using Tukey's multiple comparison tests. The difference at  $P \leq 0.05$  was statistically significant.

## Results and Discussion

### Serum progesterone

The mean concentrations of serum progesterone from day 0 to 60 in groups (A) and treatment group (B, C and D) have been presented in Table 3. The progesterone level significantly varies ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) at 21 days and at 60 days. The progesterone level significantly varies ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) at 21 days and at 60 days. The progesterone level significantly varies ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) between 14 and 60 days. At day 14, 21 and has similar value but significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) compared to day 0, 7, 42 and 60. The progesterone level significantly varies ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) between 14 and 60 days. At day 14, 21 and has similar value but significantly different compared to day 0, 7, 42 and 60. Serum progesterone concentration of B, C and D Group, which supplemented with mineral mixture and hormonal protocol were significantly high ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

The progesterone concentration is responsible for stimulation of cyclic, follicular development and also for continuation of pregnancy. In normal cyclic animals, the serum progesterone level is expected to be high during diestrus stage and subsequently should reduce during estrus. Anestrus cases carry irregular levels. The findings of serum progesterone concentrations (ng/ml) are similar to the finding in dairy cow (Ayres et al., 2013). Khade et al. (2011) reported the mean plasma progesterone concentration  $1.7 \pm 0.28$  ng/ml in non-conceived cows. In the present work, progesterone values are in close agreement with Devasena et al. (2010). Compared to not supplementing, the profile of progesterone increased after supplementation because of the improvement in estrus and conception rate.

**Table 3:** Estimation of serum progesterone (ng/ml) at various days (mean±SEM) in all the experimental groups.

Groups	Concentration (ng/ml) of serum progesterone at various days (mean±SEM)					
	0	7	14	21	42	60
A	0.06±0.00	0.08±0.01	0.14±0.03	0.21±0.07	1.19±0.67	1.49±0.86
B	0.09±0.02	0.14±0.04	0.20±0.05	0.76±0.53	1.39±0.73	2.37±0.96
C	0.13±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.23±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	1.24±0.66 <sup>ab</sup>	1.53±0.78 <sup>ab</sup>	2.81±0.85 <sup>ab</sup>	3.16±0.93 <sup>b</sup>
D	0.15±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.23±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	0.83±0.53 <sup>ab</sup>	1.51±0.71 <sup>ab</sup>	2.98±0.82 <sup>bc</sup>	4.33±0.21 <sup>c</sup>

Different superscripts in small letter (a, b, c, d) in a row differ significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

**Table 4:** Estimation of serum estrogen (pg/ml) at various days (mean±SEM) in all the experimental groups.

Groups	Concentration (pg/ml) of serum estrogen at various days (mean±SEM)					
	0	7	14	21	42	60
A	4.27±0.42	4.70±0.43	5.33±0.88	7.91±3.14	7.73±2.50	5.16±0.35
B	4.85±0.31	5.59±0.32	8.65±2.63	5.25±0.43	7.54±2.69	8.55±3.15
C	4.60±0.43 <sup>a</sup>	5.32±0.43 <sup>a</sup>	5.43±0.26	6.01±0.49 <sup>ab</sup>	5.05±0.23 <sup>ab</sup>	4.61±0.19 <sup>b</sup>
D	5.65±0.23 <sup>a</sup>	5.48±0.49 <sup>a</sup>	5.89±0.24	8.39±2.45 <sup>ab</sup>	5.28±0.38 <sup>bc</sup>	4.40±0.09 <sup>c</sup>

Different superscripts in small letter (a, b, c, d) in a row differ significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

## Serum Estrogen

The mean concentration of serum estrogen from day 0 to 60 in group A and treatment group (B, C and D) have been presented in Table 4. The estrogen level significantly varies ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) and observed higher at 21 days and low at 60 days. The estrogen level significantly varies ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) between 7 and 42 days. At 7-day group A and B and also group C and D has similar values but significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) from other groups. Estrogen is produced by the follicles, which located on the ovary, as the follicle grows; more estrogen is producing (Mondal et al., 2019). Estrogen act in positive feedback mechanism and responsible for LH surge and ovulation, it also affects the nervous system of cow causing restlessness, phonation mounting and most importantly, the willingness to be mounted by other animals (Jena et al., 2016). The present findings show similar results as in study of Bhowmik et al. (2014) and Michaluk and Kochman (2007).

## Serum Calcium

The mean concentration of serum Calcium from day 0 to 60 in groups (A) and treatment group (B, C and D) has been presented in Table 5. The Calcium level significantly varies ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) between 0 and 60 days.

Sahoo et al. (2017) and Virmani et al. (2011) reported a range of calcium (mg/dl) in anestrous cattle 7.94±0.08 and 7.50±1.21, respectively in anestrous cattle. Several studies have supported the present study, such as Akhtar et al. (2009) and Tewari et al. (2013). The present study shows that the better result was obtained if animals are supple-

mented with a mineral mixture have better Ca value, then control Group.

## Serum Magnesium

The mean concentrations of serum Magnesium from day 0 to 60 in all the groups have been presented in Table 6. The Magnesium level significantly varies ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) between 0 and 60 days. The present values are within the normal range and in close agreement with Das et al. (2002), Gowda et al. (2001) and Tiwary et al. (2007).

## Serum Copper

The mean concentration of serum copper from day 0 to 60 in group (A) and treatment group (B, C and D) have been presented in Table 7. In the group C, copper level significantly varies ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) between 0 and 60 days. On day 0, 21, 42, 60, copper level significantly varies ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) among various groups. The findings of Kalita et al. (1999) and Dutta et al. (2001) are similar to these present findings in heifers.

## Serum Zinc

The mean concentration of serum Zinc from day 0 to 60 in group (A) and treatment group (B, C and D) have been presented in Table 8. Group D has significantly high ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) Zinc levels in comparison to other groups. The present study is corroborated with the finding of Das et al. (2003). The findings of this study were in agreement with

**Table 5:** Estimation of serum Calcium (mEq/L) at various days (mean±SEM) in all the experimental groups.

Groups	Concentration (mEq/L) of serum Calcium at various days (mean±SEM)			
	0	21	42	60
A	3.87±0.22	3.85 <sup>A</sup> ±0.17	3.84 <sup>A</sup> ±0.12	3.95 <sup>A</sup> ±0.11
B	3.71±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	3.93 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.06	4.22 <sup>abAB</sup> ±0.04	4.43 <sup>bb</sup> ±0.048
C	4.11±0.21 <sup>a</sup>	4.20 <sup>abAB</sup> ±0.19	4.41 <sup>bcBC</sup> ±0.18	4.58 <sup>bcBC</sup> ±0.17
D	4.31±0.26 <sup>a</sup>	4.50 <sup>bb</sup> ±0.22	4.74 <sup>cC</sup> ±0.20	4.99 <sup>cC</sup> ±0.18

Different superscripts in small letter (a, b, c, d) in a row and capital letter (A, B, C, D) in a column differ significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

**Table 6:** Estimation of serum Magnesium (mEq/L) at various days (mean±SEM) in all the experimental groups.

Groups	Concentration (mEq/L) of serum Magnesium at various days (mean±SEM)			
	0	21	42	60
A	0.95 <sup>a</sup> ±0.11	1.36 <sup>b</sup> ±0.09	1.62 <sup>c</sup> ±0.06	1.88 <sup>dB</sup> ±0.04
B	1.00 <sup>a</sup> ±0.14	1.41 <sup>b</sup> ±0.08	1.64 <sup>b</sup> ±0.07	1.91 <sup>cB</sup> ±0.03
C	1.11 <sup>a</sup> ±0.18	1.29 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.17	1.53 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.11	1.68 <sup>bA</sup> ±0.10
D	0.94 <sup>a</sup> ±0.15	1.42 <sup>b</sup> ±0.05	1.71 <sup>c</sup> ±0.04	1.94 <sup>cB</sup> ±0.01

Different superscripts in small letter (a, b, c, d) in a row and capital letter (A, B, C, D) in a column differ significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

**Table 7:** Estimation of serum Copper ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ) at various days (mean±SEM) in all the experimental groups.

Groups	Concentration ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ) of serum Copper at various days (mean±SEM)			
	0	21	42	60
A	5.96 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.27	6.72 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.45	7.22 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.35	7.79 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.11
B	6.28 <sup>abAB</sup> ±0.147	8.27 <sup>bb</sup> ±0.22	9.97 <sup>bb</sup> ±0.26	12.22 <sup>bb</sup> ±0.40
C	7.40 <sup>cC</sup> ±0.38	9.21 <sup>bcBC</sup> ±0.58	10.86 <sup>bcBC</sup> ±0.92	12.36 <sup>bb</sup> ±1.25
D	7.01 <sup>bcBC</sup> ±0.22	9.84 <sup>cC</sup> ±0.25	11.94 <sup>cC</sup> ±0.33	14.74 <sup>cC</sup> ±0.26

Different superscripts in small letter (a, b, c, d) in a row and capital letter (A, B, C, D) in a column differ significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

**Table 8:** Estimation of serum Zinc ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ) at various days (mean±SEM) in all the experimental groups.

Groups	Concentration of ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ) serum Zinc at various days (mean±SEM)			
	0	21	42	60
A	5.91 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.30	6.73 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.35	7.27 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.30	7.95 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.31
B	6.45 <sup>bcBC</sup> ±0.18	8.42 <sup>bb</sup> ±0.19	10.20 <sup>bb</sup> ±0.31	12.27 <sup>bb</sup> ±0.28
C	7.37 <sup>cC</sup> ±0.47	9.35 <sup>bcBC</sup> ±0.58	10.87 <sup>bcBC</sup> ±0.88	12.25 <sup>bb</sup> ±1.22
D	7.13 <sup>cC</sup> ±0.22	9.96 <sup>cC</sup> ±0.32	11.95 <sup>cC</sup> ±0.28	14.60 <sup>cC</sup> ±0.26

Different superscripts in small letter (a, b, c, d) in a row and capital letter (A, B, C, D) in a column differ significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

Joy and Nair (1995) and Singh et al. (2005), as they also observed non- significant difference in between anestrus and cyclic cows.

## Serum Phosphorus

The mean concentrations of serum phosphorus from day 0 to 60 in groups (A) and treatment group (B, C and D) have been presented in Table 9. Group B and D have significantly high ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) Phosphorus levels compared to other groups. The higher level of phosphorus than the present

study in delayed puberty was observed by Shrivastava and Kadu (1995) and Singh et al. (2005).

## Serum Cobalt

The mean concentrations of serum Cobalt from day 0 to 60 in groups (A) and treatment group (B, C and D) have been presented in Table 10. Prasad et al. (1989) determined serum levels of cobalt in prolonged post-partum anestrus cows as  $1.05 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{g/ml}$  and  $0.5 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{g/ml}$  in acyclic cows (smooth ovaries). These findings were found to be lower than the findings of the present study.

**Table 9:** Estimation of serum Phosphorus (mEq/L) at various days (mean±SEM) in all the experimental groups.

Groups	Concentration (mEq/L) of serum Phosphorus at various days (mean±SEM)			
	0	21	42	60
A	1.73±0.09	1.89 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.16	2.09 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.12	2.36 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.07
B	2.01±0.16	2.49 <sup>bB</sup> ±0.182	2.99 <sup>bC</sup> ±0.16	3.36 <sup>bB</sup> ±0.15
C	1.97±0.11	2.16 <sup>abAB</sup> ±0.11	2.35 <sup>abAB</sup> ±0.18	2.64 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.15
D	1.83±0.07	2.35 <sup>abAB</sup> ±0.15	2.74 <sup>bcBC</sup> ±0.16	3.21 <sup>bB</sup> ±0.19

Different superscripts in small letter (a, b, c, d) in a row and capital letter (A, B, C, D) in a column differ significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

**Table 10:** Estimation of serum Cobalt (ppm) at various days (mean±SEM) in all the experimental groups.

Groups	Concentration of serum Cobalt (ppm) at various days (mean±SEM)			
	0	21	42	60
A	0.051±0.003	0.06±0.003	0.08 <sup>abAB</sup> ±0.003	0.10 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.010
B	0.046±0.003	0.07±0.003	0.09 <sup>bB</sup> ±0.012	0.13 <sup>bB</sup> ±0.014
C	0.050±0.005	0.06±0.006	0.06 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.004	0.07 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.003
D	0.041±0.004	0.06±0.003	0.08 <sup>abAB</sup> ±0.003	0.13 <sup>bB</sup> ±0.010

Different superscripts in small letter (a, b, c, d) in a row and capital letter (A, B, C, D) in a column differ significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

**Table 11:** Estimation of serum Selenium (ppm) at various days (mean±SEM) in all the experimental groups.

Groups	Concentration of serum Selenium (ppm) at various days (mean±SEM)			
	0	21	42	60
A	0.041±0.003	0.055±0.005	0.066 <sup>A</sup> ±0.006	0.080 <sup>A</sup> ±0.04
B	0.045±0.004	0.066±0.003	0.083 <sup>B</sup> ±0.003	0.120 <sup>B</sup> ±0.09
C	0.043 <sup>a</sup> ±0.003	0.060 <sup>b</sup> ±0.005	0.066 <sup>bA</sup> ±0.006	0.076 <sup>Ba</sup> ±0.05
D	0.048 <sup>a</sup> ±0.003	0.068 <sup>a</sup> ±0.003	0.086 <sup>bB</sup> ±0.002	0.138 <sup>bB</sup> ±0.12

Different superscripts in small letter (a, b, c, d) in a row and capital letter (A, B, C, D) in a column differ significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

**Table 12:** Estimation of serum Iron ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ) at various days (mean±SEM) in all the experimental groups.

Groups	Concentration of serum Iron ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ) at various days (mean±SEM)			
	0	21	42	60
A	11.52 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.49	14.44 <sup>aA</sup> ±0.78	17.42 <sup>bA</sup> ±1.31	20.23 <sup>bA</sup> ±1.76
B	14.18 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.81	19.17 <sup>bb</sup> ±0.98	24.46 <sup>cC</sup> ±1.18	29.14 <sup>cC</sup> ±1.19
C	15.05 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.67	18.06 <sup>bb</sup> ±0.67	20.92 <sup>bb</sup> ±0.64	24.35 <sup>cb</sup> ±0.74
D	14.23 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.85	20.05 <sup>bb</sup> ±0.49	25.28 <sup>cC</sup> ±0.68	30.09 <sup>cC</sup> ±0.60

Different superscripts in small letter (a, b, c, d) in a row and capital letter (A, B, C, D) in a column differ significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

## Serum Selenium

The mean concentrations of serum Selenium from day 0 to 60 in groups (A) and treatment group (B, C and D) have been presented in Table 11. The Selenium level significantly varies ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) between 0 and 60 days. At 60-day selenium level significantly varies ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) between groups. Selenium increases fertility in cattle by reducing the incidence of anestrus (Hidiroglou, 1979; Harrison et al., 1984).

## Serum Iron

The mean concentrations of serum Iron ( $\mu\text{mol/l}$ ) from day 0 to 60 in groups (A) and treatment group (B, C and D) have been presented in Table 12. On different days and

between different groups, the iron level significantly varies ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) between 0 and 60 days. The higher plasma iron concentration in anestrus cows than the level observed in the present study was reported by Tambe et al. (1996), Kalita et al. (1999) and Dutta et al. (2001). However, lower level of plasma iron concentration in anestrus cows was observed by Vohra et al. (1995) and Ramakrishna (1997).

## Conception Rate

According to the present study, conception rates in non-descript delayed pubertal heifers were 33.3% ( $n=6$ ), 50.0% ( $n=6$ ), 66.6% ( $n=6$ ) and 83.3% ( $n=6$ ) in groups A, B, C, and D respectively. Combining hormonal protocol with mineral mixture supplementation improved

conception rate. Similarly, Yasothai (2014) and Devasena et al. (2015) reported similar results with mineral mixture supplementation. The hormonal protocol values obtained are consistent with those obtained by Kumar (2014) and Balamurugan et al. (2018).

## Conclusion

Mineral mixture supplementation and hormonal intervention such as double PG and GnRH administration resulted in higher fertility in delayed pubertal non-descript heifers; group D showed a higher conception rate as a result of mineral supplementation as compared to group A, B, and C.

## Acknowledgement

The authors thank the Vice-chancellor, Nanaji Deshmukh Veterinary Science University, Jabalpur (Madhya Pradesh) for providing facilities to carry out the research.

## References

- Akhtar MS, Farooq AA, Mushtaq M. Serum trace minerals variation during pre and post – partum period in Nili-Rawi buffaloes. *J Anim Plant Scie*, 2009; 19(4): 182-184.
- Ayres H, Ferreira RM, Cunha AP, Araújo RR, Wiltbank MC. Double-Ovsynch in high-producing dairy cows: effects on progesterone concentrations and ovulation to GnRH treatments. *Theriogenology*. 2013;79(1):159-64. doi: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2012.10.001.
- Balamurugan B, Karuthadurai T, Ramamoorthy M, Dayanidhi J. Manipulation of estrous cycle to improve reproductive efficiency in cattle and buffalo. *International J Livestock Res*, 2018; 1: 19-31.
- Bhowmik SK, Alam MGS, Shamsuddin M, Khatun M. The effect of GnRH analogue on the fertility of Prostaglandin F<sub>2</sub>-α induced-oestrus cows. *The Bangladesh Vet*, 2014; 31(2): 60-69.
- Chagas LM, Bass JJ, Blache D, Burke CR, Kay JK, Lindsay DR, Lucy MC, Martin GB, Meier S, Rhodes FM, Roche JR, Thatcher WW, Webb R. Invited review: New perspectives on the roles of nutrition and metabolic priorities in the subfertility of high-producing dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci*. 2007;90(9):4022-32. doi: 10.3168/jds.2006-852.
- Das A, Biswas S, Ghosh TK, Haldar S. Mineral distribution in soil, feeds and grazing cattle of different physiological stages in the red laterite and new alluvial agro climatic zone of West Bengal. *Indian J Anim Reprod*, 2003; 73(4): 448-454.
- Das P, Biswas S, Ghosh TK, Haldar S. Micro-nutrient status of dairy cattle in new alluvial zone of West Bengal. *Indian J Anim Sci*, 2002; 72(2): 171-173.
- Jena D, Das S, Patra BK, Biswal SS, Mohanty DN, Samal P. Certain hormonal profiles of postpartum anestrus jersey crossbred cows treated with controlled internal drug release and ovsynch protocol. *Vet World*. 2016; 9(10):1102-1106. doi: 10.14202/vetworld.2016.1102-1106.
- Devasena B, Ramana JV, Prasad P, Eswara Sudheer S, Prasad JR. Plasma mineral profile and its correlation with reproductive status in crossbred cows. *Intas Polivet*, 2015; 16(1): 49-53.
- Devasena B, Reddy IJ, Ramana JV, Eswara PP, Rama JP. Effect of supplementation of area specific mineral mixture on reproductive performance of crossbred cattle– A field study. *Indian J Anim Nutrition*, 2010; 27(3): 265-270.
- Dowell MC, Hollon RE, Camoens BF, Vanvleck LD. Reproductive efficiency of Jerseys, Red Sindhi and Crossbreds. *J Dairy Sci*, 1976; 59: 127-136.
- Dutta A, Sarmah BC, Baruah KK. Concentration of serum trace elements in cyclic and pregnant heifers in lower Brahmaputra valley of Assam. *Indian Vet J*, 2001; 78(4): 300-302.
- Fortes MR, Nguyen LT, Weller MM, Cánovas A, Islas-Trejo A, Porto-Neto LR. Transcriptome analyses identify five transcription factors differentially expressed in the hypothalamus of post-versus prepubertal Brahman heifers. *J Anim Sci*, 2016; 94(10): 3693-3702.
- Gowda NKS, Prasad CS, Ramana JV, Shivaramaiah MT. Mineral status of soils, feeds, fodder and animals in costal agri-ecozone of Karnataka. *Anim Nutrition Feed Technol*, 2001; 1(2): 97-104.
- Harrison JP, Hancock DD, Conrad HR. Vitamin E and selenium for reproduction of the dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci*, 1984; 67: 123-132.
- Hidiroglou M. Trace element deficiencies and infertility in ruminants: a review. *Indian J Dairy Sci*, 1979; 62(8): 1195-1206.
- ICAR. Nutrient Requirements of Domestic Animals. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India, 1998.
- Joy G, Nair KP. Phosphorus and trace element status of anestrus and repeat breeder crossbred cows. *J Vet Anim Sci*, 1995; 46(2): 91-94.
- Kalita DJ, Sharma BC, Bhattacharya BN. Mineral profile and fertility of cows. *Indian Vet J*, 1999; 76: 971-972.
- Kearl LC. Nutrient requirements of Ruminants in Developing Countries. International feed stuffs Institute Utah Agriculture Experimental Station, Utah State University, Logan, Utah, USA, 1982.
- Khade NB, Patel DM, Naikoo M, Dhama AJ, Sarvaiya NP, Gohel MM. Oestrus induction in pubertal anoestrus Gir heifers

- using different hormone protocols. *Indian J Field Vet*, 2011; 7(1): 4-8.
- Kumar AS. Blood biochemical profile in repeat breeding crossbred dairy cows. *International J Vet Sci*, 2014; 3(4): 172-173.
- Livestock Census. 20<sup>th</sup> Livestock Census- All India Report. Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, New Delhi, 2019.
- Maquivar M, Galina CS, Verduzco A, Galindo J, Molina R, Estrada S, Mendoza MG. Reproductive response in supplemented heifers in the humid tropics of Costa Rica. *Anim Reprod Sci*. 2006;93(1-2):16-23. doi: 10.1016/j.anireprosci.2005.05.033
- Michaluk A, Kochman K. Involvement of copper in female reproduction. *Reprod Biol*. 2007;7(3):193-205.
- Mondal AK, Begum S, Islam S, Hasan MMI, Rahman MM, Howlader MMR. Assessment of hematobiochemical profile of postpartum crossbred anestrous cows compared with the cyclic cows. *Biomed J Scientific Tech Res*, 2019; 17(3): 12903-12907.
- Patra BK, Ray SKH, Mohanty DN, Das S. Studies on certain gynaeco-clinical aspects of delayed maturity and anestrus in crossbred heifers. In: Proceedings of XVII National seminar on fertility management of farm animals under adverse agro climatic conditions. Jodhpur, 2001; pp. 42.
- Prasad CS, Sharma DV, Reddy AO, Chinnalya GP. Trace elements and ovarian hormonal levels during different reproductive conditions in crossbred cattle. *Indian J Dairy Sci*, 1989; 42(1): 498-492.
- Ramakrishna KV. Comparative studies on certain biochemical constituents of anestrus crossbred Jersey rural cows. *Indian J Anim Reprod*, 1997; 18(1): 33-35.
- Sahoo J, Das S, Sethy K, Mishra S, Swain R, Mishra P. Effect of feeding area specific mineral mixture on haemato biochemical, serum minerals and ovarian status of reproductive disordered crossbred cattle in Jatani Block of Odisha. *International J Livestock Res*, 2017; 7(5): 98-104.
- Sartori R, Bastos MR, Wiltbank MC. Factors affecting fertilisation and early embryo quality in single- and superovulated dairy cattle. *Reprod Fertil Dev*. 2010;22(1):151-8. doi: 10.1071/RD09221.
- Shemesh M, Shore LS. Effects of hormones in the environment on reproduction in cattle. In: factors affecting net calf crops. (eds. M.J. Fields and R.S. Sand) CRC Press Boca Raton, Florida, USA, 1994.
- Shrivastava OP, Kadu MS. Blood biochemical profiles in normal cyclic and delayed pubertal crossbred heifers. *Indian J Anim Reprod*, 1995; 16(2): 91-92.
- Singh B, Rawal CVS, Srivastava S, Singh HN. Studies on serum mineral profile of anestrus, repeat breeder and normal cyclic buffaloes. In: Proceedings of the XXI Annual Convention and National Symposium of ISSAR, SKUAST Jammu, 23-25 Nov., 2005.
- Sugino N, Takiguchi S, Kashida S, Takayama H, Yamagata Y, Nakamura Y, Kato H. Suppression of intracellular superoxide dismutase activity by antisense oligonucleotides causes inhibition of progesterone production by rat luteal cells. *Biol Reprod*. 1999;61(4):1133-8. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod61.4.1133.
- Tambe AS, Deopurkar VL, Gulavane SU, Puntambekar PM, Patil MB. Serum mineral Profile in different phases of reproduction. In: Abstracts of XIII National Symposium of ISSAR on Animal Biotechnology, Pantnagar 4-6 Dec., 1996.
- Tewari D, Jain RK, Mudgal V. Effect of strategic nutrient supplementation on the reproductive performance of anoestrus crossbred cattle in Malva region of Madhya Pradesh, *Indian J Anim Res*, 2013;48(6): 580-584.
- Tiwary MK, Tiwari DP, Kumar A, Mondal BC. Existing feeding practices, nutrient availability and reproductive status of dairy cattle and buffaloes in Haridwar district of Uttarakhand. *Anim Nutrition Feed Tech*, 2007; 7: 177-185.
- Venugopal K, Ramamohan Rao A. A study of the etiology of anestrus in crossbred cows. *Indian Vet J*, 1982;59(10): 781-784.
- Virmani M, Malik RK, Singh P, Dalal SS. Studies on blood biochemical and mineral profiles with the treatment of acyclicity in postpartum anoestrus Sahiwal cows. *Haryana Vet*, 2011;50: 77-79.
- Vohra SC, Dindorkar CV, Kaikini AS. Studies on blood serum levels of certain biochemical constituents in normal cyclic and anestrus crossbred cows. *Indian J Anim Reprod*, 1995;16(2): 85-87.
- Yasothai R. Importance of vitamins on reproduction in dairy cattle, *International J Science, Environ Technol*, 2014; 3(6): 2105-2108.